Local Action Groups (LAGs) have become significant actors in rural development. Their position and role have been increasing since the 1990s because they challenge the idea of implementing rural development activities only through the experts endowed with the unmistakable rationality of scientific knowledge and therefore they could not be embedded in the everyday life of the localities of concern. The outlined idea echoes the era of modernity and contradicts late-modern (or post-modern) world when the experts are just one of many stakeholders (Stepin 2005). It is the idea of post-modernity which is behind the LEADER approach where the LAGs are the main actor (Ray 2000). Rural development implemented by local people forming the LAGs is manifested together with utilizing the eco-social system existing in the region (Blažek 2004) as the reflection of the recent trends. The LAGs influenced the transition to the new forms of the governance of rural development (Lošťák et al. 2015). The shift is from the support solely targeted to economic activities and other economic and material circumstances of rural life towards the utilization of non-economic aspects and issues, such as the social capital, cooperation, social networks or the coherence of the community (Sutherland and Burton 2011). Such shift reflects the principles of the local action groups. They are supposed to stimulate the interest of the local people in the place where they live. The goal of the LAGs is to strengthen the civic society through the participation of all actors engaged in the activities in the region (Lowe et al. 1995). It means that the LAGs address the main problem in the countryside – the low capacity of local people to be involved in influencing their conditions of life. The LAGs contribute to strengthening the social capital which is an important factor for the development of the locality (Putnam 1993) and the LEADER with LAGs is an approached built upon social capital (Marquardt et al. 2012).
veys). The reason was the representatives of the LAGs complained about being “over-surveyed”. Adopting the principle of the “economy of time” in research (Disman 2002), which reflects the demands to the researchers not to burden the investigated people too much, we opted for the content analysis. Although this method primarily allows describing how the local action groups are presented in the Czech mass media (particularly in the printed newspapers in our case), the main aim of using this method was to find out whether the printed media reflect the shift from the tangible and financial capitals towards the intangible components of our lives. Being in line with the modified Boudliard’s (1994) post-modern ideas about the images in media constructing hyperreality (the modification is that we do not consider the media as constructing the “media reality”/hyperreality/ which is more real than the reality itself but as reflecting the reality) we used the content analysis to generate the data in order to answer the research question outlined above. It means that the paper investigates whether the Czech LAGs are portrayed in the printed media as the actors with a potential to encourage through cooperation the development of the civic society aiming at reducing the social exclusion which is in line with the aims of the endogenous rural development (Lowe et al. 1995). Such finding will demonstrate the shift contradicting exogenous (and modernist) rural development paradigm emphasising the material and tangible issues resulting in economy of scale being supported from outside (it means not utilising local resources through cooperation and the intangible forms of capital such as social, human or cultural capitals).

Local action group as the actor stimulating intangible factors in rural development

Local action groups are one of the important local institutions with the aim to help, maintain and develop the non-material aspects of the community life (Stachová 2008). Their importance is documented by the growing support from the EU. “LAG should act so as to benefit the inhabitants and the rural area it represents” (Schiller 2011: 11). Although the LAGs are established in a formally institutionalized form shaped by the rules set up by the actors outside the region (e.g. the ministries, the EU regulations), they use regularly in their activities the informal social interactions and social networks existing in the region. “Citizens themselves form local action groups and share experiences with the counterparts in neighbouring communities” (Trägardh et al. 2013: 74). This fact corresponds with the principles of an endogenous type of rural development, as well as with the concept of the civic society which is linked to the concept of the social capital (Stachová 2008). The civic society is therefore crucial for the operationalization of variables later in the research. It is because in the current programming period the tool named the “Community Led Local Development (CLLD), which allows municipality to mobilize resources, skills and energy of private stakeholders and civil society through local action groups” (European Parliament, 2014: 62) brings the LAGs, civic society and intangible resources (e.g. social capital) together.

Social capital as the resource into which the LAGs invest to utilize it in the frames of civic society is understand here as “the form of norms of reciprocity and networks of civic involvement” (Putnam 1993: 167). An important source of social capital are the relations of the people who have voluntarily started to associate in organizations. Their activities resulted in the accumulation of their social and economic strengths, i.e. in the social capital (Stachová 2008). Organizations developing and utilizing social capital have become significant collective actors in the civil society. These associations (e.g. the LAGs) “instill in their members habits of cooperation, solidarity, and public-spiritedness” (Putnam 1993: 89–90).

