

Influence of composite κ -casein and β -lactoglobulin genotypes on composition, rennetability and heat stability of milk of cows of Slovak Pied breed

A. MICHALCOVÁ, Z. KRUPOVÁ

Faculty of Biotechnology and Food Sciences, Slovak Agricultural University, Nitra,
Slovak republic

ABSTRACT: The effect of the phenotypic combination of genetic variants κ -CN/ β -Lg on the contents of technologically and nutritionally important components of milk as well as technological properties of milk was investigated in this paper. The samples of milk were collected from 72 cows of Slovak Pied breed in the second lactation coming from three farms, once from the different lactation stage. The genotyping of milk samples was determined by the horizontal electrophoretic separation of milk proteins on starch gel with addition of urea and mercaptoethanol. Statistical analysis was carried out in the programmes Statistica 6.0 and Statgraphic Plus 5.1 by the least-squares method using the GLM. An non-significant association of *B* allele in the κ -CN/ β -Lg phenotypic combination with milk fat, crude protein content and true protein content was found out. A positive significant effect of κ -CN/ β -Lg *BB/BB* on casein content and casein number was confirmed. The value of casein number increased in the following order: *BB/BB* > *AB/AB* > *AA/AA* (78.43%:77.53%:75.78%). With regard to whey proteins and heat stability, a negative effect of *B* allele in the phenotypic combination κ -CN/ β -Lg was found out.

Keywords: cow milk; genetic polymorphism; milk protein; casein; rennetability; heat stability

Bovine milks contain different variants of proteins, in many cases characterized by explicit differences in milk production and functional properties (FitzGerald and Hill, 1997).

The polymorphism of cow milk properties started to be monitored in the last fifty years of the last century mainly from the aspect of population development, breeding and hybridization. Later, in the last ten to twenty years, with development of new electrophoretic, immunochemical and chromatographic methods and techniques, the relationship between genetic polymorphism of milk proteins and chemical composition, milk properties and functional properties has been studied very extensively. In particular, the association of genetic polymorphism with heat stability and cheese-making properties of milk has been investigated (Puhan, 1997).

Genetic variants of milk proteins are often used as subsidiary selective parameters in breeder assessment. Most of all is clustered in genetic variants of β -lactoglobulin (β -Lg) and κ -casein (κ -CN) and

their impact on protein content and technological properties of milk with respect to the requirements of dairy industry which gives priority to milk with better technological properties for the production of cheeses (Litwińczuk et al., 2006).

There are not any unequivocal views on the utilization of milk protein polymorphism as an ancillary criterion in milk cow breeding. Partially, it follows from the non-uniform findings of the effect of casein component variants on the final production, content of the main components, physical, chemical and technological parameters of milk.

Chrenek et al. (2003) pointed out the association of the κ -CN *BB* with a high fat content in imported dairy cows of Brown Swiss breed to Slovakia. The κ -casein genotype was not associated with protein and lactose contents.

The results of most studies show a higher content of crude protein as well as of casein in cows with κ -CN *BB* genotype (Litwińczuk et al., 2006).

A positive effect of the κ -CN *B* allele is also reflected in casein number (Londes et al., 1996). The casein number (Ng-Kwai-Hang et al., 2002a) together with curd yield are the indicators of milk utilization as a raw material for cheese production (Verdier-Metz et al., 2001).

Associations of the κ -CN *B* with crude protein content and casein content were repeatedly confirmed while a dominant effect of the genotype *BB* > *AB* > *AA* is asserted in allele expression (Ng-Kwai-Hang, 1998). Chrenek et al. (1998) and Ng-Kwai-Hang (1998) found out a significantly higher protein content (+0.1–0.2/100g) in the milk of cows with κ -CN *BB* genotype. Opposite to the above-mentioned authors Çardak (2005) determined a positive effect of κ -CN *AA* on the content and production of fat as well as protein in the milk of Simmental cows. Dairy cows with κ -CN *AA* genotype produced daily about 2% more protein and fat compared to cows with κ -CN *BB* genotype.

