

## Effect of rabbit-origin enterocin-producing probiotic strain *Enterococcus faecium* CCM7420 application on growth performance and gut morphometry in rabbits

M. POGÁNY SIMONOVÁ<sup>1</sup>, A. LAUKOVÁ<sup>1</sup>, R. ŽITŇAN<sup>2</sup>, Ľ. CHRASTINOVÁ<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Animal Physiology, Slovak Academy of Sciences, Košice, Slovak Republic

<sup>2</sup>Department of Animal Nutrition, National Agricultural and Food Centre, Nitra-Lužianky, Slovak Republic

**ABSTRACT:** The interactions between probiotic bacteria and the immune system in animals are known, but particularly in rabbits have not been sufficiently documented. Therefore the present study deals with the effect of the enterocin-producing probiotic strain *Enterococcus faecium* CCM7420 on the growth performance and jejunal morphometry in rabbits. Forty-eight weaned rabbits of male sex (NZB breed) at 5 weeks of age were randomly divided into experimental (EF) and control group (CG) with 24 rabbits in each group. Each day of the treatment period (21 days), the rabbits in the EF group were administered a fresh culture of the *E. faecium* CCM7420 strain ( $5.0 \times 10^8$  CFU/animal/day in their drinking water); for the next 21 days after probiotic cessation the rabbits had untreated drinking water (the whole experiment lasted for 42 days). All animals had access to water *ad libitum*. Three animals from each group were randomly selected for slaughter at days 21 and 42 of the experiment to measure morphological parameters (villus height (VH), crypt depth (CD), VH:CD ratio) of the intestinal tissue in the proximal jejunum. The average daily gain was higher in EF group (39.49 g) than in CG (39.04 g). The jejunum VH, surface area, and VH : CD ratio increased, while CD decreased in EF group compared to CG. Inferring from these results, we conclude that administration of *E. faecium* CCM7420 strain to rabbits may improve weight gain due to better utilization of feed and larger absorption surface in the gut and also may positively influence the health status via enhancing the gut health in rabbits.

**Keywords:** probiotic; growth parameter; functionality; food-producing animals

### INTRODUCTION

Weaning is a stressful period related to large economic losses in rabbits husbandries. It can increase the susceptibility of animals to several infections. The ban on antibiotic growth promoters in animal diets by the European Union has led to exploratory research into their alternatives on a natural basis. The use of probiotics, prebiotics, bacteriocins, herbal extracts or organic acids showed promising results in enteric disease prevention, enhancement of growth performance, carcass quality, and immune response in rabbits (Matusevicius et al. 2004; Falcao-e-Cunha et al. 2007;

Kritas et al. 2008; Pogany Simonova et al. 2009; Oso et al. 2013). It is well known that probiotic supplementation improves growth rate, enhances efficiency of feed conversion in rabbits, and also influences the intestinal microbiota through the action of beneficial microbes (Pogany Simonova et al. 2009). The stable or improved intestinal environment and gut health directly influence the health status and growth performance of animals due to better nutrient absorption in the gut. Although there are several scientific reports about the interaction between natural additives and the immune system in rabbits (Cardinali et al. 2008; Szaboova et al. 2012; Pogany Simonova

Supported by the Slovak Scientific Agency (Project VEGA 2/0004/14).

et al. 2013), their effect on the rabbit intestinal morphology and intestinal barrier function has not been documented sufficiently (Oso et al. 2013). For this reason, the effects of bacteriocinogenic probiotic strain *Enterococcus faecium* CCM7420 on the growth performance and the jejunal morphology in rabbits were studied.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

Forty-eight weaned rabbits of male sex (NZB breed) were randomly divided into experimental (EF) and control group (CG) with 24 rabbits in each at 5 weeks of age. The rabbits were housed in standard cages (0.61 m × 0.34 m × 0.33 m; type D-KV-72 supplied by Kovobel, Domažlice, Czech Republic), two animals per cage. A 16 h light/8 h darkness cycle was kept throughout the experiment. Temperature and humidity were recorded continuously by a digital thermograph positioned at the same level as the cages. Heating and forced ventilation systems allowed the air temperature in the building to be maintained within  $16 \pm 4^\circ\text{C}$  during the experiment. Relative humidity was about  $70 \pm 5\%$ . Daily, at the same time in the morning, the rabbits in the EF group had a fresh culture of *E. faecium* CCM7420 strain ( $5.0 \times 10^8$  CFU/animal/day) administered into their drinking water. *E. faecium* CCM7420 strain doses were administered to the rabbits for 21 days (treatment period). The CCM7420 strain is an enterocin-producing probiotic isolate from rabbit faeces, isolated and tested in our laboratory (Simonova 2006; Pogany Simonova et al. 2009). The experiment lasted for 42 days (first 21 days for CCM7420 probiotic administration and the following 21 days after probiotic cessation). The rabbits were fed a granulated feed (complete granulated mixture; pellets have 3 mm in diameter) *ad libitum*. The chemical composition of the diet was as follows: 197.0 g/kg of crude protein, 166.5 g/kg of crude fibre, 970.0 g/kg of dry matter, 34 g/kg of fat, 139 g/kg of starch, 80.0 g/kg of ash, 10.0 MJ/kg of metabolizable energy.