As van Depoele (2013) suggests, the LEADER approach which is activated through the LAGs constitutes an innovation when it results in the creation of trust and confidence and makes the people believe in change. Therefore, social capital built on trust is an important element for the development which necessitates the collective action. Cooperation in the collective action is easier in the communities with a greater stock of social capital. Voluntary participation in the local organizations (such as a LAG) supports the creation of a coherent community which is the most visible form of the existence of social capital.

Studies (Furmankiewicz et al. 2010; Lošťák and Hudečková 2010; Ray 2000; Shucksmith 2000; Marquardt et al. 2012) demonstrate that the local action groups are based on social capital. Lopolito et
al. (2011) in their study evaluating the tangible and intangible outputs of the activities of the local action groups show that the LAG supports rural areas through enhancing the stock of creating and using social capital. The task of the LAG is to involve the local citizens and other economic actors in the networks of civic participation because this is the way to increase the stock of social capital in the society which is considered to be the key factor of the local development (Casieri 2010).

When the local action groups were established at the beginning of the 1990s, their essential purpose was to activate the local people to participate in the activities and in the decision-making regarding the development of the territory where they live. However, in some countries (namely in the Eastern Europe), the purpose of the LAGs consisting in the involvement of the local people in the public activities was not properly understood and implemented (Maurel 2008). In the post-Soviet countries, the LAGs are perceived as a source of subsidies. The LAGs are considered as a tool to be successful in getting the European Union funding. In the Czech context, it is documented by Binek et al. (2011) concluding that today the LAGs represent a tool for the administration of the projects (utilization of the public finances) but not so much a tool to activate (endogenous development) rural territories through partnership (cooperation) in projects resulting in the value added and effectiveness. In many cases, there were projects where the cooperation of the local action groups was only understood as a way to get money without any real cooperation of the actors involved (Cermák and Vobecká et al. 2011). Such approach to the LAGs results from the historically experienced behaviour, or inactivity, where people in the former Soviet type regime countries learned to passively wait for the decisions made at the higher levels (Marquardt et al. 2012; Swain 2013). Local action groups as well as the endogenous development model suppose active actors searching for the support in the territory where they act.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

The research question forming the background of this paper is: “Do the Czech media capture the paradigmatic shift from the exogenous to endogenous rural development in the way how they portray the LAGs?” This question is further refined into two research sub-questions: (a) Do the Czech media portrait the LAGs as the bodies facilitating cooperation with the potential to contribute to the development of the civic society, or (b) Do the media portrait the LAGs as the actors re-distributing the finances? These two sub-questions are underlined by the goal of the paper: to show if the Czech media reflect the paradigmatic shift in the approaches to rural development consisting in the transition from supporting the exogenous factors to the use of the endogenous or hybrid resources with a significant component of the non-material factors. If it is true and such shift is documented in the media, then it really exists.

To answer the research questions, a quantitative context analysis was used. This method analyses the documents and texts through quantifying the contents by the means of pre-determined categories (Bryman 2008). The analysed texts are published in the Czech periodicals (Mladá fronta DNES, Právo, Hospodářské noviny, regional journals Deníky, 5+2 dny, Věřejná správa, Moderní obec Zemědělec, Obec & finance and other regional periodicals). The texts from the mass-media used in the analysis were compiled by the Newton Media company which carries out the mass-media monitoring. The agency was asked to select articles containing the key words “local action group” and “cooperation” and the grammatical modifications of these words. The analysis was carried out on a set of texts from the period between 1 May and 31 October 2013. Originally, there were a total of 596 articles in the set. Latter, the set was checked and the texts dealing with other issues than the local action groups and the texts literally repetitive were set aside. After the modification, the analysis was carried out on 498 articles.