The effect of κ -CN *B* allele on higher protein content was established by Molina et al. (2006a).

Dagleish (1995) and Horne et al. (1995) confirmed an increase in casein content, κ -CN ratio in casein micelles and free Ca^+ ions because of κ -CN *B* allele.

A positive effect of κ -CN *B* and β -Lg *B* alleles on the protein content was also reported by Ikonen et al. (1999) and Miceikiene et al. (2005). It was proved that *A* variant of β -Lg compared to *B* variant had higher protein (Ng-Kwai-Hang, 1998) and fat contents. The β -Lg *B* allele increases the portion of casein in total protein content and decreases whey protein content (Celik, 2003). Ng-Kwai-Hang (1998) did not ascertain any influence between these fractions of milk proteins and genetic variants of β -Lg.

The results of Bobe et al. (1999) show that κ -CN and β -Lg genotypes influenced the genotypic and phenotypic variability of milk protein composition, however, they did not affect the concentration of milk proteins significantly. They observed that changed genotype sequences in the κ -CN *B* and β -Lg *A* promotor region were closely fixed to the particular genotypes.

Lundén et al. (1997) found an explicit general relationship between the presence of the κ -CN *B* allele and better quality and curd yield.

A favourable influence of κ -CN *BB* on cheese-making properties of milk, e.g. shorter rennetability and higher curd firmness, was confirmed by several studies (Kubarsepp et al., 2005). Ng-

Kwai-Hang et al. (2002a) claimed that milk with *B* variant of κ -CN and β -Lg was associated with better coagulation properties, shorter coagulation time, faster syneresis, higher curd firmness and higher yield of cheese of better cheese quality compared to *A* variant. The effect of κ -CN *B* allele on the shortening of coagulation time (about 10 to 30%) (*AA* > *AB* > *BB*) was reported by Czerniawska-Piatkowska and Kamieniecki (2004).

A shorter total coagulation time (syneresis) of milk at natural pH of milk in cows with κ -CN *BB* was determined by Horne and Muir (1994a), however, these differences disappeared at lower pH of milk. Both phases, renneting time and syneresis, were influenced by the κ -CN phenotype. On the basis of further research Horne and Muir (1994b) stated that the differences in coagulation properties of milk with different κ -CN phenotype were not predominantly influenced by the content of soluble calcium. The influence of pH compensates the effect of soluble Ca level on milk behaviour during cheese-making.

Controversial results of the effect of κ -CN on heat stability and coagulation properties are not noted by Molina et al. (2006b) and FitzGerald and Hill (1997), who refer to the slight decrease in heat stability by centrifugation of concentrated milk in milks with κ -CN *B* allele, despite the fact that the κ -CN *B* allele is connected with higher casein content in milk.

The quantitative representation of milk proteins is given by interactions inside the casein block and by interactions between the genotypes for caseins and β -lactoglobulins. For this reason, the latest research papers do not concern only with the genotypes for κ -casein and β -lactoglobulin per se, but with the complete genotype for all proteins (Martin et al., 2002).

The aim of our study was to investigate the relationship between the combination of κ -CN and β -Lg genetic variants and the content of technologically and nutritionally important components of milk, to direct the attention to the protein complex and finally to the technological properties of milk aimed at cheese-making properties and milk production.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The samples of milk were collected from 72 milk cows of Slovak Spotted breed from three animal




Figure 1. Least-squares means and 95% LSD intervals of total protein, true protein and casein in relation to κ -CN/ β -Lg variants

farms on the second lactation, once from the different lactation stage. Genotyping of milk samples was stated in cooperation with SCPV in Nitra, at the Department of the quality of animal products. After centrifugation of milk (a speed 3000 revolutions per minute), a lower layer without fat was taken off and stored at the temperature of -18°C . The analysis of samples was carried out by horizontal electrophoretic separation of milk proteins on starch gel with addition of urea and mercaptoethanol.

