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Abstract: The paper deals with the measurement of the resonance frequencies of wheat stems with special respect to different wheat varieties. For the measurement, the dynamical method of the transverse frequency was used. Formulas were derived for the calculation of the bending toughness of stems. The t-test was used for the evaluation of the strength coefficient in bending for the samples of stems of different wheat varieties. The results can be used for the evaluation of the wheat resistance to lodging.
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Plant lodging results in a lower grain yield and its substandard quality, and also the mechanical harvesting conditions are strongly deteriorated. This process leads to considerable losses in agriculture – the losses of grain due to the lodging of the plant reach 25–50% in some years (Pruckovova & Uchanovova 1976).

In agricultural practice, two kinds of plant lodging are distinguished: the stem lodging and the root lodging. The stem lodging begins by flexion and/or breaking of the individual stems. The plant stems start to elongate and the lower internodes are then weaker: plants decline and they are not able to lift the weight of the heavy part of the plant.

The domestic and foreign experience indicates that the resistance to plant lodging depends on the plant stem length. An important task in the attempts to reduce plant lodging is played by the mechanical properties of the stems. Among others, an important role belongs to the elastic and viscoplastic properties. The elastic properties can be given by the stem resonance frequencies as well as by the stem modulus of elasticity (Dunca 2005), the viscoplastic properties are given by internal tensions. The strength properties are given by the strength coefficient in bending (Dunca 2004).

In this paper are given the measured resonance frequencies as well as the calculated strength coefficients of various wheat varieties.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

We used samples of wheat stems of various varieties. The names of varieties are introduced in Tables 1–5.

The resonant frequencies of the wheat stems were found out using the dynamic resonant method of transversal oscillations. The strength coefficients in bending were calculated according to the formula on the basis of the measurement of resonant frequencies of the stems, the length of the plant stems, the outer stem diameter and the stem wall thickness. We approximated the stem internodium cross section by annulus.

Block scheme of the measuring equipment is given in Figure 1.

As the source of the frequencies, we utilised the RC generator. For the function of the alarm – clock and sensor, we utilised the gramophone pick-up. We counted the frequencies by the frequency counters. The voltage at the resonance frequency was registered by the milivoltmeter.

The tension of the resonance frequency was recorded in the milivoltmeter. During the measurement, the stem samples were fixed into two places. The fluent change of the source frequencies led to the state of the resonance, where the amplitude frequency was maximum and the maximum deviation of the milivoltmeter was observed. The resonance frequency characterises the stem elastic properties. Frequencies \(f_1\) and \(f_4\) preset frequencies when maximum amplitude is equal to one half before and after resonance. Damping factor \(\delta\) is expressed by the formula

\[
\delta = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{3}} \times \frac{\Delta f}{f}
\]

where:

- \(f\) – resonance frequency
- \(\Delta f = f_2 - f_1\)
The comprehensive view of the measurement instrument at the transverse oscillations of a stem sample is given in Figure 2.

**Evaluation of the mechanical data**

The sample transversal oscillation is described by differential equation of fourth order (Plander & Tomáš 1968):

\[ a^2 \times \frac{\partial^4 u}{\partial x^4} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} = 0 \]  

where:

\[ a^2 = \frac{EJ}{\rho S} \]

\[ E \] – stem modulus of elasticity

\[ J \] – second moment of area

\[ \rho \] – stem density

\[ S \] – stem cross section area

The solution of Eq. (1) can be expressed as:

\[ u = u(t)X(x) \]  

where:

\[ u(t) = (A \cos \omega t + B \sin \omega t) \]

\[ \omega = 2\pi f \]

\[ f \] – frequency

\[ X(x) \] – function expressed by the following formula

\[ X(x) = C_1 \cos kx + C_2 \sin kx + C_3 \cosh kx + C_4 \sinh kx \]  

where:

\[ \cosh kx = \frac{e^{kx} + e^{-kx}}{2} \]