The research questions were operationalized into categories which were investigated in the text. The categories formed the so-called coding sheet. The coding sheet provides instructions on how the text should be coded and what will be coded in the analysed text (Bryman 2008). The categories were: Daily/weekly/monthly edition, Number of words in the article, Region (kraj), Author, Topic in which the LAG is addressed, Innovations addressed in the article, Cooperation addressed in the article, Name of LAG. Also a statistical analysis was conducted upon data from analysed text. This analysis concerned the statistical dependency between the LAG referred in the text and the issues revealed in the analysis of the text in relation to the research question.
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Regional differentiation of the articles addressing local action groups

The number of the articles (except for May) was evenly distributed throughout the period of monitoring between 1 May and 31 October 2013 (most frequently, there were about 81 articles published monthly). The average length of an article was 303 words (Figure 1). However, the length of the articles varies a lot in the regions (the regions are the Czech NUTS 3 regions which have their regional government and are called in Czech “kraj”). The longest articles were from the “Olomoucký kraj” (395 words in average, 32 published articles) and from the “Ústecký kraj” (394 words in average, 12 articles). The next in terms of the length of articles were “Karlovarský kraj” (390 words in average, 10 articles) and the “Plzeňský kraj” (362 words in average, 62 articles). It is obvious that there were few articles mentioning the local action groups published in the “Ústecký and Karlovarský kraj” but they were longer regarding the number of words.

Considering the findings described in the previous paragraph and Table 1, we wanted to find out if there is a correlation between the number of the local action groups active in the particular region and the number of the published articles about the LAGs in this region. We hypothesised that a greater number of local partnerships comes up with more activities in diverse areas, these activities will be reflected in a greater number of articles. However, the analysis showed that the frequency of the articles differs significantly in the regions and the distribution of the number of articles is not reflecting the number of the LAGs (Table 1). There is no direct correlation in the sense that a greater number of LAGs in a region means a greater number of the published articles. This uneven distribution of number of articles and LAGs necessitated further analysis.

There are two regions – “Plzeňský kraj” with 6.89 articles per 1 LAG, and the “Jihomoravský kraj” with 4.81 articles per 1 LAG – where the LAGs are addressed twice more per one LAG than is the average number of articles per 1 LAG in the whole Czech Republic (2.8 articles per LAG). These regions seem

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region (“kraj”)</th>
<th>Number of articles</th>
<th>Number of LAGs (1/2014)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jihočeský kraj</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jihomoravský kraj</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karlovarský kraj</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Královehradecký kraj</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liberecký kraj</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moravskoslezský kraj</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olomoucký kraj</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pardubický kraj</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plzeňský kraj</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Středočeský kraj</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ústecký kraj</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vysočina</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zlinský kraj</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Praha</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impossible to classify</td>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Authors’ calculation, National Networks of LAGs in the Czech Republic

Figure 1. The average number of words corresponding to one article according to the regions in the Czech Republic

Source: Authors’ calculation
to have elaborated the system of sharing and transferring the information. Especially “Plzeňský kraj” is an interesting case because there are only 9 LAGs operating there (this region is among those with the lowest number of LAGs in the Czech Republic). “Zlínský kraj” represents the opposite case. Only 19 articles about LAGs were published over the monitored period despite the fact that in this region, the number of LAGs is the second highest in the Czech Republic (18). We hypothesize that the difference in the term of the numbers of articles per 1 LAG and number of LAGs in the regions accounts for the activities of LAGs. Media studies (Devereux 2003) suggest the more articles about some issue, the more the issue diverts from “normality”. In the case of the LAGs, “normality” is the experienced way of practicing rural development. Other ways than “normal” might suggest paradigmatic shift. In the other words, we hypothesize that the LAGs in Plzeňský kraj are more active and involved in the “non-trivial” activities compared to those in Zlínský kraj. As such, we assume that the LAGs in Plzeňský kraj are more active and involved in the “non-trivial” activities compared to those in Zlínský kraj. As such, we assume that the LAGs in Plzeňský kraj are more active and involved in the “non-trivial” activities compared to those in Zlínský kraj. As such, we assume that the LAGs in Plzeňský kraj are more active and involved in the “non-trivial” activities compared to those in Zlínský kraj. As such, we assume that the LAGs in Plzeňský kraj are more active and involved in the “non-trivial” activities compared to those in Zlínský kraj.

