

The dynamics of changes in selected parameters in relation to different air temperature in the farrowing house for sows

S. ODEHNALOVÁ¹, A. VINKLER¹, P. NOVÁK², J. DRÁBEK¹

¹Clinic of Swine Diseases, University of Veterinary and Pharmaceutical Sciences Brno, Czech Republic

²Department of Animal Nutrition, Husbandry and Hygiene, University of Veterinary and Pharmaceutical Sciences Brno, Czech Republic

ABSTRACT: The purpose of this study was to analyse the influence of different external air temperature on changes in the air temperature of housing environment in the farrowing house for sows and consequent changes in selected parameters of performance in purebred Landrace sows and gilts. Raw data were analysed for 236 litters. The litters were born from October 2004 to March 2006. Air temperature in the farrowing house was monitored in the sow's living zone and was closely related to changes in external air temperature ($P < 0.01$). At the optimal internal temperatures for lactating sows (16–22°C) during mild winter the lowest incidence of stillbirths (9.92%) was detected, whereas at high internal temperatures (above 28°C) the incidence of stillbirths was 11.32% ($P < 0.01$). The lowest average daily weight gain was recorded during mild winter ($P < 0.05$).

Keywords: sows; air temperature; reproductive parameters; average daily weight gain

There has been a lot of discussions about internal air temperature in farrowing houses and its influence on the duration of farrowing and stillbirth incidence, but the clear conclusions are still missing.

Stillborn piglets may look quite normal but they die during delivery (Dial et al., 1992; Christianson, 1992). Of the piglets classified as stillborn, 70–75% on average die during the delivery, the rest of them die shortly after birth (Glastonbury, 1977).

In about 30% of cases, pathogenic agents are identified as a cause of stillbirth (Vanroose et al., 2000), 70% of stillbirths are caused by various factors such as litter size, age and condition of the sow, birth weight of the piglet as well as internal temperature in the farrowing house (Madec and Tillion, 1986).

The major cause of piglet losses during the parturition is asphyxia (Glastonbury, 1977; English and Wilkinson, 1982; Christianson, 1992, Herpin et al., 2001), which frequently occurs in dystocia cases. Asphyxia is caused by an interruption of oxygen supply to the piglet due to damage of the umbilical cord before the piglet is expelled from the birth canal.

The factors that increase the risk of stillbirth include prolonged parturition, premature rupture of the umbilical cord, birth in the last third of the birth order, litter size over 12 piglets, increasing parity (Bille et al., 1974). Perinatal mortality of piglets can be influenced also by the environment, nutrition and by congenital defects inherited from the sire and/or the dam (Christianson, 1992).

Supported by the Internal Grant Agency of the University of Veterinary and Pharmaceutical Sciences Brno, Czech Republic (Project No. 73/2007/FVL).

Table 1. Summary of pig temperature requirements for different stages of production

Stage of production	Body weight (kg)	Temperature range (°C)
Gestation	–	15–24 ^{a,b}
Lactation: sow	–	15–21 ^b
Lactation: piglet	–	28–32 ^a
Weaning	4–7	25–32 ^{a,c}
	7–25	21–27 ^b
Growing	25–60	15–24 ^{a,b}
Finishing	60–100	14–21 ^{a,b}

^aZhang (1994); ^bMcFarlane and Cunningham (1993); ^cLe Dividich and Herpin (1994)

The effects of genetics on stillbirths were demonstrated by Siewerdt and Cardellino (1996), who reported the stillbirth heritability 0.02–0.08, and by studies reporting higher stillbirth rates in purebred than in crossbred litters (Blasco et al., 1995).

Baxter and Petherick (1980) proposed that the farrowing environment could be responsible for prolonged farrowing and increased rate of stillbirths. Adrenaline, released in response to a short-term stress, counteracts the effect of oxytocin, which causes the contraction of smooth muscle in the uterus. Baxter and Petherick (1980) hypothesized that the frustration of natural nest-building behaviour in sows housed in restrictive farrowing crates causes a stress which contributes to the inefficient expulsion of piglets. Fraser and Broom (1990) described the movements of sow's legs and body which "force out fluids" and they suggested that a foetus may be expelled at the same time.

