

Bread Features Evaluation by NIR Analysis

ONDŘEJ JIRSA, MARIE HRUŠKOVÁ and IVAN ŠVEC

Department of Carbohydrate Chemistry and Technology, Faculty of Food and Biochemical Technology, Institute of Chemical Technology in Prague, Prague, Czech Republic

Abstract

JIRSA O., HRUŠKOVÁ M., ŠVEC I. (2007): **Bread features evaluation by NIR analysis**. Czech J. Food Sci., **25**: 243–248.

Bakery characteristics of wheat dough and the final product and their predictability by NIR technique was investigated using 231 variety and commercial wheat samples (crop years 2003–2005). The behaviour of doughs was assessed with Brabender maturograph and OTG (Germany), the final product quality was evaluated by the baking test and image analysis. NIR spectra of flours were acquired on a NIRSystem 6500 spectrometer. Calibration equations for the selected rheological characteristics were computed by WINISI II using mPLS regression. The quality of prediction was evaluated by means of coefficients of correlation between measured and predicted values from cross and independent validation. A statistically significant dependence (with probability higher than 99%) was found with all rheological characteristics. The standard errors of cross-validation were achieved as follows: dough elasticity 16 BU, bread volume (11 min) 58 BU, specific loaf volume 34 cm³/100 g, bread cut area 2.6 cm², penetration 4.1 mm, average cell area 0.4 mm² and cells per cm² 7.4.

Keywords: wheat flour dough; maturograph; OTG; NIRSystem 6500; prediction of rheological characteristics

The technological quality of wheat for milling and baking use varies in a wide range. Variety composition is one of the most important factors and it causes protein and starch quality changes. The most important factor is the strength given by gluten quality and content, and also starch- α -amylase-complex properties (enzymatic activity, starch particles damage). These properties are observed by means of different methods (POMERANZ 1988).

Protein amount and quality according to Zeleny test, which is an empirical method, are the first sorting traits for wheat quality evaluation. Rheological characteristics such as elasticity, viscosity, and extensibility and their changes during fermentation are also important for the bakery industry. A lot of empirical techniques based on dough rheology

are commonly used for the analysis of wheat flour baking value. The information on the behaviour of fermented dough can be obtained from such apparatuses as fermentograph, maturograph, and OTG. CZUCHAJOWSKA and POMERANZ (1993) developed a methodology to assess fermentation with the use of rheofermentometer and a shortened baking test on the bread-making machine. Usual physical dough tests do not appear to measure the properties that are directly important for the bread-making performance. The success of these tests, which measure dough rheological properties, may result from the correlation with the parameters that directly influence wheat and flour behaviour in the mill (flour yield) and the bakery (bread volume) (JANSSEN *et al.* 1996). The formula of dough also influences the properties

of leavened dough. Full-bread-formula is usually used for dough fermentograph testing (ŠVEC & HRUŠKOVÁ 2004).

The aim of these measurements is to predict the material behaviour in the technological process or to get data for the implementation of timed operational actions. Therefore, it is necessary to obtain rheological data with sufficient accuracy. Traditional methods for the determination of rheological properties take a few tens of minutes, which is too much to take technological actions.

NIR technique has been widely applied to the measurement of cereal quality and cereal product composition. NIR instruments enable a rapid assessment of wet gluten, moisture, ash, and with a lower reliability also the determination of Zeleny sedimentation and water absorption. These parameters can be predicted with a high degree of accuracy, as the relevant spectral regions show reasonably clear differences with the changing sample composition (BHANDARI *et al.* 2000).

Some success was achieved even with modelling some rheological parameters, especially those measured on farinograph (HRUŠKOVÁ *et al.* 2001), extensigraph (DELWICHE *et al.* 1998; HRUŠKOVÁ *et al.* 2001), and alveograph (CZUCHAJOWSKA & POMERANZ 1991). The main parameter of the breadmaking quality, relating to the protein content/quality, is the specific loaf volume. The possibility of its prediction was confirmed, for instance, by RUBENTHALER and POMERANZ (1987). Fermentograph parameters were studied by JIRSA *et al.* (2005). However, such usefulness was not proved in the case of the breadmaking potential. It was concluded that NIR reflectance spectroscopy cannot provide any measure of the bread-making quality of wheat beyond that which may be predicted from the protein content (RUBENTHALER & POMERANZ 1987). The changes taking place during dough mixing can also be observed non-destructively by NIR spectroscopy because spectral absorbances are relative to the basic chemical components of dough. The prediction of dough properties by NIR spectra analysis, however, is influenced by many factors, especially the errors of reference methods and the results dependence on the protein content of the flours tested.

