

## Pilot Experiment in Chickens Challenged with *Campylobacter jejuni* CCM6191 Administered Enterocin M-producing Probiotic Strain *Enterococcus faecium* CCM8558 To Check Its Protective Effect

ANDREA LAUKOVA<sup>1\*</sup>, MONIKA POGANY SIMONOVA<sup>1</sup>, IVANA KUBASOVA<sup>1</sup>, SONA GANCARCIKOVA<sup>2</sup>, IVETA PLACHA<sup>1</sup>, JANA SCERBOVA<sup>1</sup>, VIERA REVAJOVA<sup>2</sup>, ROBERT HERICH<sup>2</sup>, MIKULAS LEVKUT SN.<sup>2</sup>, VIOLA STROMPFOVA<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Animal Physiology, Slovak Academy of Sciences, Košice, Slovak Republic

<sup>2</sup>University of Veterinary Medicine and Pharmacy in Košice, Košice, Slovak Republic

\*Corresponding author: laukova@saske.sk

### ABSTRACT

Laukova A., Pogany Simonova M., Kubasova I., Gancarcikova S., Placha I., Scerbova J., Revajova V., Herich R., Levkut Sn. M., Strompfova V. (2017): **Pilot experiment in chickens challenged with *Campylobacter jejuni* CCM6191 administered enterocin M-producing probiotic strain *Enterococcus faecium* CCM8558 to check its protective effect.** Czech J. Anim. Sci., 62, 491–500.

*Campylobacter jejuni* is one of the most common food-borne pathogens and chickens are the main source of these bacteria. *Enterococcus faecium* AL41, enterocin M-producing strain (deponed to the Czech Culture Collection of Microorganisms, Brno, Czech Republic – CCM8558) is our isolate previously applied e.g. in broiler rabbits with beneficial effect. In this study it was used in a 11-day experiment with chickens (1-day-old, breed Cobb 500,  $n = 40$ ) challenged with *C. jejuni*. Birds had free access to feed and water; they were randomly divided into four groups per 10 chicks each: control (CG), *E. faecium* CCM8558 (EG1), CCM8558 + *C. jejuni* CCM6191 (EG2), CCM6191 (EG3). *E. faecium* CCM8558 ( $10^9$  CFU/ml, 200  $\mu$ l) in Ringer solution was administered daily *per os* to EG1 and EG2 for 7 days (from day 0–1). EG3 and EG2 were infected individually *per os* (day 4, CCM6191,  $10^8$  CFU/ml in Ringer solution, 200  $\mu$ l). For microbiota evaluation, faecal mixtures ( $n = 5$ ) were sampled on day 0–1 (1<sup>st</sup> sampling), on day 7 (2<sup>nd</sup> sampling), and on day 11 (3<sup>rd</sup> sampling) (day 7 of CCM8558 application, day 4 post-infection; day 4 of CCM8558 cessation, day 7 post-infection). Five birds from each group were sacrificed. CCM8558 sufficiently colonized chickens. In faeces of EG2 a tendency to reduce *Campylobacter* spp. (day 7 of application, day 4 of infection) was noted compared to EG2 (day 11, day 4 of cessation, day 7 post-infection; difference 1.21 log cycles), while in EG3 CCM6191 strain was not reduced. Phagocytic activity (PA) values were significantly higher in infected groups compared to CG and EG1. A significant increase in PA was also noted in EG2 and EG3 at the end of experiment compared to CG or EG1. The strain additive did not evoke oxidative stress. Biochemical parameters were influenced to the reference levels.

**Keywords:** enterococci; effect; chick; microbiota; physiological parameters; *Campylobacter* challenge

Supported by the Slovak Research and Development Agency (Project No. APVV 0302-11) and by the Scientific Grant Agency of the Ministry of Education and Science of the Slovak Republic and the Slovak Academy of Sciences (Projects VEGA No. 2/0004/14 and No. 2/0006/17).

*Campylobacter jejuni* is one of the most common food-borne pathogens, and chickens are the main source of these bacteria. Fowls and birds in general are prone to *C. jejuni* colonization more than any other animals (Sahin et al. 2001). Chickens are usually colonized by *C. jejuni* within 5–6 weeks of age and remain as a reservoir throughout their lifespan. Campylobacteriosis is the most commonly reported zoonosis (EFSA 2015). To reduce human infections with *Campylobacters*, it is necessary to reduce the colonization in the chickens (Cisek and Binek 2014). Modern broiler rearing has taken attention to natural substances which can help them maintain healthy status of fowls. Therefore, farmers, breeders have been looking for probiotic microbiota. In poultry breeding probiotic strains of the genus *Lactobacillus* are commercially mostly used (Cisek and Binek 2014); *Bacillus* spp. are also frequently used (Novak et al. 2011); at recent time representatives of the genus *Enterococcus* have also been reported especially in relation with immunological parameters (Stasova et al. 2015; Levkut Jr. et al. 2016; Karaffova et al. 2017). The probiotic strains of the last mentioned genus, however, usually show a broad antimicrobial activity against both, Gram-positive and Gram-negative causative bacteria and this is associated with the production of bacteriocins – enterocins (Laukova et al. 2004a; Franz et al. 2007; Scerbova and Laukova 2016). Bacteriocins are antimicrobial proteinaceous substances produced by some bacteria to kill other more or less related bacteria (Franz et al. 2007). Their beneficial effect in food-producing animal husbandries was previously shown e.g. in rabbits (Szaboova et al. 2011; Laukova et al. 2012) but beneficial effects due to enterocin-producing strains were also reported (Laukova et al. 2003a, 2015, 2016; Pogany Simonova et al. 2013). *Enterococcus faecium* AL41 is our environmental strain previously more detailedly characterized in our laboratory (Laukova et al. 1998, 2004b); it is known to produce a new type of enterocin named enterocin M, which is very similar to enterocin P (Marekova et al. 2007). It was deponed to the Czech Culture Collection of Microorganisms in Brno, Czech Republic (CCM8558). Its beneficial effect was checked not only in animals but also in animal-derived food such as in sausage (Laukova et al. 2003b). Because of complex information we decided to use it in chickens challenged with *Campylobacter jejuni* to reach results for its recommendation and inclusion in a further probiotic product.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

