Study of briquette properties at their long-time storage

M. Brožek

Faculty of Engineering, Czech University of Life Sciences Prague, Prague, Czech Republic

ABSTRACT: The paper presents the results of laboratory tests aimed at the study of storage place, storage manner and storage time in relation to mechanical properties of briquettes made from spruce shavings. A BrikStar 50 briquetting press with a pressure chamber 65 mm in diameter of the Briklis firm was used for the briquette production. All briquettes were made at the constant adjustment of all parameters of the briquetting press. The briquette properties were evaluated by determination of their density and rupture force. Moreover, mechanical durability, gross calorific value, total moisture and ash content were determined. It follows from the results of tests that at briquette storage in a well closed plastic bag neither place nor storage time influenced significantly their life time. At briquette storage in a net plastic bag various intensive damage to briquettes occurred, mainly depending on their storage place and storage time.
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Briquetting is a relatively old technology. The first mentions of their use were published in the first half of the 18th century. Otto’s Encyclopaedic Dictionary describes relatively lengthily the basis and the use of briquetting and of briquettes in practice. The mention of briquetting technology and of briquettes can be found in practice in all older as well in new domestic (Stehlík et al. 1966) and foreign encyclopaedias.

In the Czech Republic the briquetting technology has also been used in the field of metallic and non-metallic processing in the last twenty years. The basis of this method is the effect of a high pressure on fine-grained material. Briquettes, most often of cylindrical form and various diameter and length, are the final product. But briquettes can be of various shape, e.g. of cuboid with rounded corners, of hexagonal cuboid etc., according to the design of the press chamber of the used briquetting press.

The use of briquetting technology can bring about substantial savings. The waste pressed from flammable materials, e.g. from wood waste (chips, sawdust), straw, coal, paper, cellulose, tobacco etc., is mostly utilized energetically (by combustion) (Basore 1929; Sheridan, Berge 1959; Plístil et al. 2004; Brožek 2009; Brožek, Nováková 2010) is better usable. After compression the waste volume strongly decreases. This makes its handling easy and decreases costs of transport or storage on a waste disposal site.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Briquettes from wood waste designed for combustion should meet a number of requirements which are defined in relevant national directives. In the Czech Republic the requirements on briquette properties are laid down by Directive No. 14-2009 of Ministry of Environment of the Czech Republic: Briquettes from Wood Waste. The briquette minimum density of 900 kg·m⁻³ is required. The briquette strength is not prescribed. Nevertheless, for operational reasons the adequate compactness is very important to avoid either crumbling or disintegration at handling. The briquette minimum gross calorific value must be 17 MJ·kg⁻¹, the total moisture content max. 10% by weight and the ash content max 1.5%. Moreover, the briquettes must guarantee 9 months of the minimum storability. During this time the changes in briquette size, density and moisture content must not exceed the limit of 10%.
The tested briquettes were made from spruce shavings. A BrikStar 50 briquetting press (BRIKLIS, Malšice, Czech Republic) with a pressure chamber 65 mm in diameter was used for briquette production. All briquettes were made at the constant adjustment of briquetting parameters.

The aim of experiments was to assess the properties of newly made briquettes and of briquettes stored during 9 months under suitable and less suitable conditions. Briquettes were divided into four groups and deposited in the following storage spaces:

- storage space A – in closed heated room, in plastic net bag and in plastic bag,
- storage space B – in closed unheated room, in plastic net bag and in plastic bag.

After sampling the briquettes were numbered, weighed and their length and diameter were measured. Then single briquettes were loaded by pressure using the universal tensile strength testing machine (Fig. 1). The rupture force at the split testing was determined.

The briquette density was calculated from the measured values. With regard to the production technology the briquettes are of different length. Therefore their rupture force was recalculated and it is presented as the force per unit.

The determination of mechanical durability [according to ČSN EN 14961-1 (2010) and ČSN EN 15210-2 (2011)] was a part of our test. Moreover, the gross calorific value [according to ČSN EN 14918 (2010)], ash content [according to ČSN EN 14775 (2010)] and total moisture content [according to ČSN EN 14774-2 (2010)] were determined.

