

Biological Control of Seedling Damping-off and Root Rot of Sugar Beet Plants

M. K. EL-KAZZAZ^{1*}, M. M. BADR¹, H. M. EL-ZAHABY¹ and M. I. GOUDA²

¹Department of Agricultural Botany, Faculty of Agriculture, Tanta University, Kafr El-Sheikh, Egypt; ²Plant Pathology Research Institute ARC, Giza, Egypt

*E-mail: elkazzaz@agri-kafr.edu.eg

Abstract

Some fungal and bacterial bioagents as well as an *Actinomycete* isolate were screened for their antagonistic effects against *S. rolf sii*, *R. solani*, *M. phaseolina*, *F. oxysporum* and *F. solani* *in vitro*. *Trichoderma hamatum*, *T. harzianum*, *T. pseudokningii*, certain isolates of *Bacillus subtilis* and one isolate of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* were the most effective bioagents in suppressing the radial growth of the four pathogens, in general. Yet, they were less effective in retarding growth of *Fusarium* spp. as compared with the other pathogens under study. Studying biological control showed the possibility of controlling sugar beet damping-off and root rot by certain bioagents as *T. hamatum*, *T. harzianum*, *Pseudomonas fluorescens* and *B. subtilis* under greenhouse (*S. rolf sii*-infested soil) and field (natural infection) conditions. These treatments also caused and increase root yield per plot.

Keywords: damping off; root rot; sugar beet; biocontrol

INTRODUCTION

Sugar beet (*Beta vulgaris* L.) is the second important sugar crop after sugar cane in Egypt in terms of acreage and total production.

This crop is liable to be attacked by many soil-borne pathogens at all stages of growth causing pre- and post-emergence damping-off, as well as various degrees of root rots. *Sclerotium rolf sii* and *Rhizoctonia solani* were reported to cause serious root-rot diseases affecting yield crop in Egypt (EL-KAZZAZ *et al.* 1999).

The present work aimed to study the effect of certain isolated biocontrol agents from the rhizosphere of healthy sugar beet plants on certain serious soil-borne pathogens.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Isolation of bioagents. The used bioagents in the present study were isolated from rhizosphere of healthy sugar beet producing areas of Egypt. The used bioagents were isolated on selected media according to the methods recommended by many authors (MARTIN 1950; JOHANSON *et al.* 1960; SCHAAD 1980).

Identification of the bioagent isolates. The total number of the isolated microorganisms (fungi, bacteria and *Actinomycetes*) were divided each into groups or types according to shape, rate of growth etc. Accordingly, 15 fungal, 9 bacterial isolates and an actinomycetal isolate were selected for further study.

In vitro experiments. The antagonistic effects of the used bioagents were performed according the methods adopted by many workers (BELL *et al.* 1982; IBRAHIM *et al.* 1987; FERREIRA *et al.* 1991).

In vivo experiments. Infested soil with *S. rolf sii* was at the rate of 2% of soil weight, then after one week pots No. 35 were planted with 15 seeds. At the same time diluted suspensions from each of the used bioagents were added at specific rates. Pre and post emergence damping-off were recorded after 15 and 45 days of planting, respectively. Plants thereafter, were thinned to 2 plants and root rots were estimated and recorded as infection percent and disease severity 150 days of sowing.

Fungicide. Rhizolex T50 [20% 0-2 dichloro-4-methylphenyl 0-0 dimethyl phosphoro thioate + 30% bis-(dimethyl thio carbonyl)] was used as a reference for these treatments at the recommended dose (3 gm/kg seeds).

Field experiments. An aqueous suspension at the concentrations of 10^6 , 10^8 and 10^7 /ml were prepared from *T. hamatum*, *B. subtilis* and an *Actinomycete* isolate, respectively. The three antagonists were used in a field trial each in three different formulae, suspension, powder or granules.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In vitro experiments. The *in vitro* results indicated that the majority of the used bioagents i.e. *Trichoder-*

ma harzianum, *T. hamatum*, *Gliocladium virens*, *Actinomycete* isolate, *Bacillus subtilis* and *Pseudomonas fluorescence* had antagonistic effects against the phytopathogenic fungi under study. *Trichoderma* spp. were found to be the most effective fungal bioagents followed by some isolates of *B. subtilis* and *P. fluorescence* (Tables 1 and 2).

Pot experiments. Data presented in Table 3 show that most of the screened bioagents were effective in reducing damping-off of sugar beet plants expressed as the survived seedlings after 30 days of planting.