**Strains preparation.** An enterocin M-producing strain *E. faecium* CCM8558 (AL41) possessing probiotic properties was prepared as previously referred to by Laukova et al. (2015). The strain CCM8558 was grown in De Mann-Rogose-Sharpe broth (MRS; Merck, Germany) for 18 h at 37°C. Broth culture was centrifuged at 10 000 g (at 4°C) for 30 min. Supernatant was removed and cells of CCM8558 strain were re-suspended in Ringer solution (Merck) to achieve the concentration of 10<sup>9</sup> colony forming units per ml (CFU/ml). The concentration of CCM8558 cells was checked by dilutions spread on M-Enterococcus agar (Becton and Dickinson, USA) according to the International Organization for Standardization (ISO 7899) and expressed in CFU/ml. Before application but also after CCM8558 isolation from gastrointestinal tract (GIT), its identity was confirmed by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) analysis (Woodford et al. 1997) and by the MALDI-TOF identification system as well (Alatoom et al. 2011). To distinguish *E. faecium* CCM8558 strain from the other enterococci in the samples, CCM8558 strain treated with rifampicin (100 µg/ml) was used (Laukova et al. 2012).

*Campylobacter jejuni* CCM6191 (supplied by the Czech Culture Collection, Brno, Czech Republic) was grown on *Campylobacter* agar base Karmali CM0935 with supplement SR0167 (Oxoid Ltd., UK) in the jar with microaerophilic atmosphere (CampyGen, Oxoid Ltd.) placed in an incubator at 42°C for 2 days. Broth culture of *Campylobacter jejuni* CCM6191 was prepared in Brian Heart broth (Difco Laboratories Inc., USA) under the former mentioned condition; triplet of tubes was prepared. Final cells concentration of *Campylobacter jejuni* CCM6191 was 10<sup>8</sup> CFU/ml. After its isolation from passaging in the gastrointestinal tract, its identity was checked by the MALDI-TOF identification system again.

**Experimental design.** Forty chicks (1-day-old, Cobb 500 breed) were used in the experiment. The experiment lasted for 11 days. Chicks were placed in large pens with cellulose cotton (Pehazell, Slovakia) and reared under the regimen 23 h light and 1 h darkness, initial room temperature 32–33°C was reduced weekly by 1°C to a final temperature 28°C. Relative humidity was within a range of 50–60%. Birds had free access to feed (BR1 – starter diet) and

doi: 10.17221/12/2017-CJAS

water. Application of cleaning and feeding regimens prevented them from cross-contamination effectively throughout the experiment. Chicks were randomly divided into four groups per 10 chicks each ( $n = 10$ ): control (CG), *E. faecium* CCM8558 (EG1), combined *E. faecium* CCM8558 + *C. jejuni* CCM6191 (EG2), and *C. jejuni* CCM6191 (EG3). *E. faecium* CCM8558 at concentration  $10^9$  CFU/ml (200  $\mu$ l) in Ringer solution was administered daily *per os* to the groups EG1 and EG2 for 7 days of the experiment (from day 0–1). Experimental infection of *C. jejuni* CCM6191 (EG3) and CCM8558 + *C. jejuni* CCM6191 (EG2) groups was carried out individually *per os* on day 4 of the experiment (*C. jejuni* CCM6191, concentration  $10^8$  CFU/ml in Ringer solution) as a single dose 200  $\mu$ l. For microbiota evaluation, faecal mixtures ( $n = 5$ ) were sampled on day 0–1 (from each group of birds, 1<sup>st</sup> sampling), on day 7 (2<sup>nd</sup> sampling), and on day 11 (3<sup>rd</sup> sampling) (it means on day 7 of CCM8558 application, day 4 *Campylobacter* post-infection, and on day 4 of CCM8558 cessation, day 7 post-infection). Moreover, at those times, five birds from each group were sacrificed using intraperitoneal injection of xylazine (Rometar 2%; Spofa, Czech Republic) at a dose 0.6 ml/kg and ketamine (Narkamon 5%; Spofa) at a dose 0.7 ml/kg (Levkut et al. 2012); caecal and liver contents were sampled to check microbiota.

**Microbial analyses.** The standard microbiological method (ISO, International Organization for Standardization) was used, dilutions in Ringer solution 1 : 9 (Merck) by mixing using Stomacher (Masticator, Spain). Dilutions were spread onto appropriate selective media as follows: *E. faecium* CCM8558 strain was determined on M-Enterococcus agar (Difco Laboratories Inc.) enriched with rifampicin; the total enterococcal count was determined on M-Enterococcus agar (method No. 7889). *Campylobacter* agar base Karmali CM0935 with supplement SR0167 (Oxoid Ltd.) was used to count *Campylobacter* spp. placed in the jar with microaerophilic atmosphere (CampyGen, Oxoid Ltd.) and in the incubator. Coliform bacteria were counted on MacConkey agar (Becton and Dickinson), *Salmonella* sp. were pre-cultivated in Rappaport-Vassiliadis broth (Merck) and then spread onto Brilliant Green agar (Becton and Dickinson). Bacteria were cultivated at 37°C for 18–24 h. *Campylobacter* spp. were cultivated at 42°C for 2 days. The bacterial counts were expressed in CFU/g ( $\pm$  SD).

**Biochemical analyses, phagocytic activity, glutathione-peroxidase test.** On days 7 and 11 (that is, on day 7 of CCM8558 application, day 4 post-infection with *Campylobacter*, day 4 of CCM8558 cessation, day 7 post-infection) blood was sampled into heparinized and/or unheparinized tubes (following blood analyses) by intracardial puncture ( $n = 5$ ). Phagocytic activity (PA) was measured by a direct counting procedure using microspheric hydrophilic particles (MSHPs). Ingestion of MSHPs by polymorphonuclear cells was described by Vetvicka et al. (1982). Blood smears were stained with May-Gruenwald and Giemsa-Romanowski stains. PA was calculated as the number of white cells containing at least three engulfed particles per 100 white cells (neutrophils) and expressed in percentage. Index of PA (IPA) was calculated as the number of engulfed particles per total number of neutrophils observed. The percentage of phagocytic cells was evaluated using an optical microscope, by counting polymorphonuclear cells up to 100.