Fat content was stated with Milcoscan FT 120. The crude protein (CP), true protein (TP) and whey protein (WP) contents were stated by Kjeldahl method according to Standard 020-1:2001/ISO

8968-1 and Standard 020-5:2001/ISO 8968-5. Casein content (CN) was calculated by equation ($\text{CN} = \text{TP (g/100 g)} - \text{WP (g/100 g)}$). Non-protein nitrogen content (NPN) was calculated by equation ($\text{NPN} = \text{CP (g/100 g)} - \text{TP (g/100 g)}$). The casein number (CNno) was calculated as the ratio of casein to true protein ($\text{CN} = \text{casein (g/100 g)}/\text{TP (g/100 g)} \times 100$).


The calcium (Ca) content was stated by complex-metric titration according to Standard 036A:1992.

The rennetability of milk was ascertained by the trial of rennetability. 20 cm^3 of milk was heated up to 35°C in water bath, 1 cm^3 of rennet Hannilase

Table 1. Milk composition and technological properties in relation to κ -CN/ β -Lg variants

	Phenotype combination of genetic variant		
	κ -CN/ β -Lg AA ($n = 26$)	κ -CN/ β -Lg AB ($n = 30$)	κ -CN/ β -Lg BB ($n = 16$)
	mean \pm s_x	mean \pm s_x	mean \pm s_x
Fat (g/100 g)	4.05 ± 0.202	3.86 ± 0.135^c	4.28 ± 0.153^b
CP (g/100 g)	3.20 ± 0.059	3.21 ± 0.048	3.35 ± 0.097
TP (g/100 g)	2.97 ± 0.058	3.03 ± 0.052	3.17 ± 0.101
CN (g/100 g)	2.25 ± 0.048^c	2.33 ± 0.040^{bc}	2.45 ± 0.081^a
CNno (%)	75.78 ± 0.575^{ab}	77.53 ± 0.318^{bc}	78.43 ± 0.511^{ca}
WP (g/100 g)	0.73 ± 0.021^{ac}	0.67 ± 0.013^{ba}	0.68 ± 0.028
NPN (g/100 g)	0.23 ± 0.018^{ac}	0.21 ± 0.015^{bc}	0.16 ± 0.016
Ca (mg/100 g)	130.86 ± 1.441	126.72 ± 1.838	126.48 ± 3.675
Rennetability (RCT) (s)	182.81 ± 24.553	160.33 ± 18.927	143.12 ± 19.142
Ethanol number (ml)	2.42 ± 0.127	2.20 ± 0.124^c	1.92 ± 0.164^b

^{a,b,c} means the significant difference $P < 0.05$ between two genotypes marked with the different letter in one column CP – crude protein; TP – true protein; CN – casein content; CNno – casein number; WP – whey protein; NPN – non-protein nitrogen; Ca – calcium

Figure 2. Casein number in relation to κ -CN/ β -Lg variants

powder (fy Chr. Hansen) with the strength of 1:400 was added, milk was stirred. The time till the creation of first curd flakes was measured.

Heat stability (alcohol number) was determined by the titration with 96% ethanol. Alcohol number expresses an ethanol consumption of given concentration for fixed bulk of milk (2 cm³) till protein coagulation under the terms of the method.

Statistical analysis was carried out in program Statistica 6.0 (StatSoft, 2001) and Statgraphics Plus 5.1 (2001) by the method of least squares using the GLM (General Linear Model), which included phenotypic composition of κ -CN and β -Lg (A allele κ -CN and β -Lg and B allele κ -CN and β -Lg), fixed effect of herd and residual effects. Differences between genotypes were tested by using Scheffe multiple range tests for all traits studied.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results of experiments aimed at the evaluation of the κ -CN and β -Lg relation to fat content in milk are controversial. An insignificant positive effect of B allele on milk fat reflected in dairy cows with the homozygous phenotypic combination κ -CN/ β -Lg BB/BB. In these animals, a significantly higher fat content in milk was found out compared to dairy cows with the phenotypic combination AB/AB κ -CN/ β -Lg (Table 1) in accordance with Ng-Kwai-Hang (1998) and Chrenek et al. (2003). Lechniak et al. (2002) and Cardak (2005) reported that cows with the κ -CN AA genotype produced more fat than cows with the κ -CN BB genotype.