### RESULTS

The gross calorific value of spruce shavings used for briquette production was determined [according to ČSN EN 14918 (2010)] from three samples, that is $19.24 \pm 0.02$ MJ·kg$^{-1}$. The ash content of spruce shavings was determined [according to ČSN EN 14775 (2010)] also from three samples, that is $0.32 \pm 0.03\%$.

The test results are presented in figures and tables. During the tests the changes in briquette density (Fig. 2a), rupture force (Fig. 2b), mechanical durability (Fig. 2c), moisture content (Fig. 2d), diameter (Fig. 2e), length (Fig. 2f) and weight (Fig. 2g) were monitored. In all groups the zone of changes of $10 \pm 10\%$ was marked. The real course was not studied; a line was fitted through the initial and final values. Fig. 9 shows the relationship between the rupture force and the density (the average values from all measurements are plotted). All measured values were evaluated statistically (Table 1).

### DISCUSSION

It is evident in Fig. 2a and Table 1 that the density of tested briquettes was only $800.4$ kg·m$^{-3}$, which is less than the lower limit set down by Directive No. 14-2009 of Ministry of Environment.

---

**Table 1. Test results**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Density (kg·m$^{-3}$)</th>
<th>Rupture force (N·mm$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Mechanical durability (%)</th>
<th>Moisture content</th>
<th>Diameter (mm)</th>
<th>Length (mm)</th>
<th>Weight (g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Without exposure</td>
<td>800.4 ± 10.0</td>
<td>70.1 ± 7.9</td>
<td>94.95 ± 0.47</td>
<td>9.51</td>
<td>67.00 ± 0.31</td>
<td>49.52 ± 3.33</td>
<td>139.75 ± 9.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storage space A</td>
<td>765.7 ± 21.1</td>
<td>61.3 ± 8.1</td>
<td>90.81 ± 0.38</td>
<td>8.34</td>
<td>67.47 ± 0.27</td>
<td>50.45 ± 3.22</td>
<td>138.10 ± 9.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>plastic net bag</td>
<td>788.7 ± 10.9</td>
<td>70.7 ± 6.9</td>
<td>92.73 ± 0.88</td>
<td>9.06</td>
<td>67.32 ± 0.23</td>
<td>49.39 ± 3.32</td>
<td>138.68 ± 10.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>plastic bag</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storage space B</td>
<td>590.1 ± 33.0</td>
<td>21.8 ± 6.8</td>
<td>80.34 ± 2.67</td>
<td>12.39</td>
<td>70.48 ± 0.72</td>
<td>61.73 ± 4.20</td>
<td>142.05 ± 11.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>plastic net bag</td>
<td>789.2 ± 23.1</td>
<td>67.3 ± 8.2</td>
<td>93.26 ± 0.46</td>
<td>9.37</td>
<td>67.28 ± 0.32</td>
<td>49.70 ± 3.28</td>
<td>139.38 ± 9.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>plastic bag</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
of the Czech Republic. Considering the fact that briquettes of the same density are commonly marketed, the tests were carried out.

Percentage changes in the studied parameters are presented in Table 2. The values are related to the properties of newly produced briquettes (without exposure). The positive numbers indicate an increase in the studied value, the negative numbers show a decrease in the studied value.

It is evident in Fig. 2a, Tables 1 and 2 that the briquette density decreased after 9-month storage regardless of the storage space and manner. An insignificant increase in density was determined in briquettes stored in the plastic bag in the closed heated room (space A) as well in the unheated room (space B). A moderately higher decrease in density (by 4.3%), but still meeting the requirements, was determined in briquettes in the net
plastic bag in the closed heated room (space A). On the contrary, a significant decrease in density (by 26.3%) occurred at briquette storage in the plastic net bag in the closed unheated room (space B). After 9 months these briquettes do not meet the Directive requirements any more.

The following results of measuring the rupture force were determined (Fig. 2b, Tables 1 and 2). Although Directive No. 14-2009 of Ministry of Environment of the Czech Republic does not prescribe the monitoring of this parameter, the results are interesting. After briquette storage in the plastic bag and at the split testing (Fig. 1) only a moderate decrease in the rupture force (by 3.9%) occurred, so that briquettes stored in this way meet the requirements also after 9-month storage. After 9-month storage in the net bag an unacceptable decrease in the rupture force occurred, namely at their storage in the closed heated room (space A, by 12.5%) as well in the closed unheated room (space B, by 68.8%).