Ambient temperatures around 18–23°C are usually recommended in farrowing houses, since higher temperatures are suggested to reduce the feed intake and milk production of sows as the period of lactation proceeds (Biensen et al., 1996; Svendsen and Svendsen, 1997; Barnett et al., 2001). At 12°C to 18°C, the sows are in conditions considerably below their lower critical temperature and require additional feed to achieve the projected live weight increase (Radostits et al., 2001). Evaporative critical temperature was estimated to be below 22°C by Quiniou and Noblet (1999). The temperature zone of thermal comfort for the lactating sow ranges from 12 to 22°C depending on the diet, type of flooring and other climatic conditions (Black et al., 1993). The lower critical temperature of a single newborn piglet is 34°C (Berthon et al., 1994), thus there exists an obvious conflict between the heat

requirements of the sow and piglets in the farrowing pen that may not be solved easily.

Newborn piglets have remarkably higher requirements for warmth. For domestic piglets in a production situation, the lower critical temperature is about 34°C (Curtis, 1983), and a room temperature of 18–20°C is cool enough to depress the rectal temperature and colostrum intake of newborn piglets (Le Dividich and Noblet, 1981). However, the piglets' need for warmth creates a problem for the sow, because her own thermal comfort zone is generally much lower, with the lower limit estimated at about 18–20°C by Curtis (1983).

The purpose of this study was to analyse the influence of different external air temperature on changes in the air temperature of housing environment in the farrowing house for sows and consequent changes in selected parameters in purebred Landrace sows and gilts.

Pig temperature requirements for different stages of production are shown in Table 1.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The observations were done on a commercial pig farm from October 2004 to March 2006.

Animals

The study included 82 litters from gilts and 154 litters from sows of purebred Landrace. The litters under study were divided according to the external temperature periods when the piglets were born: 22 litters born in hot summer, 121 in mild summer, 55 litters in a spring-autumn period and

65 litters in mild winter. The frosty winter period was not recorded during the observation.

Housing

Sows and gilts were housed in farrowing pens with sow fixation crates and partially slatted floor. The floor of the piglet nests was solid. Barriers between the farrowing pens consisted of vertical bars.

Sows and gilts, ca. 10–14 days before the due date, were moved to the farrowing house to fill one room in the farrowing house (24 sows) every week.

Sows and gilts received wet feed twice per day. The diet was intended for lactating sows. Each pen was equipped with an automatic drinker. Piglets were provided water by an automatic drinker and received prestarter feed *ad libitum* from 7 days of age. Every day, fresh prestarter was provided and leftovers were removed.

Piglets were weaned at about 28 days of age.

Measurements and experimental protocol

For each litter, the following parameters were recorded: total number of piglets born, number of live-born piglets, number of stillborn piglets, and average daily weight gain of piglets from birth to 21 days of age, number of deaths and also number of piglets weaned. All the deaths (visually normal dead piglets) were either stillborn piglets or those that died very soon after birth.

The external air temperature was measured with Datalogger (COMET), located on the farm premis-

es. Temperature was measured at hourly intervals. Average daily temperature was calculated from 24 values measured. For the description of external temperature periods, average monthly temperature was used (calculated from average daily temperatures). The period of frosty winter (FW) was characterized by temperatures lower than -10°C , mild winter (MW) -10°C to 0°C , spring – autumn (SA) 0°C to 10°C , mild summer (MS) 10°C to 20°C , and hot summer (HS) above 20°C .

The internal air temperature was also measured with Datalogger (COMET), kept in the farrowing house in the sow living zone which is characterized by the height of the sow's head (35 cm above the floor). Temperature was measured every hour. From the values measured, average daily room temperature was calculated.

The internal air temperature values were divided into the following intervals: optimal (O) $16\text{--}22^{\circ}\text{C}$, elevated (E) $22\text{--}28^{\circ}\text{C}$, and high (H) 28°C and more, for the lactating sow.