The analysed articles address and reflect the activities of 65.7% of all LAGs in the Czech Republic (out of the total 175 LAGs operating in January 2014). Nearly 90% of the local action groups operating in the Czech NUTS III regions were addressed in Královéhradecký kraj and Plzeňský kraj. Similarly, in Karlovarský kraj the number of LAGs operating there is reflected in the media during studied period (80% of LAGs). We could therefore hypothesize that the LAGs located in these regions are more active than those in other regions because they are more reflected in the printed media. The strongest position seems to be with the LAGs in Plzeňský kraj due to their high number of articles per 1 LAG. However, the number does not say anything about the content of the activities in terms if they echo the endogenous (neo-endogenous) type of rural development or remain in the frames of the exogenous model.

The differences among the regions pointed out in the previous paragraphs suggested the necessity to analyse the regions to answer the question if the most addressed LAGs are those which are the most in line with the LAGs’ principles and reflecting the paradigmatic shift in rural development. Therefore, we firstly assessed the most addressed LAGs in the media. Table 2 shows the LAGs which are addressed in the media in more than 10 articles. Such an approach refines the focus through regions where the concrete LAGs were not analysed in the paper yet. Because Plzeňský kraj indicated in the analysis presented above to be worth of a further investigation, the two LAGs of this region will be further scrutinized as well as other LAGs in Table 2.

Table 2 indicates the LAGs which were addressed in the media more than ten times during the monitored period between May and October 2013. These LAGs have been functioning for 7.8 years in average. It means their average time of operation is 1.5 years longer that the average time of operation of all Czech LAGs. (7.2 years). Therefore, they are more experienced groups of local partnerships. As such, they already understand the importance of the information and experience transfer reflecting the LEADER approach principles.
information and experience of this type supports the social networks. The articles of such case refer to the examples of good practices which are generated in these networks. The analysis also demonstrated a sort of cleavage in the discourse in the media. When the particular LAGs were addressed by more articles (Table 2), there was also an information about new practices. It is because the particular LAG was portrayed as a unique actor in rural development. Contrary, when the LAGs were addressed as one of the actors in rural development, the texts mostly discussed the negotiations for getting the money from the funds within the EU programme period 2014–2020. This fact confirms our previous findings suggesting there is a difference among the LAGs in terms of observing the new paradigm in rural development. However, the number of the LAGs which are in line with the new paradigm is low. Therefore, also when the LAGs in general are reported, the majority of them dominates in the discourse which echoes the experienced (exogenous) ways of practicing rural development.

Table 2. The most frequently mentioned LAGs in the individual articles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region („kraj”)</th>
<th>Name of LAG</th>
<th>Number of articles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jihomoravský kraj</td>
<td>Moravský kras</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Partnerství venkova</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Boskovicko Plus</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Český západ – místní partnerství</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plzeňský kraj</td>
<td>Pošumaví</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vysočina</td>
<td>Trešťsko</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olomoucký kraj</td>
<td>Mikroregion Telčsko</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Středočeský kraj</td>
<td>Rozvojové partnerství regionu Hranicko</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Authors’ calculation

It is interested that the LAGs were addressed also in the media published for Prague (2.21%). They always referred to the local action groups operating in the close vicinity of the capital city, yet belonging to the territory of the Central Bohemia Region (its rural areas). Linking rural activities with the capital city in such case strengthens the rural-urban relations which is in line with the EU policy (European Commission 2012).

Local action groups viewed as rural development actors

Activities of the local action groups were the most frequently described by journalists as the authors of the articles (42%). They were also frequently described by the people directly involved in LAG, e.g. a director or a manager (26%), and by a mayor or another local public administration representative (22%). From the point of view of the actors involved within the region (except for the employees in the local action group who are the second in terms of the number of authors following the journalist as authors), the majority of information about the activities of the LAGs comes from the regional public administration representatives. It suggests a tight cooperation of the local action groups and the municipalities. The mayors most frequently noted the financial means acquired by the local action groups for the implementation of projects. These findings show the connection with the studies of Binek et al. (2011) or Varga (2009). They document that the largest volume of finances received by the LAGs aimed at the grants and projects for the municipalities as the applicants. It also shows that the LAGs which re-distributed money to the applicants is located. Regions located in the North-West of the Czech Republic ("Karlovský, Ústecký and Liberecký kraj") have the lowest number of articles published about the local action groups – about 2.0–2.5% out of all analysed articles. In term of these regions (namely Karlovský kraj), Stachová (2005) documents that the representatives of the non-profit sector in the Karlovy Vary Region consider the civic engagement of the local actors being very low. In this region, there are quite a few non-profit organizations and people are unwilling to participate in these organizations. Such situation most probably impacted also the LAGs which are not able to engage the local people into rural development and to mainstream the new forms of this development consisting in public involvement and cooperation.
cooperate with the municipalities in the territory where the LAGs operate, at least during the administrative processes related to the project. However, this evokes a question whether the representatives of the public sector do not perceive the LEADER approach as a mere source of subsidies, rather than a tool enabling and supporting the cooperation throughout the sectors (Binek et al. 2011).