The main aim of this study was to predict the final product and some technological properties from NIR flour spectra and to investigate the relations between them.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The among of 231 samples of variety and commercial wheats from three crop years, 2003–2005, were used for the study as a calibration set. Commercial wheats (60 samples) grown in three central Bohemian regions were obtained from an industrial mill. Variety wheats (171 samples) were supplied by SELGEN Stupice, the Crop Research Institute Prague-Ruzyně, and the Agriculture Research Institute Kroměříž (two crop years only). The grain was ground into flour on a laboratory mill Chopin CD1-auto (France).

Flour proteins were characterised by Kjeldahl protein content and Zeleny sedimentation value which were determined according to the Czech standard methods ČSN 560512-12 and ČSN ISO 5520, respectively.

An overview of the rheological parameters is given in Table 1. Technological properties of wheat fermented dough during maturation and oven rising (first stage of baking) were evaluated by maturograph and OTG (Brabender, Germany), respectively. Maturograph enables, apart from other characteristics, to determine optimal proofing time to obtain the best volume of the final product. It is followed by OTG test during which the dough is heated in oil bath. This test can indicate deficiencies in prior baking stages. As these test procedures are not included in any international or Czech standard methods, they were performed according to our internal method. The dough was prepared in the same way as for the baking test.

Table 1. Overview of technological and final product parameters

Parameters	Method/Apparatus
Proofing time	maturograph
Dough resistance	maturograph
Dough elasticity	maturograph
Proofing stability	maturograph
Dough and bread volume	OTG
Volume change	OTG
Specific loaf volume	rapeseed displacement
Bread cut area	image analysis (Lucia)
Penetration	penetrometer PNR 10
Average cell area	image analysis (Lucia)
Cells per cm ²	image analysis (Lucia)

The final product quality assessment was accomplished by the baking test and image analysis. The test was performed according to the Czech method and the formula was as follows: flour 100%, yeast 4%, sugar 1.5%, fat 1%, salt 1.7% and water. The dough was prepared to optimal consistency of 600 ± 20 BU in the farinograf from 300 g of flour. Dividing and moulding of the dough was made by hand, the loaves were baked at 240°C for 14 min after 50 min of leaving (ŠVEC & HRUŠKOVÁ 2004).

Crumb characteristics were described by penetration (penetrometer PNR 10, Germany) and image analysis (Lucia, Czech Republic). For the latter, a Cohu 2252 TV CCD camera with a controllable central and two point source lights was used. As the base for the image scanning, a fluorescent lamp was used. Two digital images were processed and four measurements (field of view 9×7 mm) were analysed in each quadrant of the image. This provided 32 images for one bread picture. Parameters including total cell number, total cell area, the mean cell area were investigated; cells/cm² and cell to total area ratio were calculated (ŠVEC *et al.* 2005).

NIR spectra were acquired on a wavelength scanning instrument NIRSystem 6500 (Foss NIRSys-

tems, Inc., USA) using a small ring cup, in the range from 400 nm to 2500 nm and with the wavelength increments of 2 nm. Diffuse reflectance was recorded as $\log(1/R)$.

NIR Software WINISI II (Infrasoft Int., USA) was used to evaluate the data and to develop chemometric models. No scatter correction and standard MSC were applied. The data were treated by the first derivative (math setting 1, 4, 4, 1, and 1, 8, 8, 1). Spectral outliers were detected by PCA and eliminated before the model development. Calibration was carried out by modified Partial Least Square (mPLS) regression. Outlier samples were eliminated in two passes and cross-validation with ten groups was used. The best calibration equations were selected according to the lowest standard error of cross-validation (SECV).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

To illustrate the characteristics of the sample set, the mean, range, and variation coefficient are given in Table 2. The quality of wheat flours corresponded to Czech standard for the mill products of fine type. Almost the whole set is represented

Table 2. Flour, dough, and final product parameters

Parameters	Mean	Range		Coefficient of variation (%)
		min.	max.	
Kjeldahl protein ^a (%)	11.9	8.6	16.1	9.4
Zeleny sedimentation (ml)	48	21	72	24.1
Proofing time (min)	37.4	26.0	60.0	15.4
Dough level (BU)	714	450	1165	16.8
Dough elasticity (BU)	220	100	305	11.5
Proofing stability (BU)	8.0	2.0	18.0	40.7
Dough volume (BU)	390	260	565	14.5
Bread volume (11 min) (BU)	533	315	785	15.3
Bread volume (BU)	535	380	858	13.3
Volume change (BU)	145	-55	365	43.0
Specific loaf volume (cm ³ /100 g)	328	200	459	16.6
Bread cut area (cm ²)	34.1	19.2	47.6	10.0
Penetration (mm)	15.8	5.4	28.9	31.6
Average cell area (mm ²)	1.4	0.1	12.1	84.8
Cells per cm ²	32.9	4.8	69.0	34.6

