

## Influence of the Degree of Soil Organic Matter Lability on the Calcium Carbonate Equilibrium of Soil Water

STANISLAV KUŽEL, LADISLAV KOLÁŘ, JIŘÍ GERGEL, JIŘÍ PETERKA  
and JANA BOROVIČKA-BATT

Faculty of Agriculture, University of South Bohemia in České Budějovice,  
České Budějovice, Czech Republic

**Abstract:** In average samples of three sandy-loamy acid Cambisols from a South Bohemian area labile organic matters were determined by the permanganate method modified by the dichromate method, and the rate constant of their biochemical oxidation was determined in hot water extracts of the samples. The need of liming was determined by means of 2 methods. In soil solutions of these samples, all values necessary to evaluate their calcium carbonate equilibria were determined. The soil samples were enriched with 3% of dry matter of two organic materials, farmyard manure and meadow clover meal, and were incubated at 25°C for 180 days under wetting above 50% of their retention water capacity, and after this procedure all analyses were repeated. Both methods were found to increase the need of liming in all three soils: the more labile the organic matter in 3% addition, the higher the need. The meadow clover matter was more labile than the farmyard manure matter. All three methods for the study of soil carbon lability yielded similar results while the potassium permanganate method was more sensitive than the dichromate one. Increases were observed in equilibrium  $[Cr(H_2CO_3^*)]$  and in Langelier saturation index  $I_s$ . This means that soil liming cannot be considered only as an adjustment to the soil acidity and supply of calcium to plants to meet their requirements, but also as a replacement of the spontaneous adjustment to calcium carbonate equilibrium of soil water, for which through mineralisation of labile organic matters in conditions of our experiment about 220 kg  $CaCO_3$  per hectare of land were consumed on condition that it was not necessary to re-establish it. The process of Ca-compound consumption to establish the calcium carbonate equilibrium is controlled exclusively by the degree of mineralising organic matters lability while the influence of soil properties is only marginal. The same results were provided by the comparison of calcium carbonate equilibria in nine Šumava brooks of the total watershed area 78 564 km<sup>2</sup> with the degree of lability of organic matters in their sediments in 1986, 2001 and 2004. A reduction in the intensity of agricultural production in 1986–2004 resulted in an increase in the stability of organic matters in the sediments, in a decrease in  $I_s$ , and in a lower corrosivity of brooks water towards  $CaCO_3$ . However, the quality of soils and their potential soil fertility decreased due to the loss of labile organic matters.

**Keywords:** calcium carbonate equilibrium; degree of lability; liming need; soil organic matter; soil water

The soil liming and doses of lime fertilisers are mostly considered only as measures taken to adjust soil acidity and to meet calcium requirements of plants.

In the climate of Central Europe, 30–350 kg/ha of calcium per year are eluted from arable soils.

$CaCO_3$  solubility is related to  $CO_2$  production that is determined by the biological activity in soil, mainly in the course of mineralisation of labile soil organic matters.

A considerable amount of Ca-compounds is necessary to establish the carbonate equilibrium

---

Supported by the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sport of the Czech Republic, Project No. MSM 6007665806.

Table 1. pH values in the system soil – water in relation to the partial pressure of CO<sub>2</sub> in equilibrium air

	Partial pressure of CO <sub>2</sub> (kPa)			
	0.03	0.1	1.0	10
Soil with 9% CaCO <sub>3</sub>	8.3	8.0	7.4	6.7
Soil without CaCO <sub>3</sub>	6.9	6.7	6.4	6.0
Distilled water	5.7	5.4	4.9	4.4


[Cr(H<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub><sup>\*</sup>)] – C(HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>) in the soil water and also to create the proper buffering system of the soil water. Rainfalls and the respective elutions lead to an incessant repetition of these processes.

Table 1 (BALÍK *et al.* 2005) shows the pH values in the system soil – water in relation to the partial pressure of CO<sub>2</sub> in the air, which is in equilibrium with this system.


The partial pressure of CO<sub>2</sub>, corresponding to the given composition of water, makes it possible to evaluate the degree of water saturation compared to soil air:

$$\log p_{\text{CO}_2} = \log a_{\text{HCO}_3^-} - \text{pH} - \log K_0 K_1$$

$K_0$  is the equilibrium constant of the equation:



$K_1$  is the equilibrium constant of the equation:



The values of both constants depend on temperature according to the relations:

$$-\log K_0 = 1.113 + 1.672 \times 10^{-2} t - 1.051 \times 10^{-4} t^2$$

$$-\log K_1 = 6.576 - 1.250 \times 10^{-2} t + 1.405 \times 10^{-4} t^2$$

About 99% of CO<sub>2</sub> is dissolved in water in the molecular form, only about 1% reacts with H<sub>2</sub>O while H<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> is produced. The dissolved CO<sub>2</sub> is free carbon dioxide and is the sum of the concentrations of freely hydrated carbon dioxide [CO<sub>2</sub>(aq)] and H<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>. In literature, it is often designated by the symbol H<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub><sup>\*</sup> (PITTER 1981).

The ions HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and CO<sub>3</sub><sup>2-</sup> are ion forms of CO<sub>2</sub>, i.e. bound CO<sub>2</sub>, which is of hydrogen-carbonate and carbonate types. The sum of all three forms of CO<sub>2</sub>, i.e. the free and both forms of bound CO<sub>2</sub>, is designated as total CO<sub>2</sub>.

