Improved Screening Procedure for Biogenic Amine Production by Lactic Acid Bacteria and Enterobacteria
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Abstract


An improved screening procedure was developed for the detection of amino acid decarboxylase-positive microorganisms (especially lactic acid bacteria and Enterobacteria). Monolayer culture and double layer colour development methods for the detection of amino acid decarboxylase-positive lactic acid bacteria and Enterobacteria were established. Biogenic amine-positive bacteria strain was isolated directly from the samples. The applicability and detection level of the designed medium were quantitatively evaluated by the confirmation of the amine-forming capacity using an HPLC procedure, while tyrosine decarboxylase and lysine decarboxylase genes were detected by PCR with specific primers. The screening method showed a good correlation with the chemical analysis and molecular detection. Enterobacter aerogenes, Enterobacter cloacae, Escherichia coli, Enterococcus faecium, and Enterococcus faecalis were obtained from traditional Chinese sausage. The isolation and screening of amino acid decarboxylase-positive lactic acid bacteria and Enterobacteria can be carried out simultaneously by the improved method.
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Biogenic amines (BAs) are organic molecules of low molecular weight with undesirable physiological effects. These include the stimulation of nerves and blood vessels in humans and animals, particularly when consumed in significant amounts or when the capacity to oxidise them is reduced by ingestion of mono-amino oxidase inhibitors such as alcohol or certain antidepressant medications, BAs enter the systemic circulation and exert their toxic effects on different organs, causing serious human health problems (McCabe-Sellers et al. 2006).

In addition, BAs are known to be potential precursors of carcinogenic nitrosamines. The class includes histamine (HIS), putrescine (PUT), cadaverine (CAD), tyramine (TYR), tryptamine (TRY), phenylethylamine (PHE), spermine (SPM), and spermidine (SPD). These originate from the decarboxylation of specific free amino acids in fermented foods derived from raw materials with a high protein content, especially if the food provides suitable conditions for biochemical activity of the microorganisms presented (Suzzi & Gardini 2003; Coisson et al. 2004).

During the ripening of fermented meat products, proteins undergo degradation. Large peptides are generated, then degraded into oligopeptides, which in turn degrade to free amino acids (Landete et al. 2007; Buňková et al. 2010). The free amino acids are then catabolised, giving rise to different compounds such as ammonia, α-ketoacids, methylketones, and amines.
The content of BAs in fermented meat products has been investigated extensively (Ayhan et al. 1999; Roseiro et al. 2006; Gençcelep et al. 2008). CAD and TYR are important amines in fermented meat products and the mean content in traditional sausage types has been reported to amount to 175 and 151.56 mg/kg, respectively (Lu et al. 2010). The maximum content of CAD and TYR was 199 and 676 mg/kg, respectively (Gençcelep et al. 2008). Similar results were reported also by other authors (Şenöz et al. 2000). High levels of CAD and TYR in fermented sausages are usually related to the occurrence of high counts of microflora with amino acid decarboxylase activity. The types of BAs formed are strongly influenced by microbial species and other parameters, which allow bacterial growth during processing and storage (Carelli et al. 2007). The production of BAs in meat products has been attributed to the action of several microorganisms: Pseudomonas spp., Enterobacteriaceae, Enterococci, and Lactobacilli. The occurrence and distribution of amino acid decarboxylase activity vary in different fermented products. Enterobacteria (EB) and lactic acid bacteria (LAB), mainly isolated from fermented sausages, have been extensively reported. In fermented sausage, it has been observed that Enterobacter faecalis, E. faecium, Lactobacillus curvatus, L. bavaricus, L. brevis, L. para-casei, and L. sakei produce TYR (Maijala & Eerola 1993; Bover-Cid et al. 2001). Some bacterial species also have the capability to produce CAD and PUT, such as Enterobacter cloacae, E. aerogenes, Serratia spp., C. freundii, Escherichia coli, and Morganella morganii (Halász et al. 1994; Bover-Cid et al. 2001; Durlu-Özkaya et al. 2001).