The enzyme glutathione-peroxidase (GPx) was determined using a Ransel kit (Randox Laboratories, Ltd., UK), expressed in U/gHb  $\pm$  SD.

To test the biochemical parameters such as the total proteins (g/l), albumins (g/l), creatinine ( $\mu$ mol/l), triglycerides (mmol/l), total cholesterol (mmol/l), alanine aminotransferase (ALT) ( $\mu$ kat/l), aspartate aminotransferase (AST) ( $\mu$ kat/l), total cholesterol (mmol/l),  $\alpha$ -amylase ( $\mu$ kat/l), calcium (mmol/l), phosphorus (mmol/l), and magnesium, a commercial kit Dialab (Czech Republic) and an analyzer ELLIPSE (AMS, Italy) were used; blood was sampled into nonheparinized sterile Eppendorf tubes. Blood serum was received by centrifugation at 3000 g for 10 min and stored frozen in plastic vials until analysis.

The experiment was carried out in accordance with the established standards for use of animals (Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals) and the experimental schedule was approved by the Ethic Commission of the University of Veterinary Medicine and Pharmacy in Košice, Institute of Animal Physiology, Slovak Academy of Sciences and approved by the Slovak Veterinary and Food Administration.

**Statistical analysis.** Statistical significance was assessed using one-way analysis of variance with the *post hoc* Tukey's multiple comparison test (one-way ANOVA). Probability values of less than 0.05 were considered significant.

## RESULTS

On day 7 (2<sup>nd</sup> sampling, Table 1), *E. faecium* CCM8558 reached in faeces of EG1 (CCM8558) and EG2 chickens (CCM8558 + *C. jejuni* CCM6191) up to 5.0 (log 10) CFU/g; it indicates a sufficient colonization of CCM8558 strain in the digestive tract of broiler chickens. Significantly higher counts of CCM8558 strain in chickens of EG1 and EG2 (day 7 of application, day 4 post-infection, 2<sup>nd</sup> sampling) were found compared to its counts on day 11 (3<sup>rd</sup> sampling, day 4 of CCM8558 cessation, day 7 post-infection, a : a,  $P < 0.05$ ). Moreover, almost the same counts of CCM8558 strain as in faeces were determined in caecum of EG1 and EG2 (Table 2, 2<sup>nd</sup> sampling). Higher counts of CCM8558 were noted in EG1 at 3<sup>rd</sup> sampling compared to its count in EG1 at 2<sup>nd</sup> sampling (Table 2). PCR analysis using primers and MALDI-TOF identification confirmed its identity (*E. faecium*). In liver, CCM8558 was not detected (Table 3).

Enterococci in faeces of EG1 chicks (CCM8558) (3<sup>rd</sup> sampling) were significantly higher (c : c,  $P < 0.01$ ) compared to enterococci in EG1 (2<sup>nd</sup> sampling); enterococci in EG3 (3<sup>rd</sup> sampling) were significantly higher compared to enterococci in

EG1 (2<sup>nd</sup> sampling,  $P < 0.01$ ). Enterococci in EG2 (3<sup>rd</sup> sampling) were significantly higher compared to enterococci in EG1, EG3 (2<sup>nd</sup> sampling, e : e, e : f,  $P < 0.01$ ). Enterococci in caecum were not influenced; they reached almost the same counts as enterococci in faeces (Table 2). In liver, lower counts of enterococci were detected in EG2 at 3<sup>rd</sup> sampling than in EG2 (2<sup>nd</sup> sampling, a : a,  $P < 0.05$ , Table 3).

Coliform bacteria in faeces, caecum or liver were not influenced by CCM8558 strain application (Tables 1–3). *C. jejuni* CCM6191 reached high counts in faeces of EG2 chicks (CCM8558 + *C. jejuni* CCM6191) post-infection as well as in EG3 group (*C. jejuni* CCM6191, Table 1); its initial inoculation was 8.0 CFU/ml; on day 7 (day 4 post-infection) CCM6191 reached up to (log 10) 6.0 CFU/g in EG2 and in EG4 up to 5.0 CFU/g. On day 11 (end of experiment, day 7 post-infection), *C. jejuni* CCM6191 counts in EG2 chicks reached still up to 5.0 (log<sub>10</sub>) CFU/g (Table 1). However, in faeces of EG2 (2<sup>nd</sup> sampling) a tendency to reduce *Campylobacters* (day 7 of application, day 4 of infection) was found if compared to EG2 (3<sup>rd</sup> sampling, day 11 – end of experiment, day 4 of cessation, day 7 post-infection; difference 1.21 log cycles) (Table 2), while in EG3 at this time

Table 1. Microbial counts in faeces of chickens of control (CG) and experimental groups (EG) (log<sub>10</sub>, CFU/g) ± SD

	CCM8558	Enterococci	Campylobacters	Coliform bacteria
<b>1<sup>st</sup> sampling, day 0–1 (n = 5)</b>				
	nt	6.68 (0.25)	3.54 (0.88)	6.10 (0.00)
<b>2<sup>nd</sup> sampling, day 7 (application)</b>				
CG	nt	7.17 (0.67)	< 1.00	6.39 (0.52)
EG1	4.67 (0.16) <sup>a</sup>	6.76 (0.26) <sup>cdf</sup>	2.27 (0.66)	7.70 (0.77)
EG2, day 4 of infection	4.32 (0.20) <sup>a</sup>	7.30 (0.70) <sup>e</sup>	5.62 (0.37) <sup>b</sup>	7.89 (0.80)
EG3, day 4 of infection	nt	6.99 (0.04) <sup>d</sup>	4.17 (2.04)	7.87 (0.80)
<b>3<sup>rd</sup> sampling, day 11 (end of experiment)</b>				
CG	nt	7.32 (0.70)	2.95 (0.71)	7.75 (0.78)
EG1	1.22 (0.11) <sup>a</sup>	8.63 (0.93) <sup>c</sup>	3.70 (0.92)	8.20 (0.83)
EG2	1.62 (0.27) <sup>a</sup>	8.60 (0.90) <sup>e</sup>	4.41 (2.10) <sup>b</sup>	8.56 (0.92)
EG3	nt	8.45 (0.90) <sup>d</sup>	4.78 (2.18)	8.13 (0.85)