The open carbonate system with constant partial pressure of CO<sub>2</sub> can be described by means of the

combination of the equations for Henry's law distribution coefficients of free CO<sub>2</sub>, HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, and CO<sub>3</sub><sup>2-</sup>:

$$[\text{H}_2\text{CO}_3^*] = K_{\text{H}} \times p_{\text{CO}_2}$$

$$[\text{HCO}_3^-] = K_1/[\text{H}^+] \times K_{\text{H}} \times p_{\text{CO}_2}$$


$$[\text{CO}_3^{2-}] = K_1 K_2/[\text{H}^+]^2 \times K_{\text{H}} \times p_{\text{CO}_2}$$

where:

$K_{\text{H}}$  – Henry's constant

$p_{\text{CO}_2}$  – relative partial pressure of CO<sub>2</sub> in soil air


$K_{\text{H}}$  – equal  $K_0$  in this case;  $C_2$  is the equilibrium constant of the reaction:



In the atmosphere of 0.03% of CO<sub>2</sub> by vol.  $p_{\text{CO}_2} = 30$  Pa, and under these conditions about 0.7 mg/l CO<sub>2</sub> is dissolved in water at 10°C. In the course of degradation of soil organic matters, CO<sub>2</sub> content in soil air is up to 100 times higher, depending on the biological activity of soil, on the amount of degradable soil organic matter and, especially, on its liability to biochemical oxidation, i.e. on the degree of its stability.

The dissolved free CO<sub>2</sub>, i.e. H<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub><sup>\*</sup> is present in soil water until pH = 8.3 is reached.

If water does not contain any dissolved CO<sub>2</sub>, the solubility of CaCO<sub>3</sub> at 20°C is about 15 mg/l, as reported by PITTER (1981):



In the presence of dissolved CO<sub>2</sub> in soil water, which is usual, the solubility of CaCO<sub>3</sub> is substantially higher due to the reaction:



The equilibrium between HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, free CO<sub>2</sub> and Ca<sup>2+</sup> is established. The corresponding CO<sub>2</sub> from the equation is equilibrium CO<sub>2</sub>, designated as [CO<sub>2</sub>]<sub>e</sub>, and its concentration as [Cr(H<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub><sup>\*</sup>)]. If the amount of free CO<sub>2</sub> in soil water is higher than that corresponding to Cr(H<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub><sup>\*</sup>), water continues

to dissolve  $\text{CaCO}_3$ . If it is lower,  $\text{CaCO}_3$  is precipitated from water on active surfaces.

The calcium carbonate equilibrium may be influenced by six components:  $\text{H}_2\text{CO}_3^*$ ,  $\text{HCO}_3^-$ ,  $\text{CO}_3^{2-}$ ,  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ ,  $\text{H}^+$ ,  $\text{OH}^-$ . Therefore, six equations independent of, one another are necessary for its solution (PITTER 1999):

(a) Two equations for the dissociation constants of carbonic acid  $K_1$  and  $K_2$

(b) Equation for the solubility product of  $\text{CaCO}_3$  (s)  $K_s$

(c) Equation for the ionic product of water  $K_w$



(d) Electroneutrality equation

$$c(\text{H}^+) + 2 c(\text{Ca}^{2+}) = c(\text{HCO}_3^-) + 2 c(\text{CO}_3^{2-}) + c(\text{OH}^-)$$

(e) Equation of analytical concentrations

$$c(\text{CO}_2)_T = c(\text{H}_2\text{CO}_3^*) + c(\text{HCO}_3^-) + c(\text{CO}_3^{2-})$$

It is also influenced by the water temperature and ionic strength (s). The calcium carbonate equilibrium can be described by two equations composed of combined Eqs (a), (b), and (c):



Eq. (1) shows that  $\text{CaCO}_3$  is neither dissolved nor precipitated when a certain concentration of free carbon dioxide is reached. This free  $\text{CO}_2$ , which is in equilibrium with the concentration of  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  and  $\text{HCO}_3^-$  ions, is called equilibrium carbon dioxide [ $\text{Cr}(\text{H}_2\text{CO}_3^*)$ ]. If the concentration of free  $\text{CO}_2$  is lower than the concentration of equilibrium  $\text{CO}_2$ , water will tend to precipitate  $\text{CaCO}_3$ , if it is higher than the latter one, water will dissolve it.

The equation of the equilibrium curve is derived from Eq. (1):

$$\text{Cr}(\text{H}_2\text{CO}_3^*) = (K_A)_c^{-1} \times c(\text{Ca}^{2+}) \times c^2(\text{HCO}_3^-) \quad (3)$$

where:

$(K_A)_c$  – concentration value of the equilibrium constant

Each type of water does not have its own equilibrium curve according to its composition.

On condition that the simplified electroneutrality equation:  $2 c(\text{Ca}^{2+}) \cong c(\text{HCO}_3^-)$  holds good and  $\text{HCO}_3^-$  and  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  are dominant in water, Eq. (3) changes its form to:

$$c(\text{H}_2\text{CO}_3^*) = \frac{1}{2 (K_A)_c} \times c^2(\text{HCO}_3^-) \quad (4)$$

In the Central Europe, the simplified Eq. (4) has been used to determine the corrosive aggressivity of water for several decades but it provides only a rough estimate, being based on very simplifying assumptions, and also because the determination of free  $\text{CO}_2$  from  $\text{BNC}_{8..3}$  (base neutralising capacity) is not quite precise.