Table 1. Effect of the antagonistic fungal isolates against the tested phytopathogenic fungi

| Antagonists | <i>Sclerotium rolfisii</i> | | <i>Rhizoctonia solani</i> | | <i>Macrophomina phaseolina</i> | | <i>Fusarium oxysporum</i> | |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|----------|---------------------------|---------|--------------------------------|---------|---------------------------|---------|
| | L.G. | R. | L.G. | R. | L.G. | R. | L.G. | R. |
| <i>Trichoderma harzianum</i> | 1.47 b | 80.03 ab | 1.73 a | 78.43 a | 1.77 a | 78.88 a | 2.83 b | 46.37 d |
| <i>Trichoderma hamatum</i> | 1.17 a | 82.59 a | 1.83 a | 75.57 b | 1.97 b | 75.87 b | 2.50 a | 65.30 a |
| <i>Trichoderma viride</i> | 2.67 d | 59.88 cd | 3.40 b | 53.24 c | 3.57 d | 51.73 d | 3.60 b | 48.43 c |
| <i>Trichoderma pseudokoningii</i> | 1.93 c | 70.89 d | 3.93 bc | 45.25 d | 2.27 c | 71.34 c | 3.82 c | 51.37 b |
| <i>Gliocladium virens</i> | 4.43 e | 33.18 e | 4.77 c | 40.72 e | 4.00 e | 45.25 e | 4.30 e | 24.45 e |
| Control | 6.63 f | 0.00 | 6.93 d | 0.00 | 7.00 f | 0.00 | 5.03 d | 0.00 |

R. = % reduction in colony diameter; L.G. = Fungal linear growth (cm)

Table 2. Relative power of antibiosis (R.P.A.) by bacterial and actinomycetal antagonists against the tested phytopathogenic fungi

| Antagonists | Relative power antibiosis (R.P.A.) against | | | |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|
| | <i>Sclerotium rolfisii</i> | <i>Rhizoctonia solani</i> | <i>Macrophomina phaseolina</i> | <i>Fusarium oxysporum</i> |
| <i>Bacillus subtilis</i> | 2.85 a | 2.40 b | 2.55 a | 1.30 b |
| <i>Pseudomonas uorescence</i> | 2.75 b | 2.75 a | 2.65 a | 1.40 ab |
| <i>Actinomycete</i> isolate | 2.50 c | 1.10 c | 2.10 b | 1.50 a |
| Control | - | - | - | - |

R.P.A. = Relative power of antibiosis

Table 3. Effect of some bioagents on surviving plants and root rot diseases caused by *S. rolfisii* in a greenhouse, during 1998–1999 and 1999–2000 seasons

| Bioagent | 1998–1999 season | | 1999–2000 season | |
|------------------------------|------------------|--------------------|------------------|--------------------|
| | Surviving plants | Root rotted plants | Surviving plants | Root rotted plants |
| <i>Trichoderma harzianum</i> | 68.87 c | 2.33 b | 71.22 d | 3.00 c |
| <i>Trichoderma hamatum</i> | 73.33 d | 1.67 ab | 75.55 de | 1.67 b |
| <i>Gliocladium virens</i> | 55.55 b | 5.00 d | 55.55 b | 4.50 d |
| <i>Actinomycete</i> isolate | 60.00 bc | 1.33 ab | 62.22 cd | 5.33 d |
| <i>Bacillus subtilis</i> | 60.00 bc | 3.33 c | 60.00 c | 5.33 d |
| Rhizolex T 50 | 95.55 e | 0.33 a | 97.78 e | 0.00 a |
| Control | 11.11 a | 9.10 e | 4.45 a | 10.00 e |

Mean followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 5% level by DMRT