1<sup>st</sup> sampling, day 0–1 = start of experiment; 2<sup>nd</sup> sampling, day 7 = *Enterococcus faecium* (CCM8558) application, day 4 of *Campylobacter jejuni* infection; 3<sup>rd</sup> sampling, day 11 = end of experiment and day 4 of CCM8558 cessation, day 7 post-infection; CG = control group; EG1 = CCM8558; EG2 = CCM8558 + *C. jejuni* CCM6191; EG3 = *C. jejuni* CCM6191; nt = not tested; CCM8558 *Enterococcus faecium*, 2<sup>nd</sup> sampling : 3<sup>rd</sup> sampling, a : a,  $P < 0.05$ ; Enterococci in EG1, 3<sup>rd</sup> sampling : 2<sup>nd</sup> sampling,  $P < 0.01$ ; in EG3 (3<sup>rd</sup> sampling) were significantly higher compared to EG1 (2<sup>nd</sup> sampling, d : c,  $P < 0.01$ ); in EG2 (3<sup>rd</sup> sampling, d) were significantly higher compared to EG1c, EG3d (2<sup>nd</sup> sampling, d : c, d : d,  $P < 0.01$ ); in EG2 (3<sup>rd</sup> sampling, e): 2<sup>nd</sup> sampling EG2, e : e, d : f, e : f,  $P < 0.01$ ; *Campylobacter* spp., 2<sup>nd</sup> sampling in EG2 : 3<sup>rd</sup> sampling in EG2, b : b (difference 1.21 log cycle)

doi: 10.17221/12/2017-CJAS

Table 2. Microbial counts in caecum of chickens of control (CG) and experimental groups (EG) (log<sub>10</sub>, CFU/g) ± SD

	CCM8558	Enterococci	Campylobacters	Coliform bacteria
<b>1<sup>st</sup> sampling, day 0–1 (n = 5)</b>				
	nt	nt	nt	nt
<b>2<sup>nd</sup> sampling, day 7 (application)</b>				
CG	nt	7.26 (2.69)	1.36 (0.16)	8.59 (0.93)
EG1	4.58 (0.14) <sup>a</sup>	7.28 (2.69)	4.73 (0.17)	8.29 (0.85)
EG2, day 4 of infection	4.51 (0.12) <sup>b</sup>	7.81 (0.79)	3.60 (1.89)	8.40 (0.89)
EG3, day 4 of infection	nt	7.75 (0.78)	2.51 (1.59)	8.70 (0.94)
<b>3<sup>rd</sup> sampling, day 11 (end of experiment)</b>				
CG	nt	7.61 (2.75)	1.30 (0.00)	8.80 (0.96)
EG1	2.60 (0.61) <sup>a</sup>	7.76 (2.78)	4.73 (2.17)	8.63 (0.93)
EG2	1.56 (0.24) <sup>b</sup>	7.57 (0.75)	6.90 (0.62)	8.57 (0.93)
EG3	nt	8.39 (0.89)	6.68 (2.58)	8.85 (0.91)

1<sup>st</sup> sampling, day 0–1 = start of experiment; 2<sup>nd</sup> sampling, day 7 = *Enterococcus faecium* (CCM8558) application, day 4 of *Campylobacter jejuni* infection; 3<sup>rd</sup> sampling, day 11 = end of experiment and day 4 of CCM8558 cessation, day 7 post-infection; CG = control group; EG1 = CCM8558; EG2 = CCM8558 + *C. jejuni* CCM6191; EG3 = *C. jejuni* CCM6191; nt = not tested; CCM8558 *Enterococcus faecium*, 2<sup>nd</sup> sampling, 3<sup>rd</sup> sampling in EG1, a : a, difference 1.98 log cycle; in EG2 : EG1, 2<sup>nd</sup> sampling, 3<sup>rd</sup> sampling, b : a, difference 1.91 log cycle; in EG2 : EG2, 2<sup>nd</sup> sampling : 3<sup>rd</sup> sampling (difference 2.95 log cycle)

CCM6191 strain counts were not reduced, similarly as in caecum (Table 2); in liver mostly less than 1.00 (log<sub>10</sub>) CFU/g was detected (Table 3). No *Salmonella* cells were detected in chicken.

At 2<sup>nd</sup> sampling, PA values were significantly higher in infected groups (EG3, EG2; Table 4) compared to CG and EG1 (a : b, a : c,  $P < 0.01$ ; d :

b, d : c,  $P < 0.001$ ). Significant increase in PA was also noted in EG2 and EG3 at 3<sup>rd</sup> sampling compared to CG or EG1 (a : b, d : b,  $P < 0.01$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ; c : b,  $P < 0.01$ ). Regarding the IPA, significant increase was noted in EG3 compared to CG, and in EG2 compared to CG, a : d, d : b,  $P < 0.05$  at 3<sup>rd</sup> sampling; i.e. in infected groups (Table 4).

Table 3. Microbial counts in liver of chickens of control (CG) and experimental groups (EG) (log<sub>10</sub>, CFU/g) ± SD

CCM8558		Enterococci	Campylobacters	Coliform bacteria
<b>1<sup>st</sup> sampling, day 0–1 (n = 5)</b>				
	nt	nt	nt	nt
<b>2<sup>nd</sup> sampling, day 7 (application)</b>				
CG	nt	2.76 (0.66)	1.68 (0.29)	2.72 (1.64)
EG1	–	1.95 (0.39)	< 1.00	2.04 (0.42)
EG2, day 4 of infection	–	2.14 (0.46) <sup>a</sup>	< 1.00	2.22 (0.48)
EG3, day 4 of infection	–	1.61 (0.02)	< 1.00	2.02 (0.42)
<b>3<sup>rd</sup> sampling, day 11 (end of experiment)</b>				
CG	nt	2.69 (0.63)	< 1.00	2.90 (0.70)
EG1	nt	1.71 (0.30)	< 1.00	1.97 (0.04)
EG2	nt	1.25 (0.11) <sup>a</sup>	< 1.00	1.93 (0.39)
EG3	nt	1.50 (0.02)	1.50 (0.02)	2.29 (0.51)