Several qualitative and quantitative methods to determine BAs produced by microorganisms have been described, most of the screening procedures generally involve the measurement of amino acid decarboxylase-positive single strain which has been isolated from food in advance (Maijala & Eerola 1993; Bover-Cid & Holzapfel 1999). However, little information exists on the selection of amino acid decarboxylase-positive lactic acid bacteria and EB from mixed strains directly. When mixed microorganisms were isolated, they interfered with one another. For example, some bacteria produce lactic acid and other produce alkaline materials such as BAs. Neutralisation will happen during the time of mixed microorganisms culture so that it is difficult to determine the optimal examination time. Systematic screening procedures for amino acid decarboxylase-positive LAB and EB from mixed microorganisms are still relatively scarce.

In this study, we report improved methods to screen BA-positive LAB and EB isolated directly from traditional Chinese sausages. Polymerase chain reaction denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis (PCR-DGGE) technique was used to evaluate the screened strain diversity. The genes for tyrosine and lysine decarboxylase were detected by PCR with specific primers. The production of BAs in liquid medium was detected by HPLC.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

**Sampling.** Twelve kinds of smoked horsemeat sausages that had been produced within a two-month period were obtained from retail markets of different regions in China’s Xinjiang. The smoked horsemeat sausage is a spontaneously fermented sausage with added sugar, salt, and spices. The BAs were detected before BA-producing bacteria isolation in the samples, and the concentrations of CAD and TYR were found to exceed 230 and 200 mg/kg, respectively.

**Screening medium.** The lower screen medium contained: 0.5% tryptone, 0.5% yeast extract, 0.5% meat extract, 0.25% NaCl, 0.05% glucose, 0.1% Tween 80, 0.004% MgSO₄, 0.003% MnSO₄, 0.2% K₂HPO₄, 0.2% ammonium citrate, 0.001% thiamine, 0.005% pyridoxal-5-phosphate, 0.5% tryptophan, 0.5% histidine, 0.5% phenylalanine, 0.5% tyrosine, 0.5% lysine, 0.5% arginine, and 1.8% agar, pH was 5.2.

The upper colour development medium consisted of 0.005% bromocresol purple, 1.0% agar, pH was 5.2.

**Screening method.** Using aseptic techniques, 25 g of each sample were homogenised in 225 ml of sterile peptone saline (1 g of peptone and 9 g of NaCl per litre water). After shaking at 230 oscillations/m for 10 min in a stomacher, 1 ml of the suspension was inoculated into the enrichment broth (MRS) and cultured (LuQiao Co., Beijing, China) for 24 h at 37°C. The enrichment culture was serially diluted in triplicate (1:10) in peptone saline, and 1 ml of each dilution was inoculated onto the lower screen medium. After cultivation at 37°C for 72 h, the upper colour development medium (50°C) was layered onto the lower detection medium.
DNA extraction. Genomic DNA of amino acid decarboxylase-positive bacteria was extracted using GenElute\textsuperscript{TM} Kit (Tiangen Biotech Co., Ltd, Beijing, China) according to the manufacturer’s instructions, and then suspended in 100 µl of TE buffer and stored at –20°C.

PCR amplification

PCR amplification for PCR-DGGE. The primers U968-GC (5’CGC CCG GGG CGC GCCCGG GGC GGG GCG GGA CGG GGG GAAGGC GAA GAA CCT TAC) and L1401 (5’GGG TGT GTA CAA CAC CC) were used to amplify the V6–V8 regions of the bacterial 16S rDNA (Zhu et al. 2003). The GC clamp in primer U968-GC creates PCR products suitable for the separation by DGGE.

GoTaq Green Master Mix (Promega, Alexandria, USA) was used in the PCR reaction. The amplification reactions were carried out in a 25 µl reaction volume containing 12.5 µl GoTaq Green Master Mix, 1.0 µl of each primer (10 pmol/ml), 1 µl DNA template, and 9.5 µl ddH\textsubscript{2}O. The samples were amplified in a BioSci PCR system at 94°C for 4 min, 35 cycles of 94°C for 30 s, 56°C for 20 s, and 68°C for 40 s, followed by a final step at 68°C for 7 minutes. The PCR products (5 µl) were analysed by electrophoresis on agarose gel (1.2%) to check the amplicon sizes and amounts.