In 1936 Langelier defined the so called saturation index  $I_s$ :

$$I_s = \text{pH} - \text{pH}_s \quad (5)$$

where pH is the measured value of the water sample and  $\text{pH}_s$  is the calculated pH value of water that is reached if it is in equilibrium with  $\text{CaCO}_3$  (s) at a given composition:

$$\text{pH}'_s = \log K_B - \log c(\text{Ca}^{2+}) - \log c(\text{HCO}_3^-) \quad (6)$$

However, the calculated value  $\text{pH}'_s$  is only fictitious because the dissolution or precipitation of  $\text{CaCO}_3$  is connected with the changes in  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  and  $\text{HCO}_3^-$  concentrations including the changes in ionic strength. We should not substitute the original concentrations of  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  and  $\text{HCO}_3^-$  in Eq. (6) but the equilibrium concentrations of  $C_e$ , however, these are not known in advance.

If  $I_s < 0$ , Eq. (2) proceeds from the left to the right, i.e. water dissolves  $\text{CaCO}_3$  (s). If  $I_s = 0$ , water is in calcium carbonate equilibrium. If  $I_s > 0$ ,  $\text{CaCO}_3$  (s) is precipitated from water.

The above-mentioned lack of exactness and the formation of ion associates and other complexes of  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ , e.g. those with organic matters cause that fictitious  $\text{pH}'_s$  is always higher than the actual  $\text{pH}_s$ , and therefore the water aggressivity is always higher than in reality.

If the above statements are summarised, obviously, a great simplification is to consider liming as a mere attenuation of soil acidity. Besides the neutralising effect, liming must overcome the established buffering systems not only in soil but also in soil water. The better the reserve of oxidable organic matters in soil and the lower the stability of these organic matters (and this is an assumption for microbially highly active and fertile soils), the higher the consumption of Ca-compounds to establish equilibrium between  $\text{CO}_2$  and  $\text{HCO}_3^-$  and the higher the production of water-soluble  $\text{CaHCO}_3$ .

This implies a good reserve of exchangeable calcium in soil on one hand but, on the other hand, its losses due to elution will be higher.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

Topsoil samples were taken from acid sandy-loamy Cambisols in the localities České Budějovice (A), Ktiš (B), and Kubova Huť (C), which differ from one another by very diverse lability of the soil organic matters. This lability was determined on the basis of oxidability by neutral 333mM  $\text{KMnO}_4$  according to BLAIR *et al.* (1995), we also used the original Walkley-Black method (WALKLEY & BLACK 1934) as modified by WALKLEY (1947): oxidation with 0.167M  $\text{K}_2\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7$  in the mediums of 12N, 18N and 24N  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  (CHAN *et al.* 2001).

The study of organic matters lability in the soil samples was completed by determining the rate constant of their biochemical oxidation in the water-soluble fraction that may be extracted with boiling water under a reflux condenser within 1 h (KOLÁŘ *et al.* 2003, 2005).

In the soil samples, the need for liming was also determined from the ratio of  $\text{H}^+$  in the sorption complex of soil according to ADAMS and EVANS (1990) and from the potentiometric titration curve in 1M KCl at titration up to  $\text{pH} = 7.0$ , and further the cation exchange capacity CEC according to GILLMAN (1979) and the degree of sorption saturation  $V$ .

Soil water was obtained from the topsoil samples cooled to 4°C using the extraction with degassed distilled water under the cap of an absorber with moist lenses of NaOH of the same temperature. Unfortunately, we could not use any common method to extract soil water (pressure one and ethanol extraction with subsequent distillation) because of the impossibility to determine free  $\text{CO}_2$ .

Calcium carbonate equilibriums were determined by classical analytical methods of hydrochemistry taking into account their lack of exactness that was mentioned in the introductory part. This study, however, being aimed at comparative measurements, we are convinced that the results are at least comparable even though they are not precise enough.

In the extracts, we measured the solutes, acid neutralising capacity  $\text{ANC}_{4.5}$ , free  $\text{CO}_2$ , bound  $\text{CO}_2$ ,  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ ,  $\text{HCO}_3^-$ , and pH. Using these values, we calculated  $\text{pH}_s$  according to the above-mentioned

formula using the tabular value  $\log K_p/K_2$  for the detected amount of solutes and temperature of 10°C. Langelier saturation index  $I_s$  was computed from these data. The measured values of pH were compared with those calculated according to Nachtigall from free and bound  $\text{CO}_2$  (LHOTSKÝ 1954). Equilibrium  $\text{CO}_2$  was determined on the basis of  $\text{ANC}_{4.5}$  from Lhotský's tables.

The soil samples were enriched with a dose of 15 g of dry matter of organic matters/500 g of soil sample. Dried ground farmyard manure (originally 14.3% organic matters) (treatments X) and dried clover meal (treatments Y) were the sources of organic matters. In these samples, the above-mentioned soil characteristics were determined immediately after the sample treatment and again after 180-day incubation of the samples wetted to 50% of their water retention capacity in a thermostat at 25°C while the evaporated water was continually added (Tables 2–5).

In the next part of this study, in the waters coming from 9 Šumava brooks (Table 6), based on the results of the cited paper by KOLÁŘ *et al.* (2002), and from the values of ( $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ ) and ( $\text{HCO}_3^-$ ) and the value of  $K_p:K_2$  for the given content of solutes and the temperature of 10°C as well as from the table reported by PITTER (1981), we calculated the value of saturation  $\text{pH}_s$  according to Langelier and from the difference  $\text{pH} - \text{pH}_s$  of the surface waters the Langelier saturation index  $I_s$ . Comparing the pH value of the waters with theoretical pH values calculated according to Nachtigall from free and bound  $\text{CO}_2$  (LHOTSKÝ 1954), we found out that in the waters of all 9 Šumava brooks the carbonate buffering system was dominant over other buffering systems because the differences between both values did not exceed 0.1 pH.