1<sup>st</sup> sampling, day 0–1 = start of experiment; 2<sup>nd</sup> sampling, day 7 = *Enterococcus faecium* (CCM8558) application, day 4 of *Campylobacter jejuni* infection; 3<sup>rd</sup> sampling, day 11 = end of experiment and day 4 of CCM8558 cessation, day 7 post-infection; CG = control group; EG1 = CCM8558; EG2 = CCM8558 + *C. jejuni* CCM6191; EG3 = *C. jejuni* CCM6191; nt = not tested; Enterococci in EG2, 2<sup>nd</sup> sampling : 3<sup>rd</sup> sampling, a : a,  $P < 0.05$

Table 4. Phagocytic activity (PA) values and index of phagocytic activity (IPA) (%)

	Phagocytic activity		Index of phagocytic activity	
	2 <sup>nd</sup> sampling	3 <sup>rd</sup> sampling	2 <sup>nd</sup> sampling	3 <sup>rd</sup> sampling
CG	33.00 (4.30) <sup>b</sup>	32.40 (2.30) <sup>b</sup>	1.48 (0.24)	1.38 (0.19) <sup>b</sup>
EG1	33.80 (3.90) <sup>c</sup>	35.80 (3.70) <sup>c</sup>	1.52 (0.26)	1.44 (0.21)
EG2	36.80 (4.20) <sup>a</sup>	38.60 (2.30) <sup>a</sup>	1.40 (0.16)	1.34 (0.21) <sup>a</sup>
EG3	39.20 (2.49) <sup>d</sup>	41.00 (2.70) <sup>d</sup>	1.76 (0.21)	1.82 (0.26) <sup>d</sup>

CG = control group, EG = experimental group, 2<sup>nd</sup> sampling, day 7 = *Enterococcus faecium* (CCM8558) application, day 4 of *Campylobacter jejuni* infection; 3<sup>rd</sup> sampling, day 11 = end of experiment and day 4 of CCM8558 cessation, day 7 post-infection; EG1 = CCM8558; EG2 = CCM8558 + *C. jejuni* CCM6191; EG3 = *C. jejuni* CCM6191; 1<sup>st</sup> sampling not tested; PA, 2<sup>nd</sup> sampling, a : b, a : c,  $P < 0.01$ ; d : b, d : c,  $P < 0.001$ ; 3<sup>rd</sup> sampling, d : b, d : c,  $P < 0.001$ ; a : b, a : c,  $P < 0.01$ ; IPA, 3<sup>rd</sup> sampling, a : d, d : b,  $P < 0.05$

Based on the results achieved (Table 5) comparing values of nitrogen profile (total proteins, albumin, creatinine), it can be concluded that initial hypoproteinaemia in all groups was noted comparing groups EG1, EG2, EG3 to CG ( $P < 0.001$ ,  $P < 0.05$ ,  $P < 0.05$ ), hypoalbuminaemia, hypocreataemia (day 7); at the end of experiment, increase of these parameters in the experimental groups (EG1, EG2, EG3) was noted. In opposite, in blood serum of chickens of CG even at the end of experiment hypoproteinaemia and hypoalbuminaemia were noted.

Measured values of AST and ALT were in the framework of reference values. However,  $\alpha$ -amylase values were low (Table 6). Regarding the triglycerides on day 4 of infection, slight lipidemia was measured. In all chickens hypocalcaemia was noted;

in general, the values of minerals varied (Table 7). At the end of experiment Mg values were increased in EG1 compared to EG2 ( $P < 0.01$ ) and in EG1 compared to EG3 ( $P < 0.05$ , Table 7).

The values of GPx were lower during the whole experiment in the experimental groups EG1 and EG2 compared to CG; which means a beneficial effect. In EG1 (CCM8558) and EG2 (CCM8558 + *C. jejuni* CCM6191) even at the end of experiment lower counts were noted compared to CG but also compared to EG3 (*C. jejuni* CCM6191) (Table 6). There in EG3 even higher counts of GPx were reached compared to all other groups. On the other hand, the lowest, stable GPx value was noted in EG1 (CCM8558); it means that CCM8558 strain did not evoke oxidative stress; however, in EG3 (*C. jejuni*) it was probably evoked.

Table 5. Nitrogen profile values in blood serum of chickens of control (CG) and experimental groups (EG)

	CG	EG1	EG2	EG3
<b>Day 7 (day 4 of infection)</b>				
Total proteins (g/l)	20.56 (3.47) <sup>a</sup>	10.90 (2.97) <sup>b</sup>	9.35 (0.69) <sup>b</sup>	4.23 (1.71) <sup>b</sup>
Albumin (g/l)	11.50 (0.23)	7.55 (1.11)	6.88 (0.42)	4.61 (0.31)
Creatinine ( $\mu$ mol/l)	12.67 (0.88)	8.00 (1.24)	4.45 (1.03)	9.50 (3.79)
<b>Day 11 (end of experiment)</b>				
Total proteins (g/l)	11.68 (3.47)	16.72 (1.49)	11.06 (4.15)	11.26 (2.57)
Albumin (g/l)	8.80 (0.40)	9.80 (0.45)	6.40 (1.15)	7.66 (0.77)
Creatinine ( $\mu$ mol/l)	10.20 (1.63)	12.20 (1.02)	8.20 (1.56)	15.20 (3.79)

day 7 – 2<sup>nd</sup> sampling, day 11 – 3<sup>rd</sup> sampling, 1<sup>st</sup> sampling was not checked; 1<sup>st</sup> sampling, day 0–1 = start of experiment; 2<sup>nd</sup> sampling, day 7 = *Enterococcus faecium* CCM8558 application, day 4 of *Campylobacter jejuni* infection; 3<sup>rd</sup> sampling, day 11 = end of experiment, day 4 of CCM8558 cessation, day 7 post-infection; CG = control group, EG1 = CCM8558, EG2 = CCM8558 + *C. jejuni* CCM6191; EG3 = *C. jejuni* CCM6191; a : b ( $P < 0.001$ ,  $P < 0.05$ ,  $P < 0.05$ ); reference values: total proteins 27–32 g/l; albumin 11.7–16.0 g/l; creatinine 11.1–30.6  $\mu$ mol/l

doi: 10.17221/12/2017-CJAS

Table 6. Enzymatic-hepatal, energetic values, and GPx values in blood serum of chickens of control (CG) and experimental groups (EG)