Identification of tyrosine decarboxylase gene (TDC). The primers TD2 (5’-ACA TAG TCA ACC ATR TTG AA-3’) and TD5 (5’-CAA ATG GAA GAA GAA GTA GG-3’) were used to amplify TDC (Fernández et al. 2004). GoTaq Green Master Mix (Promega, Alexandria, USA) was used in the PCR reaction. The amplification reactions were carried out in a 25 µl reaction volume, containing 12.5 µl GoTaq Green Master Mix, 0.4 µl of each primer (10 pmol/ml), 1 µl DNA template, and 9.7 ddH\textsubscript{2}O µl. The samples were amplified in a BioSci PCR system at 94°C for 5 min, 35 cycles of 94°C for 45 s, 48°C for 45 s, 72°C for 60 s, and 72°C for 7 minutes. The PCR products (5 µl) were analysed by electrophoresis on agarose gel (1.2%) to check the amplicon sizes and amounts.

Identification of lysine decarboxylase gene (LDC). The primers CAD1-f (5’-TTY GAY WCN GGC TGT GCN TAY AC-3’) and CAD1-r (5’-CCR TGD ATR TCN GTY TCR AAN CCN GG-3’) were used to amplify LDC (Rivas et al. 2006). GoTaq Green Master Mix (Promega, Alexandria, USA) was used in the PCR reaction. The amplification reactions were carried out in a 25 µl reaction volume containing 12.5 µl GoTaq Green Master Mix, 0.8 µl of each primer (10 pmol/ml), 1 µl DNA template, and 8.9 µl ddH\textsubscript{2}O. The samples were amplified in a BioSci PCR system at 94°C for 5 min, 35 cycles of 94°C for 30 s, 53°C for 30 s, 72°C for 120 s, and 72°C for 10 minutes. The PCR products (5 µl) were analysed by electrophoresis on agarose gel (1.2%) to check the amplicon sizes and amounts.

DGGE analysis. Specific separation of PCR amplicons was achieved by DGGE analysis using a BioRad DCode apparatus (Bio-Rad, Richmond, USA) according to the procedures described by Zhu et al. (2003). The following modifications according to the work of Hu et al. (2008) were included: DGGE was performed on 8% polyacrylamide gels containing acrylamide, bisacrylamide, formamide, and a gradient of 37–57% of urea. Electrophoresis was carried out at 200 V for 10 min and then at 85 V for 16 h at 60°C. The gels were stained with ethidium bromide (0.5 mg/l) for 15 min, then rinsed three times in milli-Q water and photographed with UV transillumination using the GelDoc 2000 system (Bio-Rad, Richmond, USA). Identical results in three replicates confirmed the reproducibility of the amplifications and subsequent DGGE separations. The fingerprints of the DGGE profiles were analysed using Quantity One 1D Analysis software Ver. 4.5 (Bio-Rad, Richmond, USA).

BA determination. Amine standard solutions were prepared in 0.4 M perchloric acid to a final concentration of 1 mg/ml for each amine. Solutions were prepared in 0.4 M perchloric acid to final concentrations of 0.0, 2.0, 5.0, 10, and 20 µg/ml for each amine.

One ml of each bacterial culture broth was uniformly mixed with 0.4 M perchloric acid equivalently. This mixture was centrifuged at 10 000 rpm for 10 min (4°C) and the supernatant was filtered. The filtrate extract (1 ml) was placed in a 5 ml volumetric flask. Then, sodium hydroxide (2 N, 200 µl), saturated sodium bicarbonate (300 µl), and dansyl chloride solution (10 mg/ml) amount were added to the sample extract. After incubation at 40°C for 45 min in the dark, 100 µl of ammonia were added to the reaction mixture for the removal of residual dansyl chloride. After 30 min at ambient temperature, the volume of the reaction mixture was adjusted to 5 ml with acetonitrile. This reaction mixture was centrifuged for 5 min at 2500 rpm. The supernatant was filtered with a 0.45 µm syringe filter with a PVDF Membrane for HPLC (Agilent 1100 system) analysis.