The values of equilibrium  $\text{CO}_2$  were derived from acid neutralising capacity  $\text{ANC}_{4.5}$  while the values of free  $\text{CO}_2$  were computed from base neutralising capacity  $\text{BNC}_{8.3}$  according to Lhotský's tables (LHOTSKÝ 1954).

These results were compared with the average values of carbon content determined by the method  $C_{\text{pm}}$  (BLAIR *et al.* 1995) and with the rate constants of biochemical oxidation of labile organic matters determined by the same method as used in the incubation experiment with average samples of sediments of these brooks.

The calculations from the analytical data obtained between 1986 and 2001 were complemented by

Table 2. The analyses of soil samples (ABC) on the first and 180<sup>th</sup> day after the start of incubation at 25°C with an addition of organic dry matter in the form of dried ground farmyard manure (X) and clover meal (Y)

	Day	A	B	C	AX	AY	BX	BY	CX	CY	Isd <sub>0,05</sub>
pH/KCl	1	6.25	5.91	5.60	6.30	6.20	6.00	5.92	5.80	5.68	0.26
	180	6.19	6.03	5.60	6.18	6.05	5.82	5.71	5.75	5.50	0.19
Liming need (ADAMS & EVANS 1990) (0.1 CaO t/ha)	1	11	15	35	11	11	15	16	35	35	2.10
	180	11	16	35	14	16	19	21	38	41	2.89
Liming need (potentiometric titration curve) (0.1 CaO t/ha)	1	16	16	30	16	16	16	16	29	30	1.82
	180	16	16	31	21	23	22	24	34	37	1.95
Cation exchange capacity (GILLMAN 1979) (mmol.chem.eq./kg)	1	219	175	134	219	219	175	176	132	133	18.30
	180	225	180	136	231	229	185	183	138	135	24.15
Degree of sorption saturation V (%)	1	83	68	53	81	82	65	65	55	54	16.40
	180	82	69	55	82	84	66	68	52	53	22.18

Table 3. Total organic carbon TOC (%) (ISO 10694), oxidizable carbon determined by 333mM KMnO<sub>4</sub> C<sub>pm</sub> (%) (BLAIR *et al.* 1995), oxidizable carbon determined according to Walkley-Black C<sub>wB</sub> (%) (WALKLEY & BLACK 1934), and the rate constant  $k_1$  (24 h) of biochemical oxidation of labile organic matters extracted with hot water (KOLÁŘ *et al.* 2003, 2005); soil samples ABC with 3% additions of organic dry matter of manure (X) and clover meal (Y)

	Day	A	B	C	AX	AY	BX	BY	CX	CY	Isd <sub>0,05</sub>
TOC (%)	1	1.12	1.50	1.84	2.03	1.99	2.47	2.42	2.90	2.81	0.20
	180	1.01	1.31	1.76	1.47	1.43	1.99	2.00	2.55	2.23	0.25
$k_1$ (24 h)	1	0.02	0.03	0.05	0.25	0.31	0.26	0.33	0.28	0.35	0.01
	180	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.14	0.11	0.10	0.10	0.15	0.15	0.01
C <sub>pm</sub> (%)	1	0.16	0.25	0.39	0.57	0.70	0.49	0.80	0.76	0.90	0.12
	180	0.14	0.22	0.37	0.26	0.26	0.38	0.39	0.46	0.40	0.05
C <sub>wB</sub> (%)	1	0.82	1.17	1.31	1.70	1.77	1.98	2.13	2.41	2.53	0.23
	180	0.75	1.02	1.25	1.23	1.27	1.59	1.76	2.10	2.10	0.19

Table 4. Fractionation of organic carbon  $C_{WB}$  (%) by the modified Walkley-Black method (CHAN *et al.* 2001) at various concentrations of  $H_2SO_4$ ; percentage of total soil carbon in the dry matter of sample is given in brackets; soil samples ABC with 3% additions of organic matter of manure (X) and clover meal (Y)

Day	Fraction	A	B	C	AX	AY	BX	BY	CX	CY	Isd <sub>0.05</sub>
1	1	0.29 (35)	0.43 (37)	0.46 (35)	0.68 (40)	0.73 (41)	0.83 (42)	1.09 (51)	0.92 (38)	1.14 (45)	0.06
	2	0.20 (25)	0.32 (27)	0.35 (27)	0.51 (30)	0.71 (40)	0.73 (37)	0.68 (32)	0.96 (40)	0.96 (38)	0.03
	3	0.16 (19)	0.18 (15)	0.21 (16)	0.31 (18)	0.23 (13)	0.24 (12)	0.21 (10)	0.34 (14)	0.25 (10)	ns
	4	0.17 (21)	0.24 (21)	0.29 (22)	0.20 (12)	0.11 (6)	0.18 (9)	0.15 (7)	0.19 (8)	0.18 (7)	0.04
180	1	0.24 (32)	0.33 (32)	0.37 (30)	0.43 (35)	0.46 (36)	0.54 (34)	0.69 (39)	0.69 (33)	0.80 (38)	0.13
	2	0.18 (24)	0.25 (25)	0.30 (24)	0.38 (31)	0.41 (32)	0.54 (34)	0.60 (34)	0.76 (36)	0.71 (34)	0.15
	3	0.18 (24)	0.24 (24)	0.35 (28)	0.18 (15)	0.15 (12)	0.22 (14)	0.18 (10)	0.23 (11)	0.19 (9)	ns
	4	0.15 (20)	0.19 (19)	0.22 (18)	0.23 (19)	0.25 (20)	0.29 (18)	0.30 (17)	0.42 (20)	0.40 (19)	0.09

Fractions: 1 = 12N  $H_2SO_4$ ; 2 = 18–12N  $H_2SO_4$ ; 3 = 24–18 N  $H_2SO_4$ ; 4 = 30–24N  $H_2SO_4$

the calculations coming from the data acquired in 2004–2005 (GERGEL 2006).