Most of the reports on their results refer to higher CP content as well as casein content in cows with

Table 2. The effect of the κ -CN/ β -Lg variants and herd on milk composition and technological properties of milk (GLM analysis)

	κ -CN/ β -Lg <i>F</i> -Ratio	Herd <i>F</i> -Ratio	Model <i>F</i> -Ratio
Fat (g/100 g)	2.19	0.46	0.99
CP (g/100 g)	1.54	0.68	2.61*
TP (g/100 g)	1.71	0.64	2.64*
CN (g/100 g)	4.82*	0.36	3.94**
CNno (%)	13.16**	2.72*	4.53**
WP (g/100 g)	4.48*	2.42*	2.42*
NPN (g/100 g)	4.49*	4.01*	3.57**
Ca (mg/100 g)	0.04	2.98*	2.42*
Rennetability (RCT) (s)	0.90	3.39**	2.71*
Ethanol number (ml)	3.60*	2.57*	3.29**

* $P < 0.05$; ** $P < 0.01$

CP – crude protein; TP – true protein; CN – casein content; CNno – casein number
WP – whey protein; NPN – non-protein nitrogen; Ca – calcium




Figure 3. Rennetability in relation to κ -CN/ β -Lg variants

the κ -CN *BB* and β -Lg *BB* genotypes (Chrenek et al., 1998; Ng-Kwai-Hang, 1998; Ikonen et al., 1999; Miceikiene et al., 2005). Our results agree with these conclusions. We determined the highest CP content, TP and CN contents in the milk of cows with κ -CN/ β -Lg *BB/BB* genotype (Figure 1). However, we confirmed a significant effect of the *B* allele on CN content (Table 2).

A statistically highly significant effect of the κ -CN/ β -Lg phenotypic combination was also proved in CNno (Table 2), whose value significantly increased in the following order: κ -CN/ β -Lg *BB/BB* > κ -CN/ β -Lg *AB/AB* > κ -CN/ β -Lg *AA/AA* (78.43%:77.53%:75.78%) (Figure 2). These results are comparable with those of Allmere (1997), who found out a positive effect of β -Lg *B* allele and κ -CN *B* allele on CNno.

Opposite to the results of Ng-Kwai-Hang et al. (2002b), the effect of the κ -CN/ β -Lg phenotypic combination is expressed significantly in WP content (Table 2). Dairy cows with the κ -CN/ β -Lg *AA/AA* phenotypic combination had about 0.05 g/100 g higher WP content compared to dairy cows with the κ -CN/ β -Lg *BB/BB* genotype, and about 0.06 g/100 g higher compared to dairy cows with the κ -CN/ β -Lg *AB/AB* genotype (Table 1). A decrease in WP content in association with the β -Lg *B* allele was reported by Celik (2003).

Nevertheless, a significant association of rennetability with the phenotypic combination κ -CN/ β -Lg was found out, and an insignificant positive effect of the *B* allele on the shortening of renneting time was observed both in homozygous and heterozygous genotypes (Figure 3). The same results were obtained by Horne and Muir (1994a), Ng-Kwai-Hang et al. (2002a), Czerniawska-Piatkowska and Kamieniecki (2004). The favourable cheese

properties of milk are connected with the CN content in which a positive effect of the *B* allele was substantiated. On the other hand, Ca content influences the rennetability of milk considerably, however, the effect of *B* allele was not confirmed. When investigating the influence of the factors on rennetability by the analysis of variance of components, we found out that Ca and CN participated by 74% and 25% in the total variance of this milk property.

