

Scarceness of Phytoseiid Species Co-occurrence (Acari: Phytoseiidae) on Leaflets of *Juglans regia*

JAN KABÍČEK

Faculty of Agrobiolgy, Food and Natural Resources, Czech University of Life Sciences Prague,
Prague-Suchdol, Czech Republic

Abstract

KABÍČEK J. (2010): **Scarceness of phytoseiid species co-occurrence** (Acari: Phytoseiidae) **on leaflets of *Juglans regia***. Plant Protect. Sci., **46**: 79–82.

In order to obtain information on the phytoseiid mite taxocoenoses, population density and frequency of occurrence on leaflets of *Juglans regia*, abandoned and uncultivated trees were sampled from July to August 2007. Eight phytoseiid mite species (*Euseius finlandicus*, *Neoseiulella aceri*, *Neoseiulella tiliarum*, *Typhlodromus pyri*, *Typhlodromus cotoneastri*, *Phytoseius turiacus*, *Amblyseius andersoni*, *Paraseiulus triporus*) were identified. Total phytoseiid population density averaged 1.4 mites per leaflet. The most abundant was *Euseius finlandicus*, and *Neoseiulella aceri* was the second most common species. The predominant presence of only one phytoseiid species per walnut leaflet was noted, but the co-occurrence of two species (mostly *E. finlandicus* with *N. aceri*) was also observed. The simultaneous occurrence of three phytoseiid species on a single leaflet was seldom detected and the cohabitation of more than three species per leaflet was not observed.

Keywords: cohabitation; phytoseiid taxocoenoses; competitive displacement; mite; natural control; walnut-tree

Many phytoseiid mites are known as natural enemies of small arthropods, particularly tetranychid and eriophyid mites. In natural habitats, some phytoseiids play an important role in preventing outbreaks of various phytophagous mites (EDLAND & EVANS 1998). The use of phytoseiid species as effective biological control agents for diverse agriculturally important pests in many crops is common (MCMURTRY *et al.* 1970; HELLE & SABELIS 1985; GERSON *et al.* 2003). Many studies deal with biological characteristics, competition and predation among phytoseiids under defined laboratory conditions or in a very simplified ecosystem (SCHAUSBERGER & CROFT 1999a, b; KAZAK *et al.* 2002; RASMY *et al.* 2004; VILLANUEVA *et al.* 2008). The results of some studies also describe competition between predatory mites under field conditions (CHANT 1959; CROFT & MACRAE 1992). Members of the Phytoseiidae often live

on leaves of various plant species. Investigations into the co-occurrence of phytoseiids on leaves of walnut-trees in the field are still missing. In many regions of Bohemia cultivated or mostly uncultivated walnut-trees are common and the knowledge of the phytoseiid mite taxocoenoses on them is scarce. The aims of this study were to investigate the species occurrence, abundance and cohabitation of the phytoseiid species on leaflets of walnut-trees.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Unsprayed and uncultivated trees of *Juglans regia* L. at one site were surveyed in Central Bohemia near Měňany (49°54'N, 14°05'E). From 15 July to 12 August 2007 the leaf samples (five samples on 15. 7., 22. 7., 29. 7., 5. 8., 12. 8.) were taken from

Supported by the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports of the Czech Republic, Project No. MSM 6046070901.

25 trees (one sample per tree) which were approximately forty years old. The standard sample size was ten randomly selected leaflets per tree of approximately identical size. All leaflets were taken from branches up to 2–3 m above the ground. A single collected leaflet was immediately placed in a plastic bag and stored in a cold-storage box until examination in the laboratory. Cooled leaflets were surveyed individually using a binocular microscope with fibre-optic illumination. The numbers of active stages of phytoseiid mites per each leaflet were recorded. The entire leaf surface was inspected. Mite densities were expressed as the number of motile stages per leaflet. Phytoseiids were separated from leaflets by using insect pins and mounted in Swann medium on microscope slides. The mites were determined using the keys of BEGLYAROV (1981a, b), CHANT and YOSHIDA-SHAUL (1982). The densities of phytoseiids on leaves were analysed for differences among species using the analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Duncan's multiple range tests (GROFIK 1987).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Mites of the family Phytoseiidae occurred on 60.8% of all sampled walnut leaflets. No phytoseiid mites were recorded on the adaxial leaflet area. Eight phytoseiid species, *Euseius finlandicus* (Oudemans, 1915), *Neoseiulella aceri* (Collyer, 1957), *Neoseiulella tiliarum* (Oudemans, 1930), *Typhlodromus pyri* Scheuten, 1857, *Phytoseius turiacus* Wainstein et Kolodochka, 1976, *Amblyseius andersoni* (Chant, 1957), *Paraseiulus triporus* (Chant et Yoshida-Shaul, 1982), *Typhlodromus cotoneastri* Wainstein, 1961, representing a total of 352 specimens, were found on the undersurfaces of the inspected walnut leaflets. The occurrence of *E. finlandicus* and *A. andersoni* on leaves of *Juglans regia* in the Ukraine was also reported by KOLODOCHKA (1978). PAPAIOANNOU-SOULIOTIS *et al.* (2000) observed *E. finlandicus* and *T. pyri* on *Juglans regia* in Central Greece. In Finland TUOVINEN and ROKX (1991) found *E. finlandicus* on another *Juglans* species.