Reproduction parameters were evaluated with regard to the average internal air temperature on the day of delivery – total number of piglets born (TNB), number of live-born (LB) piglets, number of stillbirths (SB), or the average stable temperature in the period of 21 days after each delivery – average daily weight gain (ADWG) of piglets from birth to 21 days of age, preweaning mortality – number of deaths (D) and also the number of piglets weaned (WP).

Statistical analysis

Statistical evaluation of correlations between external air temperatures in the area of the farm and




Figure 1. The relationship between internal air temperature in the farrowing house for sows and external air temperature

Table 2. Relationships between external and internal air temperature with coefficients of correlation

External temperature period	Equation	Coefficient of correlation
Whole observation	$y = 21.5006 + 0.1554x + 0.0022x^2$	$r = 0.6259$
Hot summer	$y = 21.7161 - 0.0974x + 0.0111x^2$	$r = 0.8125$
Mild summer	$y = 18.2761 + 0.371x - 0.0006x^2$	$r = 0.7325$
Spring-autumn period	$y = 22.9707 + 0.3187x - 0.01x^2$	$r = 0.4395$
Mild winter	$y = 21.593 + 0.2833x + 0.0049x^2$	$r = 0.4530$

x – external air temperature; y – internal air temperature

Table 3. The number and distribution of parturitions during the time of observation

Internal air temperature	High (> 28°C)	Elevated (22–28°C)	Optimal (16–22°C)	All together
Hot summer	6	16	0	22
Mild summer	11	96	14	121
Spring-autumn	3	40	12	55
Mild winter	0	23	42	65

internal air temperatures in the farrowing house for sows was done by PC Excel software.

The statistical evaluation of data observed was done by STATISTICA.CZ software; the method of general regression models was used.

RESULTS

Influence of external air temperature on internal air temperature

Internal air temperature all the year round was significantly influenced by external temperature measured on the farm premises (Figure 1).

In the hot summer (HS) period, internal air temperature exceeded the optimal temperature

for lactating sows (above 22°C). Also in the mild summer (MS) period and spring-autumn (SA) period, internal air temperature was usually above the optimal temperature. In the MW period, the room temperature in the farrowing house ranged between the optimal and elevated values. Statistical significance on the level $P < 0.01$ was calculated for all the external temperature periods with the highest correlation index in hot summer (Table 2).

Influence of internal air temperature on selected reproductive parameters

No delivery took place under the optimal stable temperature in the farrowing house under study in hot summer. In the mild winter period, no deliver-

Table 4. Basic data on piglets losses in different external temperature periods

	TNB	LB	SB	WP	D	ADWG till 21 days of age
HS	11.23	9.95	1.27	9.41	0.54	216.5
MS	10.71	9.52	1.18	9.25	0.27	214.9
SA	10.69	9.47	1.22	9.17	0.30	217.1
MW	10.08	9.08	1.00	8.76	0.31	208.1

HS – hot summer; TNB – total number of born piglets; MS – mild summer; LB – live-born piglets; SA – spring-autumn period; SB – stillborn piglets; MW – mild winter; WP – weaned piglets; D – dead piglets; ADWG – average daily weight gain




Figure 2. The number of stillborn piglets in different external air temperature periods divided due to internal temperature on the day of parturition
HS – hot summer; MS – mild summer; SA – spring autumn period; MW – mild winter




Figure 3. The occurrence of the deaths of piglets in different external air temperature periods divided due to internal temperature from parturition to 21 days of age
HS – hot summer; MS – mild summer; SA – spring autumn period; MW – mild winter

ies took place under elevated stable temperatures (Table 3).

(Table 5) and also in each interval of internal air temperature (Table 6).