Body weight was checked daily; average daily weight gain and feed conversion were calculated mathematically. Mortality and morbidity were also recorded in groups daily.

Three animals from each group were randomly selected for slaughter at days 21 and 42 of the experiment; they were stunned with electronarcosis (90 V for 5 s), immediately hung by the hind legs

on the processing line and quickly bled by cutting the jugular veins and the carotid arteries. To test morphometry (villus height (VH), crypt depth (CD), and VH : CD ratio), intestinal tissue ( $1\text{ cm}^2$ ) from the proximal jejunum was sampled and treated as previously described by Zitnan et al. (1998). All care and experimental procedures involving animals followed the guidelines stated in the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals (1996) and the trials were accepted by the Ethical Commission at the Institute of Animal Physiology in Košice and by the Slovak Veterinary and Food Administration. Statistical evaluation of the results was performed using a non-paired Student's *t*-test with the level of significance set at  $P < 0.05 \pm \text{SD}$ .

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

All animals were in a good health condition throughout the trial with no symptoms of disorders. The average daily gain was higher in the EF (39.49 g) than in the CG group (39.04 g). Improved feed conversion was also noted in the EF group (EF: 2.63 vs CG: 2.91 between days 35–56 of age = 21 days of the CCM7420 strain administration (g/g); EF: 4.01 vs CG: 4.09 between days 56–77 of age = 21 days after the CCM7420 cessation (g/g); EF: 3.11 vs CG: 3.43 between days 35–77 of age = throughout the whole experiment). Body weight gain is the most frequently checked parameter during additive application. The application of probiotics is associated with their beneficial effect on body weight, morbidity and/or mortality in rabbit farms (Matusevicius et al. 2004; Kritas et al. 2008); improved weight gain and feed conversion ratio are in agreement with our previous findings (Pogany Simonova et al. 2009). Oso et al. (2013) described the poor effect of probiotics on rabbits growth rate. The improvement in growth and feed efficiency could be explained by the retention of beneficial microbiota in the gut and improving feed digestion and absorption due to improved intestinal morphology. Another explanation could be that the probiotics can act on nondigestible carbohydrates and give rise to short-chain fatty acids, which can affect a better absorption of minerals and nutrients. We also hypothesize on the enlargement of the absorption surface due to enterocyte proliferation; improved morphometry parameters, e.g. increased VH and

doi: 10.17221/8559-CJAS

Table 1. Effect of *Enterococcus faecium* CCM7420 (EF) administration on the growth performance and jejunal morphometry in rabbits

	EF	CG	P-value
<b>Growth performance</b>			
Number of rabbits	( <i>n</i> = 24)	( <i>n</i> = 24)	
Initial live weight (35 days) (g)	966.25 ± 143.52	985.00 ± 105.82	0.6089
Intermediate live weight (56 days) (g)	1 890.00 ± 139.61	1 892.08 ± 91.93	0.9517
Final weight (77 days) (g)	2 625.00 ± 182.03	2 624.76 ± 68.24	0.9952
<b>Morphometry at 56 days</b>			
Villus cut surface (µm <sup>2</sup> )	81 150.0 ± 2 527.0	80 610.0 ± 3 055.0	0.8260
Villus height (µm)	68.02 ± 18.7	668.0 ± 29.0	0.5302
Crypt depth (µm)	178.7 ± 11.6	180.7 ± 16.6	0.8723
Villus height : crypt depth	3.83 ± 0.35	3.73 ± 0.49	0.7749
<b>Morphometry at 77 days</b>			
Villus cut surface (µm <sup>2</sup> )	81 290.0 ± 1 176.0	80 830.0 ± 2 436.0	0.7803
Villus height (µm)	677.0 ± 10.8	673.0 ± 20.5	0.7800
Crypt depth (µm)	180.0 ± 7.02	179.0 ± 11.6	0.9681
Villus height : crypt depth	3.77 ± 0.21	3.77 ± 0.36	0.9896

EF = experimental group administered a fresh culture of *E. faecium* CCM7420 strain ( $5.0 \times 10^8$  CFU/animal/day), CG = control group

decreased CD, were measured (Table 1). These results suggest an increased surface area capable of greater absorption of available nutrients. Throughout the *E. faecium* CCM7420 strain administration the jejunum to CG surface area and VH:CD ratio also increased; these results could support the hypothesis about the enlargement of the absorption surface. The effect of dietary treatments on intestinal morphology has been already described/reviewed mainly in chickens, pigs, and rats, but data on the rabbit gut morphology are rare (Matur and Eraslan 2012). Oso et al. (2013) described improved morphological parameters in the rabbit ileum (increased villi length) after prebiotics inclusion compared with the probiotic group. In chickens, the highest VH and VH : CD were obtained in the jejunum by Primalac® (*Lactobacillus/Streptococcus* cultures) supplementation (Yakhkeshi et al. 2011), similarly to our findings.