	CG	EG1	EG2	EG3
<b>Day 7</b> (day 4 of infection)				
AST (µkat/l)	2.35 (0.19)	2.65 (0.18)	0.28 (0.08)	2.46 (0.13)
ALT (µkat/l)	0.09 (0.02)	0.05 (0.02)	0.04 (0.02)	0.04 (0.01)
α-Amylase (µkat/l)	18.14 (1.60)	10.33 (1.35)	18.16 (3.09)	8.98 (1.65)
GPx (µkat/l)	157.53 (72.30)	156.38 (34.57)	139.71 (22.00)	132.81 (54.28)
Total cholesterol (mmol/l)	4.87 (0.12)	4.54 (0.27)	3.78 (0.13)	3.24 (0.16)
Triglycerides (mmol/l)	2.97 (0.14)	2.00 (0.08)	1.85 (0.03)	1.93 (0.29)
<b>Day 11</b> (end of experiment)				
AST (µkat/l)	2.17 (0.23)	2.88 (0.08)	2.39 (0.22)	2.16 (0.19)
ALT (µkat/l)	0.10 (0.04)	0.02 (0.01)	0.06 (0.02)	0.04 (0.02)
α-Amylase (µkat/l)	10.29 (1.36)	13.79 (1.56)	12.19 (1.18)	11.49 (0.92)
GPx (µkat/l)	193.18 (71.80)	158.68 (47.60)	175.93 (43.61)	216.00 (80.00)
Total cholesterol (mmol/l)	4.27 (0.18)	4.48 (0.45)	3.80 (0.44)	4.22 (0.31)
Triglycerides (mmol/l)	1.58 (0.13)	1.64 (0.06)	1.52 (0.04)	1.50 (0.04)

day 7 = 2<sup>nd</sup> sampling, day 11 = 3<sup>rd</sup> sampling, 1<sup>st</sup> sampling was not checked; CG = control group, EG1 = CCM8558, EG2 = CCM8558 + *C. jejuni* CCM6191; EG3 = *C. jejuni* CCM6191; reference values: AST = aspartate aminotransferase 0.30–2.63 µkat/l; ALT = alanine aminotransferase 0.11–0.76 µkat/l; α-amylase 82.47 µkat/l; GPX = glutathione peroxidase; total cholesterol 2.79–5.38 mmol/l; triglycerides 0.96–1.70 mmol/l

## DISCUSSION

As in our previous experiments using CCM8558 strain = AL41 (Laukova et al. 2012, 2015), here also sufficient colonization in the GIT of chickens was approved including those chickens infected with *C. jejuni* CCM6191 strain. Followed our previous studies (Herich et al. 2004; Laukova et al. 2015) anti-*Campylobacter* effect or anti-*Salmonella* or anti-coliforms effect was noted. In our study a

tendency to reduce *Campylobacter* was also noted. Moreover, in our previous studies, antimicrobial effect of CCM8558 strain was achieved both *in vitro* and *in vivo*, but with a longer application period. It means, to observe the tendency to reduce *Campylobacter* could be more clearly documented by a longer application of CCM8558 strain in chickens. In spite of this, CCM8558 strain probably acts as a protective element; it seems that it does not damage the intestinal barrier (mucosa)

Table 7. Mineral profile values in blood serum of chickens of control (CG) and experimental groups (EG)

	CG	EG1	EG2	EG3
<b>Day 7</b> (day 4 of infection)				
Calcium (mmol/l)	0.00	0.006 (0.006)	0.00	0.00
Phosphorus (mmol/l)	4.12 (0.24)	0.48 (0.11)	0.33 (0.13)	0.46 (0.12)
Magnesium (mmol/l)	0.61 (0.07)	0.49 (0.03)	0.60 (0.14)	0.57 (0.18)
<b>Day 11</b> (end of experiment)				
Calcium (mmol/l)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.39 (0.39)
Phosphorus (mmol/l)	0.93 (0.49)	0.70 (0.36)	0.83 (0.45)	0.86 (0.32)
Magnesium (mmol/l)	0.68 (0.14)	0.95 (0.24) <sup>a</sup>	0.18 (0.04) <sup>b</sup>	0.20 (0.04) <sup>b1</sup>

CG = control group, EG1 = CCM8558, EG2 = CCM8558 + *C. jejuni* CCM6191, EG3 = *C. jejuni* CCM6191; reference values: calcium 2.05–3.0 mmol/l; phosphorus 1.45–2.63 mmol/l; magnesium 0.83–1.30 mmol/l; day 7 = 2<sup>nd</sup> sampling, day 11 = 3<sup>rd</sup> sampling, 1<sup>st</sup> sampling was not checked; EG1 compared to EG2 (a : b,  $P < 0.01$ ) and EG1 compared to EG3 (a : b1,  $P < 0.05$ )

and mucus which play an important role as a capture area to catch pathogenic strains (Ohland and MacNaughton 2010). Glyconjugates, which are basic components of mucus, were probably beneficially influenced by *E. faecium* CCM8558. The strain with protective effect should not degrade mucin; this property seems to be associated with CCM8558 strain because it did not translocate in the liver; however, count of total enterococci in liver reached up to  $10^2$  or  $10^3$  CFU/g. Similarly, e.g. Levkut et al. (2016) reported beneficial effect of *E. faecium* EF55 strain (producing enterocin 55) on the expression of *MUC* (intestinal mucin gene) and production of IgA + IEL (intraperitoneal lymphocytes) in caecum after injection with *Salmonella*.

Phagocytic activity is an essential component of the cellular innate immunity response and plays an important role in host defense against microbial infection. In the experimental groups, PA activity was significantly increased. In EG1 and EG2 this could be explained through the ability of CCM8558 strain to modulate the Toll-like receptors (TLRs) expression and in EG3 it could be explained via the ability of CCM8558 strain to modify the activation of *MIF*, *IFN- $\beta$* , *MD-2*, and *CD14* molecules in chickens challenged with CCM6191 strain as reported by Karaffova et al. (2017). Toll-like receptors are pattern recognition receptors that function as microbial infection sensors and are critical for the initiation of the innate inflammatory and adaptive immune response (Shang et al. 2008). Based on the results of Kavulova et al. (unpublished), *E. faecium* AL41 has probably an antibacterial effect on *C. jejuni* CCM6191 in the caecum mediated by stimulation of local gut immune response. It seems that *E. faecium* AL41 (CCM8558) can regulate inflammatory response in the intestine through the local regulation of *IL-17* and *TGF- $\beta$ 4* expression.