\[ \sinh kx = \frac{e^{kx} - e^{-kx}}{2} \]
After substitution of Eq. (2) into Eq. (1) we get
\[
\frac{\partial^4 X}{\partial x^4} - \frac{\omega^2}{a^2} X = 0 \tag{4}
\]
where:
\[
\frac{\omega^2}{a^2} = k^4
\]
\[
k l_1 = \lambda
\]
\[
l_1 - \text{internodium length}
\]
\[
\lambda - \text{parameter}
\]
Moment inertia of the annulus is
\[
J = \frac{\pi}{64} (d_2^4 - d_1^4) \tag{5}
\]
where:
\[
d_2 - \text{outer diameter}
\]
\[
d_1 - \text{inner diameter}
\]
\[
S = \frac{\pi}{4} (d_2^2 - d_1^2) \tag{6}
\]
where:
\[
S - \text{area}
\]
Utilising Eqs (4), (5) and (6), we get for the modulus of elasticity
\[
E = \frac{64\pi^4 l_1^4 f^2 \rho}{\lambda^3 (d_2^2 + d_1^2)^3} \tag{7}
\]
The solution of the equation of the bending line (Smirnov 1956) leads to
\[
y'' = \frac{M}{E J} \tag{8}
\]
where:
\[
M - \text{moment force}
\]
\[
f - \text{second moment of area (cross section)}
\]
\[
E - \text{modulus of elasticity}
\]
\[
y - \text{bending of stems}
\]
The solution of (8) gives
\[
y = \frac{F l^3}{3EJ} \tag{9}
\]
where:
\[
l - \text{stem length}
\]
\[
F - \text{force}
\]
The solution of (9) gives
\[
k = \frac{F}{y} = \frac{3EJ}{l^3} \tag{10}
\]
where:
\[
k = k_1 \times k_2
\]
\[
k - \text{stronghold (firmness)}
\]
\[
k_1 - \text{constant}
\]
\[
k_2 - \text{strength coefficient in bending}
\]
Using Eqs. (5), (6), (7) and (10), we obtain
\[
k = \frac{12\pi^2 l_1^4 \rho}{\lambda^4} \times \frac{\pi}{4} \times \frac{(d_2^4 - d_1^4) f^2}{(d_2^2 - d_1^2)^3} \tag{11}
\]
Using (11) leads to
\[
k = \frac{12\pi^2 l_1^4 \rho}{\lambda^4} \times \frac{\pi}{4} \times \frac{(d_2^4 - d_1^4) f^2}{(d_2^2 - d_1^2)^3} \tag{12}
\]
Using (12) gives

\[ k = k_1 \times \frac{\pi}{4} \times \frac{(d_2^2 - d_1^2) f^2}{f^3} \]  

(13)

Using (13), we obtain

\[ k = k_1 \times \frac{S f^2}{f^3} \]  

(14)

where:

- \( f \) – resonance frequency (Hz)
- \( S \) – cross section area of stems (mm²)
- \( l \) – length of stems of plant (mm)

### Table 2. Mechanical properties of wheat stems of variety (DEU) Rimpaus Braun

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>n</th>
<th>( f ) (Hz)</th>
<th>( d_2 ) (mm)</th>
<th>( \Delta r ) (mm)</th>
<th>( l_r ) (mm)</th>
<th>( k_2 ) (mm⁻¹/s²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>234.2</td>
<td>3.81</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>1 120</td>
<td>1.29-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>242.8</td>
<td>3.45</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>1 160</td>
<td>1.18-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>236.8</td>
<td>4.78</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>1 070</td>
<td>2.51-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>240.1</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>1 080</td>
<td>1.22-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>242.7</td>
<td>4.25</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>1 160</td>
<td>1.53-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>240.7</td>
<td>3.83</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>990</td>
<td>1.67-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>237.1</td>
<td>3.97</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>1 160</td>
<td>1.54-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>237.5</td>
<td>4.10</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>960</td>
<td>2.82-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>238.0</td>
<td>4.45</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>1 070</td>
<td>2.24-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>235.7</td>
<td>4.51</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>1 075</td>
<td>2.04-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>243.4</td>
<td>4.70</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>1 190</td>
<td>1.76-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>241.3</td>
<td>4.40</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>1 040</td>
<td>2.60-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>241.5</td>
<td>3.65</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>1 100</td>
<td>1.90-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>237.7</td>
<td>4.34</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>1 100</td>
<td>2.37-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>241.6</td>
<td>3.94</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>1 210</td>
<td>1.39-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>242.3</td>
<td>2.72</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>1 040</td>
<td>0.93-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>244.5</td>
<td>3.32</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>1 180</td>
<td>0.87-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>242.0</td>
<td>4.49</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>1 055</td>
<td>2.67-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>264.1</td>
<td>2.83</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>1 120</td>
<td>1.00-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>271.3</td>
<td>3.45</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>1 100</td>
<td>1.59-04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Σ 4 855.3 78.32 6.85 21 980 35.23-04