The administration of *E. faecium* CCM7420 strain to the rabbits altered their growth performance and their jejunum morphometry as well. These changes may influence the gut function and health and may improve the nutrient uptake.

**Acknowledgement.** All care and experimental procedures involving animals followed the guidelines stated in the Guide for the Care and Use of

Laboratory Animals (1996) and the trials were accepted by the Ethical Commission of the Institute of Animal Physiology in Košice and by Slovak Veterinary and Food Administration. None of the authors of this paper have a financial or personal relationship with other people or organizations that could inappropriately influence or bias the content of the paper.

## REFERENCES

- Cardinali R., Rebollar P.G., Dal Bosco A., Cagiola M., Moscati L., Forti K., Mazzone P., Scicutela N., Rutili D., Mugnai C., Castellini C. (2008): Effect of dietary supplementation of organic acids and essential oils on immune function and intestinal characteristics of experimentally infected rabbits. In: Proc. 9<sup>th</sup> World Rabbit Congress, Verona, Italy, 809–814.
- Falcão-e-Cunha L., Castro-Solla L., Maertens L., Marounek M., Pinheiro V., Freire J., Mourao J.L. (2007): Alternatives to antibiotic growth promoters in rabbit feeding: A review. World Rabbit Science, 15, 127–140.
- Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals (1996). National Academy Press, Washington, D.C., USA.
- Kritas S.K., Petridou E.I., Fortomaris P., Tzika E., Arsenos G., Koptopoulos G. (2008): The effect of probiotics on microbiology, health and performance of fattening rabbits. Asian-Australasian Journal of Animal Sciences, 21, 1312–1317.

- Matur E., Eraslan E. (2012): The impact of probiotics on the gastrointestinal physiology. In: Brzozowski T. (ed.): *New Advances in the Basic and Clinical Gastroenterology*. InTech, Rijeka, Croatia, 51–74.
- Matusevicius P., Sliadaryte R., Antoszkiewicz Z., Bednarska A. (2004): A natural way to improve productivity of rabbits using probiotic YEASTURE. *Veterinarija ir Zootechnika*, 26, 61–64.
- Oso A.O., Idowu O.M.O., Haastrup A.S., Ajibade A.J., Olowonefa K.O., Aluko A.O., Ogunade L.M., Osho S.O., Barnghose A.M. (2013): Growth performance, apparent nutrient digestibility, caecal fermentation, ileal morphology and caecal microflora of growing rabbits fed diets containing probiotics and prebiotics. *Livestock Science*, 157, 184–190.
- Pogany Simonova M., Laukova A., Chrastinova L., Strompfova V., Faix S., Vasilkova Z., Ondruska L., Jurcik R., Rafay J. (2009): *Enterococcus faecium* CCM7420, bacteriocin PPB CCM7420 and their effect in the digestive tract of rabbits. *Czech Journal of Animal Science*, 54, 376–386.
- Pogany Simonova M., Laukova A., Chrastinova L., Placha I., Strompfova V., Cobanova K., Formelova Z., Chrenkova M. (2013): Combined administration of bacteriocin producing probiotic strain *Enterococcus faecium* CCM7420 with *Eleutherococcus senticosus* and their effect in rabbits. *Polish Journal of Veterinary Science*, 16, 619–627.
- Szaboova R., Chrastinova L., Laukova A., Strompfova V., Pogany Simonova M., Vasilkova Z., Placha I., Cobanova K., Chrenkova M. (2012): Effect of combinative administration of bacteriocin-producing and probiotic strain *Enterococcus faecium* CCM 4231 and sage plant extract on rabbits. *African Journal of Microbiological Research*, 6, 4868–4873.
- Yakhkeshi S., Rahimi S., Gharib Naseri K. (2011): The effects of comparison of herbal extracts, antibiotic, probiotic and organic acid on serum lipids, immune response, GIT microbial population, intestinal morphology and performance of broilers. *Journal of Medicinal Plants*, 10, 80–95.
- Zitnan R., Voigt J., Schonhausen U., Wegner J., Kokardova M., Hagemeister H., Levkut M., Kuhla S., Sommer A. (1998): Influence of dietary concentrate to forage ratio on the development of rumen mucosa. *Archives of Animal Nutrition*, 51, 279–291.

Received: 2015–03–04

Accepted after corrections: 2015–06–11

---

*Corresponding Author*

MVDr. Andrea Lauková, CSc., Slovak Academy of Sciences, Institute of Animal Physiology,  
Šoltésovej 4-6, 040 01 Košice, Slovak Republic  
Phone: +421 556 336 251, e-mail: laukova@saske.sk

---