The separation was carried out on a C\textsubscript{18} column (Spherisorb 2.5 µm ODS, 250 cm × 4.6 mm internal diameter) and the peaks were detected at 254 nm with a diode array detector. A gradient elution program...
was used with a mixture of acetonitrile as solvent A and water as solvent B. The gradient elution procedure was 35% A + 65% B for 1 min, 20% A + 80% B for 5 min, 10% A + 90% B at 6 min, and 8% A + 92% B for 16 minutes. The standard amine samples HIS, TRY, TYR, and PUT were purchased from Sigma (St. Louis, USA) and PHE, CAD, SPD and SPE from Fluka Chemical (Buchs, Switzerland), respectively.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Isolation of LAB and EB. After cultivation in the lower screen medium at 37°C for 72 h, the upper colour development medium (50°C) was layered onto the lower screen medium. Positive reactions were recorded within 5 min, when upper colour development medium was applied a purple colour appearing as the amines raised the pH. A total of 154 BA-positive strains, including LAB and EB, were obtained by the screening procedure, as shown in Figures 1 and 2. Several authors have studied BA-positive bacteria isolation (Maijala & Ecrola 1993; Bover-Cid & Holzapfel 1999; Landeta et al. 2007; Moreno-Arribas & Carmen Polo 2008; Capozzi et al. 2010; Fadhlaoui-Zid et al. 2012), and their techniques are similar to that in our study. However, in those studies, the culture and colour development were carried out simultaneously, while in our study they were performed separately. The bacterial flora in the traditional Chinese sausage is diverse and complex. If the culture and colour development were carried out simultaneously, different bacterial species would interact, as there are some acid-forming bacteria and alkaline-forming bacteria in the sausage. In addition, different strains require different lengths of time to grow. It would be difficult to obtain optimal observation time. In our study, the culture and colour development were carried out separately, which resulted in a dry film forming on top of the flora and ions produced by bacteria being fixed after 72 h of culture. When the upper colour development medium was applied, a clear result developed in a short time. Therefore, this method reduced the possible interactions between different bacteria and improved the isolation accuracy.

Identification of LAB and EB. The PCR-DGGE technique was introduced into food microbiology in order to evaluate microbial diversity and identify microorganisms. The purpose of this study was to identify the bacteria isolated from the traditional Chinese sausage. The DGGE results were obtained by amplifying the V6–V8 region of the 16S rRNA gene using the U968 (GC)-L1401 primer set. The microbial ecosystem of 154 strains was compared by PCR-DGGE and five different bacteria species were identified as shown in Figure 3.

The identification results are given in Table 1. The observed sequences exhibited an identity greater than 96% with the sequences from the GenBank database. A number of authors have studied Enterococcus faecalis in fermented food. Some authors used

Figure 1. Screening of biogenic amines-producing lactic acid bacteria (on figure is lower screen agar and upper colour development agar; the purple is positive and the yellow is negative)

Figure 2. Screening of biogenic amines-producing Enterobacteria (on figure is lower screen agar and upper colour development agar; the purple is positive and the yellow is negative)
some Enterococcus faecalis strains as starters that were unable to generate BAs (Centeno et al. 1999; Sparo et al. 2008; Bhardwaj et al. 2010), while other authors maintained that some Enterococcus faecalis strains do produce BAs (Gardini et al. 2001; Pérez-Pulido et al. 2006; Capozzi et al. 2010; Komprda et al. 2010). Enterobacter aerogenes, Enterobacter cloacae, and Escherichia coli isolated from food are generally considered as microorganisms with a high decarboxylase activity, particularly in relation to the production of CAD and PUT (Durlu-Özkaya et al. 2001;uzzi & Gardini 2003; Lorenzo et al. 2010). In addition, some species of Enterobacter aerogenes, Enterobacter cloacae, and Escherichia coli are producers of HIS (Hsu et al. 2009; Huang et al. 2010).

Agarose electrophoresis photos of PCR amplification products by TDC and LDC-specific primers are shown in Figure 4. Bands 1 and 2 were the amplification products of TDC of 1100 bp length, while bands 3, 4, and 5 were the amplification products of LDC of 1098 bp length.

Quantification of BAs. With none of the strains assayed and confirmed by HPLC analysis, any false-positive or false negative reactions were observed. However, three tyramine-producing false negative strains were found by other researchers (Bover-Cid & Holzapfel 1999). May be a more appropriate pH of medium and optimal observation time have been used in our study. Besides, it appears necessary that the culture and colour development be carried out separately.