The population density of phytoseiids averaged 1.4 mites per leaflet. *E. finlandicus* and *N. aceri* were dominant phytoseiid species on the observed walnut leaflets. The frequency of occurrence of *E. finlandicus* was 0.8 mite per leaflet and it was significantly the most frequently occurring (Dun-

Table 1. Species density and frequency of occurrence on leaflets of *Juglans regia*

Phytoseiid mites	Mites per leaflet	Leaflets (%)
<i>Euseius finlandicus</i>	0.804 ^a	34.8
<i>Neoseiulella aceri</i>	0.456 ^b	27.6
<i>Typhlodromus pyri</i>	0.068 ^c	5.2
<i>Neoseiulella tiliarum</i>	0.032 ^c	2.8
<i>Amblyseius andersoni</i>	0.020 ^c	2.0
<i>Phytoseius turiacus</i>	0.016 ^c	1.6
<i>Paraseiulus triporus</i>	0.008 ^c	0.4
<i>Typhlodromus cotoneastri</i>	0.004 ^c	0.4

Means followed by the same letter are not significantly different ($P < 0.05$) by Duncan's multiple range test

can's test, $P < 0.05$) phytoseiid species on *J. regia* (Table 1). *E. finlandicus* is reported from Europe as a generally common phytoseiid mite mainly inhabiting various deciduous trees and shrubs (KOLODOCHKA 1978; TUOVINEN & ROKX 1991). According to SEELMANN *et al.* (2007), this species is mostly found on trees with glabrous leaves. The frequency of occurrence of *N. aceri* was 0.5 mite per leaflet. It is known from many broadleaved trees (KARG 1993). The other phytoseiid species were less abundant (Table 1).

The presence/absence of phytoseiid species on the sampled leaflets of *J. regia* is listed in Table 2. The majority of the examined leaflets (47.2%) were inhabited by a single mite species. Likewise, KABÍČEK (2008) reported that the presence of a single phytoseiid species per hazelnut leaf was observed most commonly under natural conditions. Only 12.8% of the examined leaflets were found to harbour two different species, the most common combination being *E. finlandicus* and *N. aceri*, detected on 8.4% of the leaflets. By contrast, the single occurrence of *E. finlandicus* and *N. aceri* per individual leaflet was 23.2% and 17.6%,

Table 2. Phytoseiid species per walnut leaflets

Number of species/leaflet	Leaflets (%)
0	39.2
1	47.2
2	12.8
3	0.8
> 3	0.0

Table 3. Simultaneous occurrence of phytoseiid mites on leaflets of *Juglans regia*

Phytoseiid species	Leaflets (%)							
	<i>E. finlandicus</i>	<i>N. aceri</i>	<i>T. pyri</i>	<i>N. tiliarum</i>	<i>Ph. turiacus</i>	<i>P. triporus</i>	<i>A. andersoni</i>	<i>T. cotoneastri</i>
<i>E. finlandicus</i>	23.2							
<i>N. aceri</i>	8.4	17.6						
<i>T. pyri</i>	2.0	0.4	2.4					
<i>N. tiliarum</i>	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.4				
<i>Ph. turiacus</i>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.2			
<i>P. triporus</i>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4		
<i>A. andersoni</i>	0.8	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
<i>T. cotoneastri</i>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

respectively. The single occurrence of *T. pyri* per individual leaflet was 2.4%, and its co-occurrence with *E. finlandicus* and *N. aceri* was 2.0% and 0.4%, respectively (Table 3). The simultaneous occurrence of two of the other phytoseiid species on a leaflet was sporadic because of their lower population density.