Live born piglets

Comparing different external temperature periods a very similar number of live-born piglets was found (Table 4). This finding was also supported by any statistical significance in live-born piglets in each observed external temperature period

Stillborn piglets

The evaluation of the number of stillborn piglets according to external temperature periods indicated on average 1.27 stillborn piglets per each litter in hot summer, which means 11.3% of the total number of born, 1.18 stillborn piglets per

Table 5. Statistical evaluation of basic parameters according to each external temperature period

	LB	SB	WP	D	ADWG till 21 days of age
HS vs. MS	NS	NS	NS	$P < 0.05$	NS
HS vs. SA	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
HS vs. MW	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
MS vs. SA	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
MS vs. MW	NS	NS	NS	NS	$P < 0.05$
SA vs. MW	NS	NS	NS	NS	$P < 0.05$

HS – hot summer; TNB – total number of born piglets; MS – mild summer; LB – live-born piglets; SA – spring-autumn period; SB – stillborn piglets; MW – mild winter; WP – weaned piglets; D – dead piglets; ADWG – average daily weight gain; NS – not statistically significant




Figure 4. The average daily weight gain of piglets in different external air temperature periods divided due to internal temperature from parturition to 21 days of age

HS – hot summer; MS – mild summer; SA – spring autumn period; MW – mild winter

each litter in mild summer, which means 11.01%, 1.22 stillborn piglets per each litter in the spring-autumn period, which means 11.41%, and finally 1.00 stillborn piglet per each litter in mild winter, which means 9.92% of the total number of born (Figure 5).

No significant influence of any external temperature period on the number of stillborn piglets was found (Table 5). A significantly negative influence of high internal air temperatures on the occurrence of stillbirths was found by comparing different intervals of internal air temperature in each external temperature period. In mild summer there was a significant difference ($P < 0.05$) in the occurrence of stillbirths between elevated and high temperatures, and a significant difference ($P < 0.01$) between optimal and high temperatures (Figure 2). In the spring-autumn period as well as in mild winter there was a significant difference ($P < 0.05$) in the occurrence of stillbirths between elevated and optimal temperatures ($P < 0.01$) (Table 6). The statistical analysis, compromising just stable temperature not external temperature periods, indicated the highest number of stillbirths by the deliveries at the high temperature $P < 0.01$.

Prewaning mortality

Based on the comparison of losses till weaning (Table 4), significantly lower losses were found in mild summer than in hot summer ($P < 0.05$) (Table 5). However, more piglets died in the interval of optimal internal air temperature while no significant differences were found (Table 7 and Figure 3).

Average daily weight gain till 21 days

The lowest average daily weight gain (208.1 g/kg) ($P < 0.05$) of the piglets was observed in mild winter and the highest (217.1 g/kg) in the spring-autumn period ($P < 0.05$) (Tables 4 and 5, and Figure 4). Evaluating the internal air temperature without the influence of the external temperature period the higher average daily weight gain was observed in the interval of elevated internal air temperature than at the optimal temperature ($P < 0.05$) (Table 7).

Number of weaned piglets

The highest number of weaned piglets per litter 9.41 was recorded in hot summer, but no signifi-




Figure 5. The number of live-born and stillborn piglets at hot, elevated and optimal internal temperature

HS – hot summer; MS – mild summer; SA – spring autumn period; MW – mild winter

Table 6. Statistical evaluation of the influence of average daily temperature on the parturition day on piglet losses

External temperature period	Hot summer			Mild summer			Spring-autumn period			Mild winter
	H vs. E	H vs. O	E vs. O	H vs. E	H vs. O	E vs. O	H vs. E	H vs. O	E vs. O	E vs. O
Internal air temperature										
LB	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
SB	NS	NS	NS	$P < 0.05$	$P < 0.01$	$P < 0.05$	NS	$P < 0.05$	$P < 0.05$	$P < 0.01$

LB – live-born piglets; H – hot internal air temperature; SB – stillborn piglets; E – elevated internal air temperature; O – optimal internal air temperature; NS – not statistically significant

Table 7. Statistical evaluation of the influence of average daily temperature during the lactation period on piglet losses without consideration of external temperature periods during the observation

Internal air temperature	Elevated	Optimal	Elevated vs. optimal
Number of parturitions	175	73	
Weaned	9.15	8.79	NS
Deaths	0.29	0.36	NS
Average daily gain till 21 days of age (g)	221	204	$P < 0.05$

NS – not statistically significant

cant differences were observed. More piglets were weaned when the internal air temperature was classified as elevated ($P = 0.08$) (Table 7).