Table 4. Average biological control of seedling blight and root rot of sugar beet by seed dressing with different bioagents formula in the field at Sakha during, 1998–1999 and 1999–2000 seasons

| Treatment | Formulae | Seedling blight | Root rot | Disease severity | Yield/plot (kg) |
|----------------------------|------------|-----------------|----------|------------------|-----------------|
| <i>Trichoderma hamatum</i> | Suspension | 5.84 d | 5.17 c | 1.75 b | 56.54 c |
| | Powder | 2.84 b | 2.34 b | 1.33 ab | 69.75 a |
| | Granules | 7.34 e | 4.34 bc | 1.50 b | 61.83 bc |
| <i>Bacillus subtilis</i> | Suspension | 7.33 e | 7.00 d | 2.25 bc | 44.42 cd |
| | Powder | 4.83 c | 4.33 bc | 1.33 ab | 63.58 b |
| | Granules | 9.34 f | 5.83 cd | 2.00 bc | 43.97 d |
| <i>Actinomyces</i> isolate | Suspension | 7.50 e | 6.00 cd | 2.00 bc | 52.17 c |
| | Powder | 5.50 d | 3.67 bc | 2.00 bc | 62.70 bc |
| | Granules | 10.00 f | 6.84 d | 2.83 c | 44.39 d |
| Rhizolex T 50 | Powder | 0.34 a | 0.83 a | 0.33 a | 66.88 ab |
| Control | | 17.33 g | 16.83 e | 4.17 d | 41.92 d |

Mean followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 5% level by DMRT

Came after the effect of the fungicidal treatment, specific isolates of *T. hamatum* followed by *T. harzianum* and *B. subtilis* which were highly effective in controlling the disease. Concerning root rot caused by *S. rolfii*, the majority of the used bioagents successfully reduced the disease incidence. The most effective bioagents on root rot after Rhizolex T 50 were *P. fluorescence*, *T. hamatum* and *B. subtilis* over the two seasons of experimentation, i.e. 1998–1999 and 1999–2000. These results are consistent to a great extent with the finding of many workers (UPADHYAY & MUKHOPADHYAY 1986; ASAKA & SHODA 1998; EL-KAZAZ *et al.* 2000).

Field experiments. Field experiments revealed that treatments with powder formulae of the tested bioagents i.e. *T. hamatum*, *B. subtilis* and *Actinomyces* isolate had the efficacy to control soil-born diseases (Table 4). The results are similar to those obtained by other investigators (KHALIFA *et al.* 1995; ASAKA & SHODA 1998; EL-KAZAZ *et al.* 2000).

References

- ASAKA O., SHODA M. (1998): Biocontrol of *Rhizoctonia solani* damping-off of tomato with *Bacillus subtilis* RB14. *Appl. Environ. Microb.*, **62**: 4081–4085.
- BELL D., WELLS H.D., MARKHAM C.R. (1982): *In vitro* antagonism of *Trichoderma* species against six fungal plant pathogens. *Phytopathology*, **72**: 379–382.
- EL-KAZAZ M.K., HASSAN M.A., BADR M.M., GHONIEM K.E. (1999): Studies on sugar beet root diseases in Northern Nile Delta. *J. Agric. Res., Tanta Univ.*, **25**: 122–131.
- EL-KAZAZ M.K., HASSAN M.A., GHONIEM K.E., EL-ZAHABY H.M. (2000): Biological control of sugar beet root rots caused by certain soil borne fungi. In: 9th Congr. Phytopathology, Egypt. *Phytopathol. Soc., Giza, Egypt.*
- FERREIRA J.H.S., MATHEE F.N., THOMAS A.C. (1991): Biological control of *Eutypalota* on grapevine by an antagonistic strain of *Bacillus subtilis*. *Phytopathology*, **81**: 283–287.
- IBRAHIM M.A.K., MEHIAR F.F., EL-GREMI S.M. (1987): Biological control of black leg, soft-rot and common scab of potato by bacterial antagonists. *J. Agric. Res., Tanta Univ.*, **13**: 1–15.
- JOHANSON L.F., CURT R.A., BON J.H., FRIBOURG H.A. (1960): *Methods for Studying Soil Microflora Plant Disease Relationships*. 2nd printing. Burgess Publ. Comp.: 1–17.
- KHALIFA E.Z., EL-SHENNAWY Z., AWAD H.M. (1995): Biological control of damping-off and root rot of sugar beet. *Egypt. J. Phytopathol.*, **23**: 39–51.
- MARTIN J.P. (1950): Use of acid rose bengal and streptomycin in the plate method for estimating soil fungi. *Science*, **69**: 215.
- SCHAAD N.W. (1980): *Laboratory guide for identification of plant pathogenic bacteria*. Bacteriology Committee of Am. Phytopathol. Soc., St. Paul, Minnesota.
- UPADHYAY J.P., MUKHOPADHYAY A.N. (1986): Biological control of *Sclerotium rolfii* by *Trichoderma harzianum* in sugar beet. *Trop. Pest Manage.*, **32**: 215–220.