\[ \bar{x} \] 242.77 3.92 0.34 1 099 1.76-04

### Table 3. Properties of individual wheat varieties

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>n</th>
<th>Variety</th>
<th>( f ) (Hz)</th>
<th>( S ) (mm²)</th>
<th>( l ) (mm)</th>
<th>( k_2 ) (mm⁻¹/s²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Peragis</td>
<td>250.5</td>
<td>3.73</td>
<td>1 105.5</td>
<td>1.72-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Rimpaus Braun</td>
<td>248.8</td>
<td>3.92</td>
<td>1 099.0</td>
<td>1.76-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Pero</td>
<td>248.9</td>
<td>3.39</td>
<td>1 043.5</td>
<td>1.89-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Roetelstein</td>
<td>251.3</td>
<td>4.32</td>
<td>961.5</td>
<td>3.09-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Panaceja</td>
<td>246.2</td>
<td>3.23</td>
<td>840.0</td>
<td>3.43-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Vicking</td>
<td>269.1</td>
<td>3.67</td>
<td>859.3</td>
<td>3.53-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Nougat</td>
<td>246.7</td>
<td>3.69</td>
<td>782.8</td>
<td>4.84-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Hana</td>
<td>242.4</td>
<td>3.56</td>
<td>744.5</td>
<td>5.19-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Pepital</td>
<td>251.7</td>
<td>3.42</td>
<td>725.3</td>
<td>5.85-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Riale</td>
<td>240.4</td>
<td>2.77</td>
<td>572.3</td>
<td>8.40-04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The measured values of resonance frequencies as well as the obtained damping factors (δ) are introduced in Table 1.

Table 2 contains the measured values obtained for variety (DEU) Rimpaus Braun.

The mean measured values and the calculated data for wheat stems of various varieties are given in Table 3.

The values of $t$-test between the mean measured values of the strength coefficients in bending $k_2$ of various wheat varieties are given in Tables 4 and 5. Sign + marks a significant difference between the mean values of the strength coefficients in bending $k_2$ between the measured for different varieties at LSD = 0.10. The same Sign ++ marks the LSD = 0.05 and the Sign +++ marks the LSD = 0.01% (Nepraš 1986). The results of the $t$-test show significant differences in the strength properties of wheat stems of different varieties. The strength properties are the variety properties. The strength properties play an important role as a characteristic controlling at least partly the variety resistance to lodging.

CONCLUSIONS

In this work, the mean measurement values and the evaluation of the mechanical values of the elasticity and strength characteristics of the stems of various varieties of wheat are introduced. A formula is derived for the calculation the strength coefficient of bending $k_{2}$. The resonance frequency was found out by a modified resonance dynamic method of the transversal oscillations.
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Table 4. Results of $t$-test between average strength coefficients in bending $k_2$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variety</th>
<th>Nougat</th>
<th>Vicking</th>
<th>Riale</th>
<th>Pero</th>
<th>Panaceja</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hana</td>
<td>0.7741*</td>
<td>4.5194***</td>
<td>1.6979*</td>
<td>10.5523***</td>
<td>4.4823***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nougat</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>3.1528***</td>
<td>5.1621***</td>
<td>8.0317***</td>
<td>2.3311**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vicking</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>7.6557***</td>
<td>6.5774***</td>
<td>0.3168*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riale</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>10.7352***</td>
<td>7.6332***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peto</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>11.8816***</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*LSD = 0.1%; **LSD = 0.05%; ***LSD = 0.01%; –LSD < 0.1%

Table 5. Results of $t$-test between average strength coefficients in bending $k_2$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variety</th>
<th>Rimpaus Braun</th>
<th>Pepital</th>
<th>Roetelstein</th>
<th>Pero</th>
<th>Panaceja</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hana</td>
<td>10.6591***</td>
<td>1.3466*</td>
<td>5.9215***</td>
<td>10.5861***</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nougat</td>
<td>8.2148***</td>
<td>1.9236*</td>
<td>4.3315***</td>
<td>8.2132***</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vicking</td>
<td>6.7812***</td>
<td>5.1337***</td>
<td>1.4673*</td>
<td>6.7613***</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riale</td>
<td>10.869***</td>
<td>3.5816***</td>
<td>8.4419***</td>
<td>10.8798***</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pero</td>
<td>0.7452</td>
<td>9.6931***</td>
<td>5.2036***</td>
<td>0.9402</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penaceja</td>
<td>5.5763***</td>
<td>5.1199***</td>
<td>0.9923*</td>
<td>5.5972***</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rimpaus Braun</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>9.8449***</td>
<td>5.4822***</td>
<td>0.2228*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pepital</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>6.2496***</td>
<td>9.8344***</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roetelstein</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>5.4765***</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*LSD = 0.1%; **LSD = 0.05%; ***LSD = 0.01%; –LSD < 0.1%
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