The effect of CCM8558 strain on biochemical blood parameters (e.g. cholesterol or triglycerides) could be explained possibly by the fact that *E. faecium* CCM8558 is a lactic acid producing microorganism, producing enzymes degrading bile, deconjugates it, and it can lead to lower pH. These changes could function by lowering of cholesterol or triglycerides (Bovdisova and Capcarova 2015). Hypoproteinaemia, for example, could be explained by a lower administration of exogenous proteins than loss or reduction of proteins synthesis. Creatinine is a parameter of the condition of glomerular system. From the clinical

point of view high levels of creatinine are dangerous; in our study, creatinine values were low or normal. A nitrogenous profile provides information related to possible changes in appetite of the animals. In growing chickens,  $\alpha$ -amylase plays an important role and lack of endogenous amylase limits the utilization of starch and reduces nutrition potential of feed. In our study, differences were not significant. Regarding the mineral metabolism, it was insignificantly influenced, too.

GPx belongs to the most abundant antioxidant enzymes protecting cells from oxidative damage (Mangiapane et al. 2014). In our conditions, lower values were assessed in experimental groups with CCM8558 strain; it indicates that this additive did not evoke oxidative stress. Similarly, also e.g. after *Enterococcus durans* ED26E/7 application in broiler rabbits, this enzyme was not influenced negatively (Laukova et al. 2017).

## CONCLUSION

*E. faecium* CCM8558 sufficiently colonized the gastrointestinal tract of chickens. In faeces of EG2 (2<sup>nd</sup> sampling, CCM8558 + *C. jejuni* CCM6191) a tendency to reduce *Campylobacter* spp. (day 7 of application, day 4 of infection) was noted as compared to EG2 (3<sup>rd</sup> sampling, day 11, end of experiment, day 4 of cessation, day 7 post-infection; difference 1.21 log cycles); while in EG3 at this time CCM6191 strain counts were reduced neither in faeces, nor in caceum. PA values were significantly higher in infected groups compared to CG and EG1 (CCM8558 group). A significant increase in PA was also noted in EG2 and EG3 at 3<sup>rd</sup> sampling compared to CG or EG1. Probiotic additive did not evoke oxidative stress, in spite of *C. jejuni* CCM6191 strain; but values of GPx were lower than in CG. Biochemical parameters were influenced to the reference levels.

**Acknowledgement.** We are grateful for the skillful assistance of Mrs. Margita Bodnarova. Results related to microbiota counts were partially published in a paper by Karaffova et al. (2017) (see References), and in Ph.D. Thesis of Dr. Jana Scerbova (2016) Bacteria, bioactive substances and animals health (University of Veterinary Medicine and Pharmacy in Košice, and Institute of Animal Physiology, Slovak Academy of Sciences, Košice, Slovakia). We thank

doi: 10.17221/12/2017-CJAS

the Ph.D. students (Dr. Husakova, Dr. Stasova, Dr. Kavulova, Dr. Levkut Jr., and Dr. Bobikova) for their assistance during sampling and prof. Zuzana Sevcikova for providing the pathological section.