DISCUSSION

The total number of piglets born is influenced by environmental conditions in the stable at the time of mating and during the whole pregnancy. The observation of this parameter is needed to evaluate the effect of environmental conditions on stillbirths and piglet mortality until weaning.

The comparison of external temperature periods and their influence on stillbirths did not give any significant results, nevertheless, the detailed monitoring of room temperatures in the farrowing house at the time of delivery provided highly significant results. The highest numbers of stillborn piglets were recorded in the deliveries that took place at high room temperatures; the lowest number was found in those taking place at the optimal room temperature.

If the absolute values were converted into percentage values, there were 18.3% stillbirths at high stable temperatures, 11.6% stillbirths at elevated temperatures, and 6.9% stillbirths at the optimal

temperature, out of the total number of piglets born. English and Edwards (1996) suggested 4–8% of stillbirths out of the total number of piglets born as acceptable. This range was not exceeded only in the case of deliveries taking place at the optimal room temperature.

The factors that influence the number of stillbirths include the length of pregnancy, total number piglets born and birth weight (Zaleski and Hacker, 1993; Leenhouders et al., 1999). A higher occurrence of stillbirths in larger litters could be associated with prolonged parturition (Bille et al., 1974; Zaleski and Hacker, 1993). The most frequent cause of prolonged parturition is uterine hypocontractility.

There is either primary or secondary uterine hypocontractility. Uterine hypocontractility can be caused, among other factors, by the excretion of adrenalin due to stress. Adrenalin is a sympathetic mediator that influences the uterine myometrium adversely (McDonald, 1980).

Elevated room temperatures exert a stress on the parturient sow. Adrenalin, excreted in the alarm phase, causes the vasoconstriction of vessels in the smooth muscle via the postsynaptic α_1 -receptors, consequently also in the uterine smooth muscle (Ruckebusch et al., 1991). Vasoconstriction pre-

vents oxytocin from performing its uterotonic effect. Consequently, the parturition is prolonged, and the secondary uterine hypocontractility develops. If the parturition is prolonged, both due to the primary or secondary hypocontractility, the interval between the ejected piglets is longer and the risk of hypoxia or asphyxia is higher (Fraser et al., 1997).

The chance for piglets to survive decreases with an increasing litter size because in numerous litters the birth weight is reduced and competition for teats is higher (Dyck and Swierstra, 1987; Roehe and Kalm, 2000). However, some studies reported an increased stillbirth occurrence with decreasing litter size (Šovljanski et al., 1971). The relative immaturity of piglets is a cause of increased stillbirth occurrence after shortened pregnancy (Zaleski and Hacker, 1993).

Human intervention in the parturition process decreases periparturient mortality by up to 40% (White et al., 1996).

In this study, piglets born and reared at high room temperatures showed higher average daily weight gain. This finding is not in compliance with that reported by Spencer et al. (2003), i.e. high room temperatures during lactation decrease milk production, piglet growth rate and reproductive performance of the sow. This phenomenon could be explained by using the energy supplied by milk not only for growth but also for warming-up the piglet body at the optimal room temperature for a lactating sow, because of the non-functional differential microclimate system taking into account newborn piglets and lactating sow in the farrowing house under study.

CONCLUSION

If the parturition is prolonged due to room temperatures exceeding the critical temperature, the occurrence of stillbirths is increased. Hereto, the conditions in the farrowing house are set to be convenient for the lactating sow (gilt) as well as for the newborn piglet, higher occurrence of parturitions without any problem is reported and the number of stillbirths is getting lower at the optimal temperature for the sow. At high temperatures, better growth parameters in piglets were achieved, but high room temperatures have a negative impact on parturient and lactating sows (gilts).