Similar results were obtained in the parameter mechanical durability (Fig. 2c, Tables 1 and 2). In briquettes stored in the plastic bag a relatively small decrease in mechanical durability occurred at storage in the closed heated room (by 1.5%), as well as in the closed unheated room (by 1.4%). At briquette storage in the net bag in the closed heated room a larger but still satisfactory decrease in mechanical durability (by 4.3%) occurred. At briquette storage in the net bag in the closed unheated room an unacceptable decrease in mechanical durability (by 26.3%) occurred.

The newly made briquettes met the Directive requirements when their moisture content was lower than 10%. During the long-term storage changes in this parameter also occurred, as it is evident in Fig. 2d, Tables 1 and 2. In the closed heated room (space A) the moisture content decrease was 4.7% in briquettes stored in the plastic bag and 12.7% in briquettes stored in the plastic net bag. In the closed unheated room (space B) the moisture content decrease at storage in the plastic bag was 4.7%.

The change in moisture content is in a close relation with the change in size (diameter, length) and especially with the moisture content (Fig. 2g, Tables 1 and 2). But these changes are less significant than the changes in some other parameters.

It follows from evaluation of the above-mentioned tests that briquette disintegration occurs in the course of long-term storage. Their size (diameter, length) become larger, their density as well as the rupture force decrease. Their mechanical durability decreases at the same time. The change in the moisture content depends first of all on storage location and conditions. In conclusion it is possible to state that briquettes disintegrate while practically all studied parameters get worse.

It follows from the results of tests that storage time does not have a substantial influence on briquette durability, but primarily they are influenced by their storage space and manner. According to the manufacturer’s recommendation dry and heated rooms can be considered as suitable spaces. On the contrary, unheated spaces are less suitable. Bri-

Table 2. Changes in studied parameters during briquette storage

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Density (kg·m⁻³)</th>
<th>Rupture force (N·mm⁻¹)</th>
<th>Mechanical durability (%)</th>
<th>Moisture content (%)</th>
<th>Diameter (mm)</th>
<th>Length (mm)</th>
<th>Weight (g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Storage space A plastic net bag</td>
<td>-4.3</td>
<td>-12.5</td>
<td>-4.3</td>
<td>-12.3</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>-1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>plastic bag</td>
<td>-1.5</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>-1.5</td>
<td>-4.7</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
<td>-0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storage space B plastic net bag</td>
<td>-26.3</td>
<td>-68.8</td>
<td>-26.3</td>
<td>30.3</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>24.7</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>plastic bag</td>
<td>-1.4</td>
<td>-3.9</td>
<td>-1.4</td>
<td>-1.5</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


CONCLUSIONS

In this paper results of the study of three factors influencing the briquette mechanical properties at long-time storage are presented. The influence of briquette storage space (closed heated room, closed unheated room) was the first studied factor, the second was the storage manner (plastic bag, plastic net bag) and the third the storage time (new briquettes, briquettes after 9-month storage). Density and destruction force were the criterions for briquette evaluation. Other parameters were also studied at the same time, namely mechanical durability, moisture content, diameter, length and weight of briquettes.

It was unambiguously proved by the tests that the manner of briquette long-term storage has the highest influence on their durability. Briquettes stored in the well closed plastic bag (space A) as well in the less suitable place (space B) changed their properties after 9 months only little (Fig. 3). Briquettes stored in the plastic net bag in the closed heated room (space A) changed their properties more substantially. Briquette storage in the plastic bag in the closed unheated room (space B) can be considered as absolutely unsuitable. Considerable briquette degradation occurred already after 9 months in this way of storage. At the same time, almost all studied parameters deviated from limits laid down by the Directive No. 14-2009 of Ministry of Environment of the Czech Republic.

It follows from the above-mentioned conclusions that briquettes should always be stored in leak-proof well closed plastic containers. If briquettes are supplied by their manufacturers in various containers or even in bulk, it is necessary without delay to transfer them into suitable containers. Only in this way is it possible to guarantee their required properties also after 9-month storage.
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