The expression of *B* allele in a homozygous dominant form of the κ -CN/ β -Lg phenotypic combination had a significant negative effect on heat stability (Tables 1 and 2). Pazdera et al. (1994) draw attention to a slight decrease in heat stability of milk with the κ -CN *B* allele despite the fact that the κ -CN *B* allele is related to the higher total CN content in milk.

The results of the assay substantiated a positive effect of κ -CN/ β -Lg *BB/BB* on CN content, CNno and a negative effect on WP and heat stability of milk. These results can be applied when milk is used for cheese production; it helps to give preferences of the κ -CN/ β -Lg *B* alleles in the selection of animals for further breeding purposes in consequence of what would reach a positive modification of milk capability in cheese production.

CONCLUSION

The positive association between *B* allele of the phenotypic combination κ -CN/ β -Lg and the CP, TP, CN content and CNno and the negative effect on WP and heat stability were confirmed. The results of the analysis could be used as an important source of information for producers as well as for

breeders because of the increasing portion of milk processed into cheeses.

REFERENCES

- Allmere T., Andrén A., Björck L. (1997) Interactions between different genetic variants of β -lactoglobulin and κ -casein during heating of skim milk. *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 45, 564–569.
- Bobe G., Beitz D.C., Freeman A.E., Lindberg G.L. (1999): Effect of milk protein composition and its genetic parameter estimates. *J. Dairy Sci.*, 82, 2797–2804.
- Çardak A.D. (2005): Effect of genetic variants in milk protein on yield and composition of milk from Holstein-Friesian and Simmentaler cows. *S. Afr. J. Anim. Sci.*, 35, 41–47.
- Chrenek P., Huba J., Vašíček D., Peškovičová D., Bulla J. (2003): The relation between genetic polymorphism markers and milk yield in Brown Swiss cattle imported to Slovakia. *Asian-Austral. J. Anim. Sci.*, 16, 1397–1401.
- Celik S. (2003): β -lactoglobulin genetic variants in Brown Swiss breed and its association with compositional properties and rennet clotting time of milk. *Int. Dairy J.*, 13, 727–731.
- Czerniawska-Piatkowska E., Kamieniecki H. (2004): Milk protein polymorphism in cattle. *Med. Weter.*, 60, 692–694.
- Dalgleish D.G. (1995): Technological properties of milk protein variants. In: *IDF Seminar on Implications of Genetic Polymorphism of Milk Proteins on Production and Processing of Milk*. IDF, Brussels, Belgium.
- FitzGerald R.J., Hill J.P. (1997): The relationship between milk protein polymorphism and the manufacture and functionality of dairy product. In: *Milk Protein Polymorphism*. Bulletin IDF. IDF, Brussels Belgium: 355–371.
- Horne D.S., Muir D.D. (1994a): Influence of κ -casein phenotype on the rennet coagulation time of bovine milk. *Milchwissenschaft*, 49, 386–388.
- Horne D.S., Muir D.D. (1994b): Genetic polymorphism of κ -casein and rennet coagulation time. Effects of serum phase components. *Milchwissenschaft*, 49, 446–449.
- Horne D.S., Muir D.D., Banks J., et al. (1995): Effects of κ -casein Phenotype on Curd Strength in Rennet Coagulated Milks. In: *IDF Seminar on Implications of Genetic Polymorphism of Milk Proteins on Production and Processing of Milk*. IDF, Brussels, Belgium.
- Ikonen T., Ojala M., Ruottinen O. (1999): Associations between milk protein polymorphism and first lactation milk production traits in Finnish Ayrshire cows. *Genet. Breed.*, 82, 1026–1033.