In this study, Enterococcus faecium and Enterococcus faecalis were found to produce PHE and TYR, while Enterobacter aerogenes, Enterobacter cloacae, and Escherichia coli were PUT- and CAD-producing species. Several authors have demonstrated simultaneous production of TYR and PHE (Bover-Cid et al. 2001; Moreno-Arribas et al. 2001), and of PUT and CAD (Ansorena et al. 2002; Bunková et al. 2010).

### Table 1. Biogenic amines-productive strains identified by means of 16S rDNA sequencing fragment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Isolate bands</th>
<th>Closest relatives</th>
<th>ID (%)</th>
<th>Accession No.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Enterobacter aerogenes</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>GQ890355</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Enterobacter cloacae</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>GQ890353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Escherichia coli</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>GQ890359</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Enterococcus faecium</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>GQ890354</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Enterococcus faecalis</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>GQ890356</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

bands 1, 2, 3 – CAD and PUT-producing bacteria; bands 4 and 5 – PHE and TYR-producing bacteria; ID – bands are numbered as indicated on the DGGE gels shown in Figure 3; accession number of the sequence of the closest relative found by BLAST search.

Figure 3. DGGE fingerprints of biogenic amines-producing bacteria classified

Line: 1 – Enterobacter aerogenes; 2 – Enterobacter cloacae; 3 – Escherichia coli; 4 – Enterococcus faecium; 5 – Enterococcus faecalis (Table 1)

Figure 4. Agarose electrophoresis photo of PCR amplification products with specific primers of biogenic amine-productive bacteria

Figure 5. HPLC chromatographic profiles of biogenic amines in bacterial culture fluid produced by (A) *Enterobacter aerogenes*, (B) *Enterobacter cloacae*, (C) *Escherichia coli*, (D) *Enterococcus faecium*, and (E) *Enterococcus faecalis* respectively.
No other BAs were produced by the five species in our study. The chromatography profiles of the BAs produced by *Enterobacter aerogenes*, *Enterobacter cloacae*, *Escherichia coli*, *Enterococcus faecium*, and *Enterococcus faecalis* are shown in Figure 5.

*Enterobacter aerogenes*, *Enterobacter cloacae*, and *Escherichia coli* produced 5.02–3987.37 μg/ml of CAD and 3.15–3592.64 μg/ml of PUT, while 0.48–585.41 μg/ml of PHE and 0.19–3516.7 μg/ml of TYR were produced by *Enterococcus faecium* and *Enterococcus faecalis*, respectively. The BAs positive bacteria were classified on the basis of BAs productive ability by cluster analysis. Group A included bacteria showing low amine production (5.02 to 35.87 μg/ml of CAD, 3.15–39.65 μg/ml of PUT, 0.48–25.41 μg/ml of PHE, and 0.19–36.7 μg/ml of TYR) and accounted for 18.5, 27.3, 35.9, and 13.6% of BAs positive bacteria, respectively. Group B included bacteria with moderate amine production (35.87–808.13 μg/ml of CAD, 39.65–189.5 μg/ml of PUT, 25.41–98.4 μg/ml of PHE, and 36.7–126.8 μg/ml of TYR) and accounted for 15.2, 24.7, 36.1 and 27.5% of BAs positive bacteria respectively. Group C included 45.8, 37.9, 16.9, and 29.7% the positive bacteria showing high amines production (108.3–2353.05 μg/ml of CAD, 189.5–808.13 μg/ml of PUT, 98.4–296.28 μg/ml of PHE, and 126.8–2826.71 μg/ml of TYR, respectively) and group D contained 20.5, 10.1, 11.1, and 29.2% of the positive bacteria showing very high levels of amines production (2353.05–3987.37 μg/ml of CAD, 808.13–3592.64 μg/ml of PUT, 296.28–585.41 μg/ml of PHE, and 2826.71–3516.7 μg/ml of TYR, respectively). Therefore, these five bacterial species have an important influence on the accumulation of PHE, TYR, CAD, and PUT in the traditional Chinese sausage.

**CONCLUSIONS**

In this study, a decarboxylase screening technique for LAB and EB was modified. It enabled an improved detection of BA-positive strains from mixed bacteria samples. Five BA-positive dominant bacteria were obtained from the traditional Chinese sausage. In addition, systematic methods for the isolation and identification of BA-positive bacteria were established.
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