Only a minimum of articles (4%) refers to the activities of entrepreneurs in relation to a LAG. It suggests that the media do not highlight the links between the entrepreneurs and LAGs (or more generally between the entrepreneurs and rural development). This situation contradicts the very title (and the nature) of LEADER: Liaison (Liens) Entre Actions de Développement de l’Économie Rurale, meaning ‘Links between the rural economy and development actions’. A partial explanation of the lower involvement of entrepreneurs in the LAG activities (and consequently a lower representation of such activities in media) is in the lower social capital. There is low confidence among the entrepreneurs in terms of their involvement in local partnerships. The entrepreneurs see the discrepancy between their individual business strategies and the local strategy developed by the LAG. They are afraid that such contradiction will harm their own investment strategies. (Marquardt et al. 2012). The distrust to territorial strategies developed by LAGs indicates a low level of social capital which should be, contrary, supported by the LEADER approach. This finding documents that the LEADER in the Czech Republic still mismatches its goals in many cases. Rural development does not manifest any paradigmatic shift towards the intangible forms of capital in many of the LAGs.

LAGs from the point of view of the contents of the articles

In 31.5% of analysed articles, the LAG was perceived as a sort of agent facilitating the access to finances used to support the implementation of the project. The LAGs were portrayed in public as organizations providing money for the projects without any further value added. Such picture minimizes a chance to use the potential of the local action groups residing in the “soft-issues” such as activating the local population, supporting cooperation and neighbourhood. Being represented as the “channels for money transfers”, the public is not informed that these partnerships are involved in many other important activities, not only in providing finances for the projects. On the other hand, such portrait suggests what is the main role of the LAGs now and that the role of a financial re-distributor shadows all other roles which creates the image of LAGs as being locked in the paradigm of the exogenous type of rural development

The most frequently projects described in the media (26%) were investments in the community historic buildings (e.g. repairs of the local chapels or restorations of sculptures). The second most frequent projects in the media (16.5) were those focusing on the material support of associations (e.g. a reconstruction of the houses for the voluntary fire brigades or purchasing equipment for the activities of the associations). The projects in this second category are mostly “hard-type” (investment) projects, but they significantly support the development of the community life. Such a latent function is important for building the social capital. We can label these investments as investments in the social capital because they develop the background for networking. Similar findings are documented in Lošták and Hudečková (2010), who state that although “hard projects” are more supported, “soft projects” are not neglected. On the contrary, many of the “hard” projects in their impacts influence the community life. The third type of articles about the LAGs introduced projects improving the technical infrastructure (e.g. sewage systems, pipelines) and the public space such as the public green areas (15% of analysed articles), The fourth and fifth types (in terms of descending frequency) of articles document the construction of children’s playgrounds and sports grounds (10.8%) and the reconstructions of the village municipal office or other municipal buildings (10.2%). It is obvious from the analysed texts that the intangible benefits of projects implemented in the LEADER led rural development are not reflected in the media. It confirms our previous finding that the LEADER approach is in the majority of cases (especially if implemented by younger LAGs) still “jailed” in modernization paradigm without indication of the shift towards the post-modern paradigm in rural development which is represented by the very nature of the LEADER (Ray 2000).

Continuing our hunches about the LEADER as yet missed opportunity (with some exceptions) to shift the rural development paradigm in the Czech Republic, we analysed the references to intangible issues in the articles. In 62% of the analysed texts, no cooperation/collaboration in relation to the local action groups was mentioned. If the cooperation
was mentioned, it was the most often the cooperation among the local action groups (26.4%) or the cooperation between the LAG and the municipalities (24.8%). The other forms of cooperation included the non-profit sector, local associations or citizens. This finding confirms again the assumption that the LAGs most frequently cooperate with the public sector (this fact was supported by the low number of articles about entrepreneurs mentioned above).