Competition and interspecific predation among phytoseiids play an important role in the biology and survival of phytoseiid mites (KABÍČEK 1995; ZHANG & CROFT 1995; SCHAUSBERGER 1997; SLONE & CROFT 2000; SEELMANN *et al.* 2007). Population growth and the persistence of some of the phytoseiid species tested in two-species systems showed different levels of competitive displacement in a simplified greenhouse ecosystem (SCHAUSBERGER 1998). According to YAO and CHANT (1989), competition may affect the population levels of natural enemies and may lead to competitive exclusion. Such competitive displacement of some phytoseiids by another phytoseiid species has also been recorded in the field (CROFT & MACRAE 1992; DUSO & PASQUALETTO 1993) and it might have been the main cause of the prevalent occurrence of only one phytoseiid species per inspected walnut leaflet.

The co-occurrence of three species of phytoseiid mites (*E. finlandicus*, *Ph. turiacus*, *N. tiliarum* and *E. finlandicus*, *T. pyri*, *T. cotoneastri*) has been detected on two leaflets only. The co-occurrence of more than three phytoseiid species per inspected leaflet has not been observed. Similarly, the co-occurrence of different phytoseiid mite species on leaves of *Corylus avellana* under natural conditions has seldom been observed (KABÍČEK 2008). Individual leaves, as distinctly demarcated habitats, allow the realization of opportunistic species interactions

among phytoseiid mites. Thus, competitive species interactions negatively affecting the phytoseiid species diversity and the frequency of occurrence of the cohabiting phytoseiid species on individual walnut leaflets could be the main reason for the scarceness of phytoseiid species co-occurrence on the examined leaflets of *Juglans regia*. So it could be speculated that the natural control of potential prey mediated by phytoseiid predators per individual leaf might have been realized predominantly by only one or by a highly limited number of phytoseiid species in field conditions.

References

- BEGLYAROV G.A. (1981a): Opređeliteľ chishchnykh kleshchei fitoseiid (Parasitiformes, Phytoseiidae) fauny SSSR. Informatsionnyi Byulletin VPS-MOBB, **2**: 1–95.
- BEGLYAROV G.A. (1981b): Opređeliteľ chishchnykh kleshchei fitoseiid (Parasitiformes, Phytoseiidae) fauny SSSR. Informatsionnyi Byulletin VPS-MOBB, **3**: 1–45.
- CHANT D.A. (1959): Phytoseiid mites (Acarina: Phytoseiidae). Part I. Bionomics of seven species in South-eastern England. Canadian Entomologist, **91** (Suppl. 12): 1–44.
- CHANT D.A., YOSHIDA-SHAUL E. (1982): A world review of the *soleiger* species group in the genus *Typhlodromus* Scheuten (Acarina: Phytoseiidae). Canadian Journal of Zoology, **60**: 3021–3032.
- CROFT B.A., MACRAE I.V. (1992): Persistence of *Typhlodromus pyri* and *Metaseiulus occidentalis* (Acari: Phytoseiidae) on apple after release and competition with *Zetzellia mali* (Acari: Stigmaeidae). Environmental Entomology, **21**: 1168–1177.