The results were processed by mathematical and statistical methods for few-element sets at a significance level  $\alpha = 0.05$  and 95% reliability interval from the range of results according to Dean and Dixon (ECKSCHLAGER *et al.* 1980).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table 2 shows the results of soil analyses obtained in the incubation experiment changes of carbon forms (Tables 3 and 4); the respective results of its hydrochemical analyses and calculations are given in Table 5.

The distribution of  $CO_2$  forms in water can be calculated from acid-base neutralising capacities if the carbonate buffering system in it is dominant over the other buffering systems. It may be proved from a comparison of the pH value of water measured with that calculated from the concentrations of  $H_2CO_3^*$ ,  $HCO_3^-$  and  $CO_3^{2-}$ . The condition is the coincidence at 0.1 pH. Out of all treatments, only the treatments AY, BX, BY, CX, and CY satisfied this condition, i.e. all treatments with clover meal and two treatments with farmyard manure. Only these treatments provided reliable data for the final calculations of Ca-compounds consumption to establish the carbonate equilibrium of soil water. Langelier saturation index was computed in these treatments only. Its value was negative in all cases; mineralisation of farmyard manure and clover meal increased the water corrosivity toward  $CaCO_3$  twice to four times in all soil samples. Incubation slightly influenced the CEC in all soil samples while among the organic additives only the effect of manure was marked. The need for liming according to the potentiometric titration curve was mostly higher than that calculated according to ADAMS and EVANS (1990). After incubation, the smallest decrease in  $C_{ox}$  was measured after the manure addition, and it was the smallest in more acid soil C. The values of the degree of organic matter lability are fully consistent with this situation: they were the most stable in the treatments with manure addition – obviously due to the influence of the content of bedding straw and the presence of a higher amount of stabilised components.

After mineralisation, the extracts of soil water had a higher contents of solutes. The highest amount

Table 5. The analyses of soil solutions (ABC) on the first and 180<sup>th</sup> day after the start of incubation at 25°C with 3% addition of organic matter in the form of dried ground farmyard manure (X), clover meal (Y)

	Day	A	B	C	AX	AY	BX	BY	CX	CY
Solute (mg/l)	1	280	160	118	294	287	175	167	126	122
	180	282	155	119	315	307	199	186	148	131
Free CO <sub>2</sub> (mg/l)	1	20.4	40.6	60.5	20.4	40.6	20.4	40.6	20.4	40.6
	180	24.3	43.1	61.7	57.4	88.3	52.5	85.6	61.3	82.1
Bound CO <sub>2</sub> (mg/l)	1	41.8	31.6	22.0	45.2	42.7	31.0	32.2	22.1	22.5
	180	48.4	47.5	34.5	75.4	61.5	65.4	55.4	47.7	42.1
Equilibrium CO <sub>2</sub> (mg/l)	1	2.0	1.1	0.6	2.4	2.1	1.0	1.1	0.6	0.6
	180	2.8	2.6	1.3	9.7	5.2	6.1	4.0	2.7	2.1
HCO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> (mg/l)	1	115.9	87.2	61.0	125.0	117.9	85.4	89.7	61.5	63.9
	180	134.2	132.5	94.0	209.3	170.8	182.1	151.2	132.5	118.0
pH/H <sub>2</sub> O	1	6.80	6.20	5.80	6.82	6.80	6.95	6.62	6.90	6.58
	180	6.72	6.13	5.60	6.62	6.72	6.84	6.50	6.72	6.53
pH (Nachtigall)	1	7.14	6.71	6.38	7.17	6.84	7.01	6.71	6.86	6.55
	180	7.12	6.87	6.57	6.93	6.65	6.90	6.63	6.62	6.52
Difference of pH/H <sub>2</sub> O – pH (Nachtigall)	1	-0.3	-0.5	-0.7	-0.4	0.0	-0.1	-0.1	+0.1	0.0
	180	-0.4	-0.7	-0.1	-0.3	+0.1	-0.1	-0.1	+0.1	0.0
Acid neutralising capacity* ANC <sub>4.5</sub> (KNK <sub>4.5</sub> ) (total alkalinity) (mmol/l)	1	1.9	1.4	1.0	2.0	1.9	1.4	1.5	1.0	1.0
	180	2.2	2.1	1.5	3.4	2.8	3.0	2.5	2.2	1.9
Saturation pH <sub>s</sub>	1	-	-	-	-	7.0	7.0	6.7	6.9	6.7
	180	-	-	-	-	7.1	7.1	6.9	7.0	6.9
Langelier saturation index I <sub>s</sub> (pH – pH <sub>s</sub> )	1	-	-	-	-	-0.2	0.0	-0.1	0.0	-0.1
	180	-	-	-	-	-0.4	-0.3	-0.4	-0.3	-0.4

\*ANC<sub>4.5</sub> is acid capacity, i.e. the neutralising acid-base capacity that is an integral of buffering capacity in the selected interval of pH; the sample is titrated with an acid to pH = 4.5; in lye titration to pH = 8.3, the base capacity (previously called "total acidity") is determined by means of ZNK<sub>8.3</sub>; in waters in the interval of pH = (4.5 <—> 8.3) it holds good that the concentration of CO<sub>2</sub>, designated as C<sub>T</sub> equals KNK<sub>4.5</sub> + ZNK<sub>8.3</sub> (mmol/l)

of aggressive  $\text{CO}_2$  was found with the treatments with clover meal, while a lower amount was detected in the treatments with manure, which is logical considering the value of organic matters stability.