REFERENCES

- Barnett J.L., Hemsworth P.H., Cronin G.M., Jongman E.C., Hutson G.D. (2001): A review of the welfare issues for sows and piglets in relation to housing. *Aust. J. Agric. Res.*, 52, 1–28.
- Baxter M.R., Petherick J.C. (1980): The effect of restraint on parturition in the sow. In: Nielsen N.C., Hogh P., Bille N. (eds.): *Proc. International Pig Veterinary Society Congress*. Copenhagen, Denmark, 84 pp.
- Berthon D., Herpin P., Le Dividich J. (1994): Shivering thermogenesis in the neonatal pig. *J. Therm. Biol.*, 19, 413–418.
- Biensen N.J., von Borell E.H., Ford S.P. (1996): Effects of space allocation and temperature on periparturient maternal behaviours, steroid concentrations, and piglet growth rates. *J. Anim. Sci.*, 74, 2641–2648.
- Bille N., Nielsen N.C., Larsen J.L., Svendsen J. (1974): Prewaning mortality in pigs. 2. The perinatal period. *Nord. Vet. Med.*, 26, 294–313.
- Black J.L., Mullan B.P., Lorsch M.L., Giles L.R. (1993): Lactation in the sow during heat stress. *Livest. Prod. Sci.*, 35, 153–170.
- Blasco A., Bidanel J.P., Haley C.S. (1995): Genetics and neonatal survival. In: Varley M.A. (ed.): *The Neonatal Pig. Development and Survival*, CAB International, Wallingford, Australia, 17–38.
- Curtis S.E. (1983): *Environment management in animal agriculture*. The Iowa State Univ. Press, Ames IA., USA, 135–138.
- Dial G.D., Marsh W.E., Salson D.D., Vaillancourt J.P. (1992): Reproductive failure: differential diagnosis. In: Leman A.D., Straw B.E., Mengeling W.L., D’Allaire S., Taylor D.J. (eds.): *Diseases of Swine*, 7th ed. Iowa State University Press, USA, 88–137.
- Dyck G.W., Swierstra E.E. (1987): Causes of piglet death from birth to weaning. *Can. J. Anim. Sci.*, 67, 543–547.
- English P.R., Wilkinson V. (1982): Management of the sow and litter in late pregnancy and lactation in relation to piglet survival and growth. In: Cole D.J.A., Foxcroft G.R. (eds.): *Control of Pig Reproduction*. Butterworths, London, Great Britain, 479–506.
- English P.R., Edwards S.A. (1996): Management of the nursing sow and her litter. In: Dunkin A.C., Taverner M. (eds.): *Pig Production*. World Animal Science, Elsevier, Amsterdam, Netherland, C10, 113–140.
- Fraser A.F., Broom D.M. (1990): *Farm animal behavior and welfare*, 3rd ed., Bailliere Tindall, London, Great Britain, 283–286.
- Fraser D., Phillips P.A., Thompson B.K. (1997): Farrowing behaviour and stillbirth in two environments: an eva-