^adry matter basis

by breadmaking flours which cover a wide range of breadmaking quality. It is advisable for the calibration to ensure the widest feasible range of values. The typical variation is about 15%. Higher variations from technological part exhibit two traits: proofing stability and the change of volume during oven rising. The former can be influenced by a worse readout accuracy. Also, the penetration test and image analysis are distinguished by higher variation, especially the average cell area, which includes many outliers; thus the distribution is skewed.

The traits relationships were examined by help of PCA and correlation matrix. Figures 1 and 2 show PCA plots for the technological and final product traits, both including two analytical ones (protein and Zeleny sedimentation). The two plots represent here 39.1 and 48.7% of the total variance, respectively. Maturograph parameters (i. e. proofing) on Figure 1 are mutually highly correlated. There is a group of two bread volume parameters (11 min and final), which are also correlated with the specific loaf volume ($r = 0.684$ and $r = 0.605$, respectively). The baking parameters appear to be more influenced by the protein content than the protein quality (Zeleny sedimentation).

Figure 2 shows a high positive correlation of Kjeldahl protein (protein quantity indicator) with penetration (crumb stiffness indicator), and Zeleny sedimentation (protein quality indicator) with bread cut area (measure of volume), although clas-

sical correlations (r) are 0.475 and 0.354, respectively. Negative correlation ($r = -0.600$) between the average cell area and cells per cm^2 is expectable. The specific loaf volume is determined by both protein and gas formation. The differences in the protein content seem to have a higher influence on the specific volume than the differences in the protein quality in this sample set ($r = 0.548$ and 0.304, respectively).

Only two technological traits were selected for the calibration. These are dough elasticity obtained from maturograph, and the bread volume after 11 min. The former is a very important parameter to judge the dough quality, and maturograph is the only technological apparatus directly measuring elasticity of fermented dough. The latter represents the moment at which the temperature of oil bath reaches 63°C and the enzymes are becoming inactivated.

PCA was used also for NIR spectra, whereas six of them were identified as outliers and eliminated. Standard errors and correlation coefficients of the calibration models are shown in Table 3. Bakery traits (except the specific loaf volume) involve a lower number of samples because they were unavailable for one subset (20 samples). A statistically significant dependence between the predicted and the reference values with probability higher than 99% was found for all parameters. An acceptable prediction accuracy (CV about 10%) was found with Zeleny sedimentation, dough




Figure 1. The relations between technological and analytical traits




Figure 2. The relations between final product and analytical traits

elasticity, baking volume (11 min), specific loaf volume, and bread cut area. Similar results of $SECV = 5.6$ ml and $36 \text{ cm}^3/100 \text{ g}$ were achieved for Zeleny sedimentation and specific loaf volume, respectively, in a previous study (JIRSA *et al.* 2005). Penetration and image analysis models, however, possess two or three times higher variance. Table 4 gives an overview of laboratory errors (measured as repeatability by KOSTELANSKÁ 2006) and corrected $SECV$ for technological and bakery traits. Corrected $SECV$ (i.e. true model errors) are, generally, significantly higher than the laboratory errors. Only in the case of dough elasticity the two errors are comparable.

CONCLUSIONS

NIR technique was used to predict the technological and the final product qualities. A statistically significant dependence between the predicted and the reference values with probability higher than 99% was found with all parameters. The potential of NIR technique as known from literature and experience was confirmed for the prediction of the protein content and Zeleny sedimentation. Some traits, such as dough stability, bread volume (11 min), specific loaf volume, and bread cut area seem to be prospective at least for rough screening. The selection of NIR reflectance spectra to predict