If we take into account only the difference between free and equilibrium  $\text{CO}_2$ , it represents 40–60 mg/l  $\text{CO}_2$  in the treatments with manure and about 80 mg/l  $\text{CO}_2$  in those with clover meal if topsoils of these soils contain on average  $1.2 \times 10^6$  l water/ha, stoichiometry indicates that, after the breakdown of the added organic matters, the water in soil on an area of 1 ha to a depth of 40 cm requires to establish a new carbonate equilibrium of 0.22 t  $\text{CaCO}_3$  at zero elution! At the existing elution,  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  consumption is covered from its soil reserve. The elution always takes place to a larger or smaller extent in relation to the soil permeability. It is to note that in spite of the ideal conditions of long-term incubation only 40–50% of the added organic matters was mineralised as shown by changes in  $C_{\text{ox}}$ . To the above-mentioned consumption of  $\text{CaCO}_3$  for the establishment of a new carbonate equilibrium of soil water, it is necessary to add the main consumption for the attenuation of acidity of the solid phase of soil which, after the mineralisation of organic matters, amounted to 2.1–3.7 t/ha CaO for soils ABC, i.e. 4–7 t  $\text{CaCO}_3$ /ha, as indicated by the potentiometric titration curve.

When evaluating these results, we must not forget the fact that calcium carbonate equilibria in soil water are complicated by the formation of ion associates and other calcium complexes, e.g.

those with organic matters. The concentration of simple  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  ions is decreased in this way and the calculated  $\text{pH}_s$  value is then higher. Thus the water corrosivity is higher than it corresponds to the calculation omitting associates and other complexes (SNOEYING & JENKINS 1980; PITTER 1999).

Several aspects concerning the relationship between soil liming and soil organic matter have been described in literature: microbial activity of acid soils increases, which increases the mineralisation of soil organic matter (CURTIN *et al.* 1998; CHAPIN *et al.* 2003; LALANDE *et al.* 2009); de-acidification reduces the noxious effect of aluminium with the same resultant effect (FAGERIA & BALIGAR 2008); fractionation of soil organic matter and the proportion of water-soluble, i.e. labile, carbon are changed (MANNA *et al.* 2007; BISHOP *et al.* 2008; DE OLIVEIRA *et al.* 2008); water stability of soil aggregates is increased (GRIEVE *et al.* 2005); the consumption of Ca-compounds increases in relation to the degree of soil organic matter lability (KOLÁŘ *et al.* 2007); nitrification increases (DORLAND *et al.* 2004); and few papers deal also with the problem of the relation between the labile soil organic matter and soil water (KOLÁŘ *et al.* 2002). We are very sorry not to have found any paper solving the relation between the labile soil organic matter and its corresponding calcium carbonate equilibrium in soil water. The reason may be that only since 2005 (HAYNES 2005) the degree of soil organic matter lability has been considered as an important factor of the potential soil productivity.

Table 6. Basic characteristics of the watersheds under study

Sample	Stream	Area (km <sup>2</sup> )	Forest percentage (%)	Altitude a.s.l. (m)	Specific outflow (l/s/km <sup>2</sup> )
101	Malý Strážný	6.035	20	630–750	8.59
102	Sušský	13.323	30	600–830	8.59
103	Práčovský	8.153	30	510–650	10.59
104	Močeradský	7.308	30	630–800	7.82
105	Zdíkovský	16.963	40	570–770	7.40
106	Zvíkovský	6.992	30	480–610	9.61
107	Bušský	7.111	30	490–690	9.61
108	Popelicko-Dluhošťský	7.779	60	580–770	11.34
109	Kondračský	4.900	20	490–630	6.18



Table 7. Differences of free CO<sub>2</sub> (H<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub><sup>\*</sup>) and equilibrium CO<sub>2</sub> ([CO<sub>2</sub>]<sub>e</sub>), saturation pH<sub>s</sub> according to Langelier, saturation index I<sub>s</sub> in the waters of the Šumava brooks, and labile carbon C<sub>pm</sub> and the rate constant k<sub>1</sub> of biochemical oxidation of organic matters in the brooks sediments in 1986, 2001 and 2004 (the reliability interval of the mean was calculated for the significance level P = 0.05)