## REFERENCES

- Alatoom A.A., Cinnigham S.A., Ihde S.M., Mandrekar J., Patel R. (2011): Comparison of direct colony method versus extraction method for identification of Gram-positive cocci by use of Bruker Biotyper matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, 49, 2868–2873.
- Bovdisova I., Capcarova M. (2015): Effect of a probiotic product on the content of cholesterol and triglycerides in the blood serum of laying hens. In: Proc. Conf. Young Scientists, Nitra, Slovak Republic, 42–45. (in Slovak)
- Cisek A.A., Binek M. (2014): Chicken intestinal microbiota function with a special emphasis on the role of probiotic bacteria. *Polish Journal of Veterinary Sciences*, 17, 385–394.
- EFSA (2015): EU summary report on zoonoses, zoonotic agents and food-borne outbreaks 2013. *EFSA Journal*, 13: 3991.
- Franz C.M.A.P., van Belkum M.J., Holzapfel W.H., Abriouel H., Galvez A. (2007): Diversity of enterococcal bacteriocins and their grouping in a new classification scheme. *FEMS Microbiology Review*, 31, 293–307.
- Herich R., Laukova A., Stropfova V., Revajova V., Levkut M., Pisl J. (2004): Optimization of Salmonella detection in chickens' caecum using PCR method. *Archiv fur Tierzucht*, 47, 85–91.
- Karaffova V., Marcinkova E., Bobikova K., Herich R., Revajova V., Stasova D., Kavulova A., Levkutova M., Levkut Jr. M., Laukova A., Sevcikova Z., Levkut Sr. M. (2017): TLR4 and TLR21 expression, MIF, IFN- $\beta$ , MID-2, CD14 activation, and sIgA production in chickens administered with EFAL41 strain challenged with *Campylobacter jejuni*. *Folia Microbiologica*, 62, 89–97.
- Laukova A., Czikkova S., Vasilkova Z., Juris P., Marekova M. (1998): Occurrence of bacteriocin production among environmental enterococci. *Letters in Applied Microbiology*, 27, 178–182.
- Laukova A., Guba P., Nemcova R., Vasilkova Z. (2003a): Reduction of Salmonella in gnotobiotic Japanese quails caused by the enterocin A-producing EK13 strain of *Enterococcus faecium*. *Veterinary Research Communications*, 27, 275–280.
- Laukova A., Turek P., Marekova M., Nagy J. (2003b): Use of ent M, new variant of ent P to control *Listeria innocua* in experimentally contaminated Gombasek sausage. *Archiv für Lebensmittelhygiene*, 54, 25–48.
- Laukova A., Guba P., Nemcova R., Marek M. (2004a): Inhibition of *Salmonella enterica* serovar Duesseldorf by enterocin A in gnotobiotic Japanese quails. *Veterinary Medicine – Czech*, 49, 47–51.
- Laukova A., Stropfova V., Ouwehand A. (2004b): Adhesion properties of enterococci to intestinal mucus of different hosts. *Veterinary Research Communications*, 28, 647–655.
- Laukova A., Chrastinova L., Pogany Simonova M., Stropfova V., Placha I., Cobanova K., Formelova Z., Chrenkova M., Ondruska L. (2012): *Enterococcus faecium* AL41: its Enterocin M and their beneficial use in rabbits husbandry. *Probiotics and Antimicrobial Proteins*, 4, 243–249.
- Laukova A., Stropfova V., Placha I., Cobanova K., Faix S., Pogany Simonova M. (2015): Beneficial effect of enterocin M-producing, probiotic strain *Enterococcus faecium* AL41 in model experiment with hens. *Global Journal of Animal Scientific Research*, 31, 206–213.
- Laukova A., Pogany Simonova M., Chrastinova L., Placha I., Cobanova K., Formelova Z., Chrenkova M., Ondruska L., Stropfova V. (2016): Benefits of combinative application of probiotic, enterocin M-producing strain *Enterococcus faecium* AL41 and *Eleutherococcus senticosus* in rabbits. *Folia Microbiologica*, 61, 169–177.
- Laukova A., Pogany Simonova M., Chrastinova L., Kandrickova A., Scerbova J., Placha I., Cobanova K., Formelova Z., Ondruska L., Strkolcova G., Stropfova V. (2017): Beneficial effect of bacteriocin strain *Enterococcus durans* ED26E/7 in model experiment using broiler rabbits. *Czech Journal of Animal Science*, 62, 168–177.
- Levkut Jr. M., Revajova V., Kraffova V., Laukova A., Herich R., Stropfova V., Sevcikova Z., Zitnan R., Levkutova M., Levkut Sn. M. (2016): Evaluation of mucin and cytokines expression with intraepithelial lymphocytes determination in the caecum of broilers administered with *Enterococcus faecium* EF55 and challenged with *Salmonella Enteritidis* SE147. *Journal of Veterinary Medicine and Animal Health*, 8, 214–222.
- Levkut Jr. M., Revajova V., Kraffova V., Laukova A., Herich R., Stropfova V., Sevcikova Z., Zitnan R., Levkutova M., Levkut Sn. M. (2016): Evaluation of mucin and cytokines expression with intraepithelial lymphocytes determination in the caecum of broilers administered with *Enterococcus faecium* EF55 and challenged with *Salmonella Enteritidis* SE147. *Journal of Veterinary Medicine and Animal Health*, 8, 214–222.
- Levkut M., Revajova V., Laukova A., Sevcikova Z., Spisakova V., Faixova Z., Levkutova M., Stropfova V., Pisl J., Levkut M. (2012): Leucocytic responses and intestinal

- mucin dynamics of broilers protected with *Enterococcus faecium* EF55 and challenged with *Salmonella* Enteritidis. *Research in Veterinary Science*, 93, 195–201.
- Mangiapane E., Pessione A., Pessione E. (2014): Selenium and selenoproteins: an overview on different biological systems. *Current Protein and Peptide Science*, 15, 598–607.
- Marekova M., Laukova A., Skaugen M., Nes I.F. (2007): Isolation and characterization of a new bacteriocin, termed Enterocin M, produced by environmental isolate *Enterococcus faecium* AL41. *Journal of Industrial Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 34, 533–537.
- Novak R., Bogovic Matijasic B., Tercic D., Cervek M., Gorcjan G., Holcman A., Levart A., Rogelj I. (2011): Effect of two probiotic additives containing *Bacillus* spores on carcass characteristics, blood lipids and cecal volatile fatty acids in meat type chickens. *Animal Physiology and Animal Nutrition*, 95, 424–433.
- Ohland C.L., MacNaughton W.K. (2010): Probiotic bacteria and intestinal epithelial barrier function. *American Journal of Physiology – Gastrointestinal and Liver Physiology*, 298, 807–819.
- Pogany Simonova M., Laukova A., Placha I., Cobanova K., Stropfova V., Szaboova R., Chrastinova L. (2013): Can enterocins affect phagocytosis and glutathione-peroxidase in rabbits? *Central European Journal of Biology*, 8, 730–734.
- Sahin H.E., Zhang Q., Meitzler J.C., Harr B.S., Morishita T.Y., Mohan R. (2001): Prevalence, antigen specificity and bactericidal activity of poultry anti-*Campylobacter* maternal antibodies. *Applied Environmental Microbiology*, 67, 3951–3957.
- Scerbova J., Laukova A. (2016): Sensitivity to enterocins of thermophilic *Campylobacter* spp. from different poultry species. *Foodborne Pathogens and Disease*, 13, 668–673.
- Shang L., Fukata M., Thirunarayanan N., Martin A.P., Arnaboldi P., Maussag D., Berin C., Unkeless J.C., Mayer L., Abreu M.T., Lira S.A. (2008): TLR signaling in small intestinal epithelium promotes B cell recruitment and IgA production in lamina propria. *Gastroenterology*, 135, 529–538.
- Stasova D., Husakova E., Bobikova K., Karaffova V., Levkutova M., Levkut M. (2015): Expression of cytokines in chicken peripheral blood mononuclear cells after stimulation by probiotic bacteria and *Campylobacter jejuni* in vitro. *Food and Agricultural Immunology*, 26, 813–820.
- Szaboova R., Laukova A., Chrastinova L., Pogany Simonova M., Stropfova V., Placha I., Cobanova K., Vasilkova Z., Chrenkova M. (2011): Enterocin 4231 produced by *Enterococcus faecium* CCM4231 and its use in rabbits. *Acta Veterinaria (Beograd)*, 61, 523–539.
- Vetvicka V., Fornusek J., Kopecek J., Kaminkova J., Kasparek L., Vranova M. (1982): Phagocytosis of human blood leucocytes: a simple micromethod. *Immunological Letters*, 5, 97–100.
- Woodford N., Egelton M.C., Morrison D. (1997): Comparison of PCR with phenotypic methods for the speciation of enterococci. *Advances in Experimental Medicine*, 418, 405–408.

Received: 2017–02–10

Accepted after corrections: 2017–08–30