Table 3. Calibration and cross-validation

Parameters	<i>n</i>	Calibration				Cross-validation		
		factors	SECV	CV (%)	R^2	SECV	CV (%)	R^2
Kjeldahl protein (% DM)	218	12	0.11	0.9	0.991	0.13	1.1	0.986
Zeleny sedimentation (ml)	215	13	3.57	7.3	0.905	4.87	10.0	0.824
Dough elasticity (BU)	217	5	15.2	6.9	0.458	16.0	7.3	0.402
Bread volume (11 min) (BU)	215	3	55.8	10.5	0.432	57.9	10.9	0.392
Specific loaf volume ($\text{cm}^3/100 \text{ g}$)	218	7	30.8	9.4	0.661	33.8	10.3	0.591
Bread cut area (cm^2)	202	7	2.21	6.5	0.498	2.51	7.4	0.350
Penetration (mm)	202	7	3.60	22.8	0.471	4.10	26.0	0.316
Average cell area (mm^2)	193	7	0.35	29.7	0.581	0.40	33.7	0.469
Cells per cm^2	197	7	6.55	20.5	0.610	7.33	22.9	0.514

Table 4. Comparison of apparent and corrected SECV for technological and final product quality trakte

Parameters	Apparent SECV	s_{ref}^a	Corected SECV
Dough elasticity (BU)	16.0	10.9	11.7
Bread volume (11 min) (BU)	57.9	19.6	54.4
Specific loaf volume (cm ³ /100 g)	33.8	7.0	33.0
Bread cut area (cm ²)	2.51	0.94	2.33
Penetration (mm)	4.10	1.23	3.91
Average cell area (mm ²)	0.40	0.06	0.40
Cells per cm ²	7.33	0.66	7.30

^a KOSTELANSKÁ (2006)

bread crumb texture characteristics, however, did not yield satisfactory results. Next study should be focused on improving the presented models using future wheat crops.

References

- BHANDARI D.G., MILLAR S.J., SCOTTER C.N.G. (2000): Prediction of wheat protein and HMW-gluten contents by near infrared (NIR) spectroscopy. In: SHEWRY P.R., TATHAM A.S. (eds.): *Wheat Gluten*. Royal Society of Chemistry, Cambridge: 313–316.
- CZUCHAJOWSKA Z., POMERANZ Y. (1991): Evaluation of vital dry gluten composition and functionality in breadmaking by near-infrared reflectance spectroscopy. *Cereal Foods World*, **36**: 439–440, 442, 444–446.
- CZUCHAJOWSKA Z., POMERANZ Y. (1993): Gas formation and gas retention I. The system and methodology. *Cereal Foods World*, **38**: 499–503.
- DELWICHE S.R., GRAYBOSH R.A., PETERSON C.J. (1998): Predicting protein and biological properties of HRW wheat by NIR. *Cereal Chemistry*, **75**: 412–416.
- HRUŠKOVÁ M., BEDNÁŘOVÁ M., NOVOTNÝ F. (2001): Wheat flour dough rheological characteristics predicted by NIRSystems 6500. *Czech Journal of Food Sciences*, **19**: 213–218.
- JANSSEN A.M., VAN VLIET T., VEREIJKEN J.M. (1996): Fundamental and empirical rheological behavior of wheat flour doughs and comparison with breadmaking performance. *Journal of Cereal Science*, **23**: 43–45.
- JIRSA O., HRUŠKOVÁ M., ŠVEC I. (2005): Prediction of fermented wheat dough behaviour. *Getreidetechnologie*, **58**: 145–151.
- KOSTELANSKÁ M. (2006): *Analýza jakostních znaků pšeničného pečiva*. [Diplomová práce.] VŠCHT, Praha.
- POMERANZ Y. (1988): *Wheat II*. AACC, St. Paul.
- RUBENTHALER G.L., POMERANZ Y. (1987): Near-infrared reflectance spectra of hard red winter wheats varying widely in protein content and breadmaking potential. *Cereal Chemistry*, **64**: 407–411.
- ŠVEC I., HRUŠKOVÁ M. (2004): Wheat flour fermentation study. *Czech Journal of Food Sciences*, **22**: 17–23.
- ŠVEC I., HRUŠKOVÁ M., JIRSA O. (2005): Image data of bread crumb structure. In: *Proceedings 32nd International Conference of Slovak Society of Chemical Engineering, Tatranské Matliare*, 23.–27. 5. 2005.

Received for publication January 16, 2007

Accepted after corrections April 27, 2007

Corresponding author:

Ing. ONDŘEJ JIRSA, Vysoká škola chemicko-technologická v Praze, Fakulta potravinářské a biochemické technologie, Ústav chemie a technologie sacharidů, Technická 5, 166 28 Praha 6, Česká republika
tel.: + 420 224 353 120, fax: + 420 233 199 990, e-mail: jirsao@vscht.cz