Sample	1986					2001					2004				
	H <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> <sup>*</sup> - [CO <sub>2</sub> ] <sub>e</sub> (mg/l)	pH <sub>s</sub>	I <sub>s</sub>	k <sub>1</sub> (24 h)	C <sub>pm</sub> (g/kg)	H <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> <sup>*</sup> - [CO <sub>2</sub> ] <sub>e</sub> (mg/l)	pH <sub>s</sub>	I <sub>s</sub>	k <sub>1</sub> (24 h)	C <sub>pm</sub> (g/kg)	H <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> <sup>*</sup> - [CO <sub>2</sub> ] <sub>e</sub> (mg/l)	pH <sub>s</sub>	I <sub>s</sub>	k <sub>1</sub> (24 h)	C <sub>pm</sub> (g/kg)
101	240 ± 38	6.82	-0.28	0.035 ± 0.004	2.85 ± 0.23	62 ± 14	6.50	-0.03	0.009 ± 0.001	0.94 ± 0.14	53 ± 7	6.52	-0.01	0.009 ± 0.000	1.10 ± 0.13
102	132 ± 26	6.99	-0.18	0.028 ± 0.002	2.50 ± 0.25	48 ± 9	6.60	-0.01	0.008 ± 0.000	0.90 ± 0.18	40 ± 2	6.60	-0.01	0.007 ± 0.001	0.95 ± 0.12
103	286 ± 41	7.00	-0.41	0.019 ± 0.001	1.40 ± 0.20	53 ± 7	6.64	0.00	0.015 ± 0.002	1.10 ± 0.15	54 ± 8	6.57	-0.00	0.009 ± 0.000	1.10 ± 0.10
104	154 ± 38	6.74	-0.23	0.026 ± 0.001	3.04 ± 0.34	44 ± 6	6.51	-0.01	0.010 ± 0.004	0.90 ± 0.12	36 ± 9	6.60	-0.02	0.009 ± 0.001	1.10 ± 0.14
105	198 ± 40	6.92	-0.14	0.012 ± 0.000	1.27 ± 0.18	61 ± 9	6.78	-0.02	0.007 ± 0.000	0.98 ± 0.15	55 ± 12	6.72	-0.01	0.007 ± 0.000	1.00 ± 0.12
106	352 ± 69	7.12	-0.52	0.048 ± 0.002	4.01 ± 0.51	57 ± 12	6.59	-0.03	0.010 ± 0.001	0.99 ± 0.10	50 ± 9	6.45	-0.01	0.009 ± 0.000	0.98 ± 0.10
107	132 ± 24	7.05	-0.18	0.017 ± 0.001	0.98 ± 0.13	48 ± 10	6.81	-0.04	0.010 ± 0.000	1.06 ± 0.15	47 ± 8	6.47	-0.02	0.010 ± 0.002	0.95 ± 0.12
108	176 ± 27	6.94	-0.25	0.019 ± 0.001	1.15 ± 0.14	70 ± 8	6.74	-0.10	0.004 ± 0.000	0.92 ± 0.14	65 ± 10	6.64	-0.01	0.006 ± 0.001	0.91 ± 0.10
109	220 ± 44	6.79	-0.33	0.030 ± 0.002	2.47 ± 0.19	44 ± 6	6.42	-0.02	0.006 ± 0.000	0.95 ± 0.11	40 ± 6	6.33	-0.01	0.006 ± 0.000	0.90 ± 0.12

Table 6 shows the characteristics of the catchments of nine Šumava brooks where practical surveys were done. Detailed analyses of waters of these brooks in 1986, 2001, and 2004 and the analyses of soils of these watersheds including the evaluation of the degree of stability of their organic matters and fractionation of their carbon were described by KOLÁŘ *et al.* (2002) and GERGEL (2006). These analyses documented that a reduction in the farming intensity in the area concerned resulted in a decrease in the content of N-NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> in the brook waters to 66% of that value when the landscape was used for intensive agricultural production, and the content of N-NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> decreased even to 7% of the original value. In the soils of brook watersheds, the content of total C<sub>org</sub> did not actually change, and active soil carbon C<sub>hws</sub> decreased. It is to conclude that the reduction in the agricultural production in the Šumava area in 1986–2004 slightly increased the elution of degradable organic matters from the watershed soils but these matters increased their stability in soils in the given period. In this way, the soils are deprived of the most valuable fraction of soil organic matters providing an available source of energy for their microbial populations. It is naturally reflected in calcium carbonate equilibriums of waters from the soils of the watersheds of Šumava brooks, in a difference in the means of free and equilibrium CO<sub>2</sub>, saturation pH<sub>s</sub> according to Langelier, and saturation index I<sub>s</sub> in the brook waters. Table 7 shows the differences in these analytical computed data on the brook waters from 1986, 2001, and 2004 as compared to the differences in C<sub>pm</sub> and K that indicate the degrees of lability of soil organic matters in the sediments of these brooks. Obviously, in 1986–2004 as a result of the reduction in the intensity of agricultural production, a strong increase occurred in the stability of soil organic matter in the soils of the watersheds of brooks in whose waters the difference between free and equilibrium CO<sub>2</sub> considerably diminished, which also decreased Langelier saturation index. Paradoxically, from the aspect of calcium carbonate equilibriums in soil water, the soils in the Šumava area currently need less CaCO<sub>3</sub> than at the time of intensive farming although they undergo significant acidification. We cannot confirm the ecological ideas suggesting that the soil at rest recovers its potential productivity and produces a higher amount of labile organic matters

as a source of energy for soil microorganisms. The process in the Šumava area is contradictory.