- luation of the restraint-stillbirth hypothesis. *Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci.*, 55, 51–66.
- Glastonbury J.R.W. (1977): Preweaning mortality in the pig. Pathological findings in piglets dying before and during parturition. *Aust. Vet. J.*, 53, 282–286.
- Herpin P., Hulin J.C., Le Dividich J., Fillaut J. (2001): Effect of oxygen inhalation at birth on the reduction of early postnatal mortality in pigs. *J. Anim. Sci.*, 79, 5–10.
- Christianson W.T. (1992): Stillbirths, mummies, abortions and early embryonic death. In: Tubbs R.C., Leman A.D. (eds.): *Veterinary clinics of North America: Food Animal Practice, Swine Reproduction*. Saunders, Philadelphia, USA, 8, 623–639.
- Le Dividich J., Noblet J. (1981): Colostrum intake and thermoregulation in the neonatal pig in relation to environmental temperature. *Biol. Neonate*, 40, 167–174.
- Le Dividich J., Herpin P. (1994): Effects of climatic conditions on the performance, metabolism and health status of weaned piglets: a review. *Livest. Prod. Sci.*, 38, 79–90.
- Leenhouders J.I., Van der Lende T., Knol E.F. (1999): Analysis of stillbirth in different lines of pig. *Livest. Prod. Sci.*, 57, 243–253.
- Madec F., Tillion J.P. (1986): Epidemiological approach of stillbirth problem in intensive swine herds. In: *Proc. International Pig Veterinary Society*. Barcelona, Spain, 88–91.
- McDonald L.E. (1980): *Veterinary Endocrinology and Reproduction*. Lea and Febiger. Philadelphia, USA, 386 pp.
- McFarlane J.M., Cunningham F. (1993): Environment: Proper ventilation is key to top performance. *Vet. Scope*, 3, 6–9.
- Radostits O.M., Bickert W.G., Chenoweth P.J., Dee S., Deen J., Eriskine R.J., Farin P.W., Gerloff B.J., Griffin D.D., Heinrichs A.J., Kinsel M.L., Morrison R.B., Radke B., Reneau J.K., Ruegg P.L., Sanderson M.W., Scott P. R., Shook G.E., Slenning B.D., Smith R.A., Stokka G. L., Waldner Ch. (2001): Herd health: food animal production medicine. 3rd ed., W.B. Saunders company. Philadelphia, USA, 243–245.
- Roehe R., Kalm E. (2000): Estimation of genetic and environmental risk factors associated with pre-weaning mortality in piglets using generalized linear models. *Anim. Sci.*, 70, 227–240.
- Ruckebusch Y., Phaneuf L.P., Dunlop R. (1991): *Physiology of Small and Large Animals*. B.C. Decker Inc. Philadelphia, USA. 401 pp.
- Quiniou N., Noblet J. (1999): Influence of high ambient temperatures on performance of multiparous lactating sows. *J. Anim. Sci.*, 77, 2124–2134.
- Siewerdt F., Cardellino R.A. (1996): Genetic parameters of piglet mortality from birth to 21 days of age in the Landrace breed. *Rev. Soc. Bras. Zootéc.*, 25, 902–909.
- Spencer J.D., Boyd R.D., Cabrera R., Allee G.L. (2003): Early weaning to reduce tissue mobilization in lactating sows and milk supplementation to enhance weight during extreme heat stress. *J. Anim. Sci.*, 81, 2041–2052.
- Svendsen J., Svendsen L.S. (1997): Intensive (commercial) systems for breeding sows and piglets to weaning. *Livest. Prod. Sci.*, 49, 165–179.
- Šovljanski B., Milosavljevic S., Murgaški S., Trbojevic G., Radovic B. (1971): Effect of litter size on the incidence of stillborn piglets. *Acta Vet. Beograd*, 21, 241–245.
- Vanroose G., de Kruif A., Van Soom A. (2000): Embryonic mortality and embryo-pathogen interactions. *Anim. Reprod. Sci.*, 61, 131–143.
- White K.R., Anderson D.M., Bate L.A. (1996): Increasing piglet survival through an improved farrowing management protocol. *Can. J. Anim. Sci.*, 76, 491–495.
- Zaleski H.M., Hacker R.R. (1993): Variables related to the progress of parturition and probability of stillbirth in swine. *Can. Vet. J.*, 34, 109–113.
- Zhang Y. (1994): *Swine building ventilation: A Guide for confinement swine housing in cold climates*. Prairie Swine Centre. Saskatoon, Canada, 144 pp.

Received: 2007–08–29

Accepted after correction: 2008–02–06

Corresponding Author

MVDr. Svetlana Odehnalová, Clinic of Swine Diseases, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Veterinary and Pharmaceutical Sciences Brno, Palackého třída 1-3, 612 42 Brno, Czech Republic
Tel. +420 541 562 438, e-mail: odehnalovas@vfu.cz