When cooperation among the LAGs is addressed in the articles, its content concerns the implementation of the cooperation projects (projects financed by the LEADER payment agency – the State Agricultural Intervention Fund). Within the framework of the cooperation between the LAGs and the public sector, the most frequent cooperation speaks about co-organizing events in the territory where the LAGs operate. When the articles highlight cooperation, there is a reference to the intangible factors in the rural development, yet the number of such articles was quite insignificant in the overall number of the analysed texts. The projects of cooperation among the LAGs consisted in the renewals of the cultural heritage, attracting people to the countryside to dwell there, the renewals of old water springs, the development of trails with regional topics (e.g. introducing the local springs), or opening the local museums. However, the projects of cooperation among the LAGs often represent an institutionalized way of cooperation with the rules defined in writing in a contract for cooperation. It is not a sort of informal cooperation but the cooperation of the partners in the project is mutually concluded in the formal way. Such formalization of the contracts also indicates a low level in trust (Putnam 1993). Cooperation is formal, it is implemented through formal rules originating mostly outside the community. It means that the rules are determined by the power of the actors who have a chance to influence the development of the locality via their decision-making. Such an approach does not contribute to building the social capital and it is not in line with the paradigmatic shift in rural development necessitating the bottom-up approach and local engagement based on informal cooperation rooted in the mutual trust.

LAGs and paradigmatic shift in rural development

We were also interested to conduct some more statistical tests in order to found out more findings highlighting our research questions. When looking at the statistical dependence between the reference to a definite LAG (name of the LAG) and to the topic in which the LAG is referred in terms of activities outside the LAG territory (e.g. negotiating the conditions for the new programming period) or inside the LAG territory (e.g. distributing finances, other activities within the LAG territory, strategic planning) there was a statistically significant dependence as pointed out in the Table 3. It means, as suggested above, that the concrete LAGs differ in terms of their activities and it impacts their commitment to the paradigmatic shift in the Czech rural development where still a large number of LAGs is anchored in the exogenous approach rooted in the economy of scale and focusing on the tangible (material) issues instead of supporting the social capital, for example.

The findings indicate that if a definite LAG was mentioned, it was most frequently in the connection with different activities it carried out within the territory and with the provision of finances to the local actors. Namely, it means the re-distribution of the finances (reflecting references to financial capital), the implementation of projects conducted by the local action group, and the organization of cultural, social or sports events (e.g. a tacit reference to supporting social capital). The activities related to the operation (work) of the LAGs and the support or coordination of the regional quality labels within their territories were also mentioned. They are the local quality labels which symbolise new forms of the rural development governance (Lošťák et al. 2015), which gives a hope of a starting transition to the new paradigm in rural development. However, we confirmed that the Czech LAGs are often perceived as the regional subsidy agencies, rather than an animator assisting the cooperation of the local actors. If no particular LAG was mentioned, the articles dealt with the activities not related to a particular locality. The most frequent topic was about the negotiations of the conditions for the programming period 2014–2020. The LAGs were also generally mentioned in connection with the project of the inter-municipal cooperation under

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Calculated $\chi^2$ test</th>
<th>Critical $\chi^2$ @ $\alpha = 0.05$</th>
<th>Result</th>
<th>Cramer’s V</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>180.419</td>
<td>9.488</td>
<td>Dependence</td>
<td>0.602</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Authors’ calculation
the Union of Towns and Municipalities of the Czech Republic, which recommended the involvement of professionals (human capital) from the local action groups because they are the ones with a good knowledge of the territory and the experience in the development of the locality.

Because the research question addressed the paradigmatic shift in rural development, we were obviously interested if the LAGs bring into the rural development discourse anything new in terms of the topics which are discussed in the analysed texts. The investigation focused on the intangible issues linked to the endogenous rural development and investments or the utilization of intangible capitals such as the social capital, human capital or cultural capital. These issues were operationalized through such categories like the renewal of traditional habits, small scale projects for the countryside which impacted the whole territory, engaging people into the active participation in various events.