- DUSO C., PASQUALETTO C. (1993): Factors affecting the potential of phytoseiid mites (Acari: Phytoseiidae) as biocontrol agents in North-Italian vineyards. *Experimental and Applied Acarology*, **17**: 241–258.
- EDLAND T., EVANS G.O. (1998): The genus *Typhlodromus* (Acari: Mesostigmata) in Norway. *European Journal of Entomology*, **95**: 275–295.
- GERSON U., SMILEY R.L., OCHOA R. (2003): *Mites (Acari) for Pest Control*. Blackwell Science Ltd., Oxford.
- GROFÍK R. (1987): *Štatistika. Príroda*, Bratislava.
- HELLE W., SABELIS M.W. (1985): *Spider Mites, their Biology, Natural Enemies and Control*. Vol. 1B. Elsevier, Amsterdam.
- KABÍČEK J. (1995): Development and predation by *Amblyseius barkeri* on the mite *Phytoseiulus persimilis* (Acarina: Phytoseiidae). *Plant Protection Science*, **31**: 57–62.
- KABÍČEK J. (2008): Cohabitation and intraleaf distribution of phytoseiid mites (Acari: Phytoseiidae) on leaves of *Corylus avellana*. *Plant Protection Science*, **44**: 32–36.
- KARG W. (1993): Acari (Acarina), Milben, Parasitiformes (Anactinochaeta), Cohors Gamasina Leach. Raubmilben. *Die Tierwelt Deutschlands*, 59. Teil. Gustav Fischer Verlag, Jena.
- KAZAK C., YILDIZ S., SEKEROGU E. (2002): Biological characteristics and life tables of *Neoseiulus umbraticus* Chant (Acari, Phytoseiidae) at three constant temperatures. *Journal Pest Science*, **75**: 118–121.
- KOLODOCHKA L.A. (1978): *Rukovodstvo po opredeleniyu rastenieobitayushchich kleshchei-phytoseiid*. Naukova dumka, Kiev.
- MCMURTRY J.A., HUFFAKER C.B., VAN DE VRIE M. (1970): Ecology of tetranychid mites and their natural enemies: a review. I. Tetranychid enemies: their biological characters and the impact. *Hilgardia*, **40**: 331–390.
- PAPAIOANNOU-SOULIOTIS P., MARKOYIANNAKI-PRINTZIOU D., ZEGINIS G. (2000): Observations on acarofauna in four apple orchards of central Greece. II. Green cover and hedges as potential sources of phytoseiid mites (Acari: Phytoseiidae). *Acarologia*, **41**: 411–421.
- RASMY A.H., ABOU-EL-ELLA G.M., HUSSEIN H.E. (2004): Cannibalism and interspecific predation of the phytoseiid mite, *Amblyseius swirskii*. *Journal Pest Science*, **77**: 23–25.
- SCHAUSBERGER P. (1997): Inter- and intraspecific predation on immatures by adult females in *Euseius finlandicus*, *Typhlodromus pyri* and *Kampimodromus aberrans* (Acari, Phytoseiidae). *Experimental and Applied Acarology*, **21**: 131–150.
- SCHAUSBERGER P. (1998): Population growth and persistence when prey is diminishing in single-species and two-species systems of the predatory mites *Euseius finlandicus*, *Typhlodromus pyri* and *Kampimodromus aberrans*. *Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata*, **88**: 275–286.
- SCHAUSBERGER P., CROFT B.A. (1999a): Predation on and discrimination between con- and heterospecific eggs among specialist and generalist phytoseiid mites (Acari: Phytoseiidae). *Environmental Entomology*, **28**: 523–528.
- SCHAUSBERGER P., CROFT B.A. (1999b): Activity, feeding, and development among larvae of specialist and generalist phytoseiid mite species (Acari: Phytoseiidae). *Biological Control*, **28**: 322–329.
- SEELMANN L., AUER A., HOFFMANN D., SCHAUSBERGER P. (2007): Leaf pubescence mediates intraguild predation between predatory mites. *Oikos*, **116**: 807–817.
- SLONE D.H., CROFT B.A. (2000): Changes in intraspecific aggregation and the coexistence of predaceous apple mites. *Oikos*, **91**: 153–161.
- TUOVINEN T., ROKX J.A.H. (1991): Phytoseiid mites (Acari: Phytoseiidae) on apple trees and in surrounding vegetation in southern Finland. Densities and species composition. *Experimental and Applied Acarology*, **12**: 35–46.
- VILLANUEVA R.T., RODRIGUES J.C.V., WELBOURN W.C., DENMARK H.A., CHILDERS C.C. (2008): *Amblyseius filcae* Denmark and Villanueva, n. sp. (Acari: Phytoseiidae) found on *Viburnum* in Florida: description, life cycle and feeding studies. *International Journal of Acarology*, **34**: 385–387.
- YAO D.S., CHANT D.A. (1989): Population growth and predation interference between two species of predatory phytoseiid mites (Acarina: Phytoseiidae) in interactive systems. *Oecologia*, **80**: 443–455.
- ZHANG Z.Q., CROFT B.A. (1995): Interspecific competition and predation between immature *Amblyseius fallacis*, *Amblyseius andersoni*, *Typhlodromus occidentalis* and *Typhlodromus pyri* (Acari: Phytoseiidae). *Experimental and Applied Acarology*, **19**: 247–257.

Received for publication July 28, 2009

Accepted after corrections April 6, 2010

Corresponding author:

RNDr. JAN KABÍČEK, CSc., Česká zemědělská univerzita v Praze, Fakulta agrobiologie, potravinových a přírodních zdrojů, Katedra ochrany rostlin, Kamýčká 129, 165 21 Praha 6-Suchbát, Česká republika
tel.: + 420 224 382 682, e-mail: kabicek@af.czu.cz