- Kübarssepp I., Henno M., Viinalass H., Sabre D. (2005): Effect of κ -casein and β -lactoglobulin genotypes on the milk rennet coagulation properties. *Agr. Res.*, 1, 55–64. <http://www.edpsciences.org/>
- Lechniak D., Strabel T., Przybyła D., Machnik G., Świtoński M. (2002): GH and CSN3 gene polymorphisms and their impact on milk traits in cattle. *J. Anim. Feed Sci.*, 11, 39–45.
- Litwińczuk Z., Barłowska J., Krol J., Litwińczuk A. (2006): Milk protein polymorphism as markers of production traits in dairy and meat cattle. *Med. Weter.*, 62, 6–10.
- Lodes A., Buchberger J., Krause I., Aumann J., Klostermeyer H. (1996): The influence of genetic variants of milk proteins on the composition and technological properties of milk 3. Content of protein, casein, whey protein and casein number. *Milchwissenschaft*, 51, 3–7.
- Lundén A., Nilsson M., Janson L. (1997): Marked effect of β -lactoglobulin polymorphism on the ratio of casein to total protein in milk. *J. Dairy Sci.*, 80, 2996–3005.
- Martin P., Szymanowska M., Zwierchowski L., Leroux Ch. (2002): The impact of genetic polymorphisms on the protein composition of ruminant milks. *Reprod. Nutr. Dev.*, 42, 433–459.
- Miceikiene I., Peciulaitiene I., Petraskiene N. (2005): Milk genotypes and their association with milk composition traits in the Lithuanian dairy cattle. *Med. Weter.*, 61, 394–397.
- Molina L.H., Kramm J., Brito C., Carrillo B., Pinto M., Ferrando A. (2006a): Protein composition of milk from Holstein-Friesian dairy cows and its relationship with the genetic variants A and B of κ -casein and β -lactoglobulin (Part I.). *Int. J. Dairy Technol.*, 59, 183–187.
- Molina L.H., Benavides T., Brito C., Carrillo B., Molina I. (2006b): Relationship between A and B variants of κ -casein and β -lactoglobulin and coagulation properties of milk (Part II.). *Int. J. Dairy Technol.*, 59, 188–191.
- Ng-Kwai-Hang K.F. (1998): Genetic polymorphism of milk proteins: Relationships with traits, milk composition and technological properties. *Can. J. Anim. Sci.*, 78, 131–147.
- Ng-Kwai-Hang K.F., Dodds C., Boland M.J., Auldism M. J. (2002a): The influence of genetic variant of β -lactoglobulin on speed and firmness of rennet curd. *Milchwissenschaft*, 57, 267–269.
- Ng-Kwai-Hang K.F., Otter D.E., Lowe E., Boland M.J., Auldism M.J. (2002b): Influence of genetic variant of β -lactoglobulin on milk composition and size of casein micelles. *Milchwissenschaft*, 57, 303–306.
- Puhan Z. (1997): Milk protein polymorphism. In: *Milk Protein Polymorphism II*. Proc. IDF Seminar. IDF Brussels, Belgium.

StatSoft (2001): Version 6. StatSoft, Inc. www.statsoft.com

Standard 020-1:2004/ISO8968-1 (2001): Milk determination of nitrogen content. Part 1: Kjeldahl method.

Standard 020-5:2004/ISO8968-5 (2001): Milk determination of nitrogen content. Part 5: Determination of protein-nitrogen content.

Standard 036A:1992 (1992): Milk determination of nitrogen content. Titrimetric method.

Verdier-Metz I., Coulon J. B., Pradel P. (2001): Relationship between milk fat and protein contents and cheese yield. Anim. Res., 50, 365–371.

Received: 2006–10–12

Accepted after corrections: 2007–05–09

Corresponding Author

Ing. Anna Michalcová, PhD., Department of Evaluation and Processing of Animal Products, Faculty of Biotechnology and Food Sciences, Slovak Agricultural University, Tr. A. Hlinku 2, P.O. Box 40B, 949 76 Nitra, Slovak Republic
Tel. +421 376 414 313, e-mail: anna.michalcova@uniag.sk