If the novelties in the rural development were discussed, it was mostly in the articles dealing with the LAGs in the general than in relation to the particular rural activities (e.g. planning the future programming period, the LAG as one of the actors in the countryside). The operation of the LAGs in such case was mostly viewed as beneficial because of supporting the locality where the people live. It means the LAGs engaged them into events and the people participated in the territorial issues. The LAG was also mentioned as an appropriate association supporting cooperation of the actors in the countryside. These findings echo the concept of the civil society. There is another signal of the shift in rural development paradigm. The media report about people who are interested in the support and utilization of rural values, culture and social relations. Such situation can be achieved via mutual cooperation both internally and with the neighbours (National Network of LAGs 2011). On the other hand, the articles which do not refer to just the described issues regarding the LAGs activities prevailed significantly in the analysed text. This second (and much large category of articles) continued the focus on the redistribution of money to the project applicants. It means that the paradigmatic shift in rural development in the Czech Republic is only at the beginning. Some LAGs indicate this shift but most of the LAGs continue the development focusing on the exogenous approach.

**CONCLUSION**

Local action groups were foreseen as the precursors of the paradigmatic shift in rural development (Ray 2000). Their design should enable to develop the rural territories from the bottom, to engage local people in the rural activities and to eliminate the social exclusion in this way. Achieving the bottom-up approach and engagement in local issues was supposed to be reflected in the development of the civil society. However, the analysis demonstrated that the Czech media often present the LAGs as organizations redistributing the finances (one third of the texts) with no further added value for the territory they operate. It means that the media suggest that the goals of the LEADER approach were not achieved in the Czech Republic yet, despite that there is growing information about the new approaches in rural development. No wonder of such situation, because already in 2000 the papers reflecting the situation in the old EU members states which started with the LEADER in the 1990s documented that the intangible outcomes of the LEADER are not visible yet too much (Osti 2000; Ray 2000; Shucksmith 2000). These papers explained this fact through the short programming periods in the EU when 7 years is not enough. However, even without reference to the programming period, it takes a longer time till the new forms of governance (LAGs and the LEADER is one of them) anchor into the everyday practices (Lošták et al. 2015). It takes up to 10 years to see the first intangible outcomes which would have impacts throughout all country. It means that it will be interesting to conduct a similar research in about 2018–2020, when about 10 years from the start of the LAGs will be achieved. Right now the local people have not so many chances to find out more information about the impacts of the LAGs activities, with the exception that the LAGs act as the provider of money for the implementation of project. This is the reason why the mentioned potential of these local partnerships cannot be fully used. On the other hand, some LAGs are already portrayed as the actors of articles)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Calculated $\chi^2$ test</th>
<th>Critical $\chi^2$ @ $\alpha = 0.05$</th>
<th>Result</th>
<th>Cramer’s V</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>45.304</td>
<td>9.488</td>
<td>Dependence</td>
<td>0.302</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Authors’ elaboration
influencing the paradigmatic shift. Their number is rather marginal, but they exist.

The outputs of the content analysis show the emerging new paradigm of rural development in terms of the cooperation and social networks in some LAGs in “Jihočeský kraj”, “Plzeňský kraj” and “Vysočina”. The local actors in these regions already recognized the importance of mutual communication about the activities organized by the LAGs. Contrary, the regions situated in the North-West did not refer to the cooperation in connection with the local action groups. In all the monitored texts, the LAGs are the most frequently mentioned by people directly involved in the LAGs or by the public sector representatives; the entrepreneurs are nearly not mentioned at all. For this reason, the concept of the LAG as the inter-sectorial rural development actor is lost within the public discourse. It means that the LAGs are so far not meeting all the principles on which the LEADER approach is built. It again suggests that the Czech Republic is now in the starting phase of the paradigmatic transition in rural development.

If 62% of the texts does not mention the cooperation at all when referring to the LAGs, it suggests that the paradigmatic shift in rural development was completed only by some of the LAGs. It also means that the rural development in the Czech Republic is conducted in two ways: the exogenous (dominating) and endogenous (emerging), despite the number of LAGs which all should incline to the last way of rural development. If the texts refer to cooperation, it is the most frequently in the projects of cooperation between the LAGs or the cooperation with the public administration. The cooperation is often of a formal nature. Its rules and conditions are determined outside the territory. External actors still have the power to influence the form of cooperation within the territory. References to intangible factors can be found in a small number of the texts on the cooperation of the LAG and the public sphere (such as co-organizing events for the local citizens). This is the first sign of the shift from the exogenous towards the endogenous development model.
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