### References

- ADAMS F., EVANS C.E. (1990): A rapid method for measuring lime requirement of red-yellow podzolic soils. *Proceedings – Soil Science Society of America*, **26**: 355–357.
- BALÍK J., VANĚK V., PAVLÍKOVÁ D. (2005): Function of Ca in Plant and Soil. In: *Proc. 11<sup>th</sup> Int. Conf. Reasonable Use of Fertilizers*. Czech University of Agriculture in Prague, 14–21.
- BISHOP H.O., GRIEVE I.C., CHUDEK J.H., HOPKINS D.W. (2008): Liming upland grassland: the effects on earthworm communities and the chemical characteristics of carbon in casts. *European Journal of Soil Science*, **59**: 526–531.
- BLAIR G.J., LEFROY R.D.B., LISLE L. (1995): Soil carbon fractions based on their degree of oxidation and the development of a carbon management index for agricultural systems. *Australian Journal of Agricultural Research*, **46**: 1459–1466.
- CHAN K.Y., BOWMAN A., OATES A. (2001): Oxidizable organic carbon fractions and soil quality changes in an oxic Paleustalf under different pasture leys. *Soil Science*, **166**: 61–67.
- CHAPIN C.T., BRIDGHAM S.D., PASTOR J., UPDEGRAFF K. (2003): Nitrogen, phosphorus and carbon mineralization in response to nutrient and lime additions in peatlands. *Soil Science*, **168**: 409–420.
- CURTIN D., CAMPBELL C.A., JALIL A. (1998): Effects of acidity on mineralization: pH-dependence of organic matter mineralization in weakly acidic soils. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, **30**: 57–64.
- DE OLIVEIRA A.C., SILVA C.A., CURI N., DE LIMA J.M., RANGEL O.J. (2008): Carbon forms and quantiles in leachates from Oxisols under influence of lime and phosphorus. *Revista Brasileira de Ciencia do Solo*, **32**: 1261–1271.
- DORLAND E., VAN DEN BERG L.J.L., VAN DEN BERG A.J., VERMEER M.L., ROELOFS J.G.M., BOBBINK R. (2004): The effects of sod cutting and additional liming on potential net nitrification in heathland soils. *Plant and Soil*, **265**: 267–277.
- ECKSCHLAGER K., HORSÁK J., KODEJŠ Z. (1980): *Evaluation of Analytic Results and Methods*. SNTL, Praha. (in Czech)
- FAGERIA N.K., BALIGAR V.C. (2008): Ameliorating soil acidity of tropical oxisols by liming for sustainable crop production. *Advances in Agronomy*, **99**: 345–399.
- GERGEL J. (2006): Changes in quality of water in streams in foothills region of Šumava (South Bohemia). [Final Report of the Research Project of Ministry of Agriculture of the CR 0002704901, Stage 07.] RISWC Praha. (In Czech)
- GILLMAN G.P. (1979): A proposed method for the measurement of exchange properties of highly weathered soils. *Australian Journal of Soil Research*, **17**: 129–139.
- GRIEVE I.C., DAVIDSON D.A., BRUNEAU P.M. (2005): Effects of liming on void space and aggregation in an upland grassland soil. *Geoderma*, **125**: 39–48.
- HAYNES R.J. (2005): Labile organic matter fractions as central components of the quality of agricultural soils. *Advances in Agronomy*, **85**: 221–268.
- KOLÁŘ L., GERGEL J., ŠINDELÁŘOVÁ M., KUŽEL S. (2002): Impact of farming intensity reduction in the Šumava foothills region on changes in soil organic matter and surface water quality. *Rostlinná výroba*, **48**: 377–381.
- KOLÁŘ L., KLIMEŠ F., LEDVINA R., KUŽEL S. (2003): A method to determine mineralization kinetics of a decomposable part of soil organic matter in the soil. *Plant, Soil and Environment*, **49**: 8–11.
- KOLÁŘ L., KUŽEL S., HANUŠOVÁ A., GERGEL J., LEDVINA R. (2005): The use of Spectroquant MERCK BOD photometric test to evaluate the stability of organic matter in soil. *Plant, Soil and Environment*, **51**: 46–50.
- KOLÁŘ L., KLIMEŠ F., GERGEL J., ŠVECOVÁ M. (2007): Relationship between soil organic matter lability and liming requirement in acid sandy – loam cambisols. *Plant, Soil and Environment*, **53**: 24–32.
- LALANDE R., GAGNON B., ROYER I. (2009): Impact of natural or industrial liming materials on soil properties and microbial activity. *Canadian Journal of Soil Science*, **89**: 209–222.
- LHOTSKÝ O. (1954): *Hydrochemical Tables*. SNTL, Praha. (in Czech)
- MANNA M.C., SWARUP A., WANJARI R.H., MISHRA B., SHAKI D.K. (2007): Long-term fertilization, manure and liming effects on soil organic matter and crop yields. *Soil and Tillage Research*, **94**: 397–409.
- PITTER P. (1981): *Hydrochemistry*. SNTL Praha. (in Czech)
- PITTER P. (1999): *Hydrochemistry*. 3<sup>rd</sup> Ed., VŠCHT Praha. (in Czech)

SNOEYING V.L., JENKINS D. (1980): *Water Chemistry*. Wiley, New York.

WALKLEY A. (1947): A critical examination of a rapid method for determining organic carbon in soils: Effect

of variations in digestion conditions and inorganic soil constituents. *Soil Science*, **63**: 251–263.

WALKLEY A., BLACK I.A. (1934): An examination of the Degtjareff method for determining soil organic mat-

---

*Corresponding author:*

Prof. Ing. STANISLAV KUŽEL, CSc., Jihočeská univerzita v Českých Budějovicích, Zemědělská fakulta, Studentská 13, 370 05 České Budějovice

tel.: + 420 387 772 405, fax: + 420 387 772 402, e-mail: kuzel@zf.jcu.cz

---