Effects of phosphorus concentration on adaptive mechanisms of high- and low-P efficiency soybean genotypes when grown in solution
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ABSTRACT

Low availability of phosphorus (P) in soil is a major constraint for crop production in agricultural ecosystems. Therefore, it is very important to explain the adaptive mechanism of crops under low P conditions. The response of high- and low-P efficient soybean (Glycine max L.) genotypes to various external P level was carried out in nutrient solution culture. Results showed that Dongnong1031 (low P-efficient genotype) undergoes major changes in terms of dry mass, root length, root number and root surface, while these response of Hai 616 (high P-efficient genotype) was lower after five weeks of growth. The higher P level in solution, the smaller difference between the two genotypes in these parameters. The amount of H⁺ released by root of Dongnong1031 was lower than that of Hai 616, except when supplied with 50 μmol external P. There was a positive relationship between RPAE (relative phosphorus absorption efficiency) and P concentration in shoot and root material at all P levels, irrespective of soybean genotype. An exponential relationship was found between PUE (phosphorus utilization efficiency) and P concentrations in shoots and roots. These results suggested that an increase in measured root parameters coupled with H⁺ release by roots were key mechanisms for soybean genotypes with high-P efficiency to cope with low P conditions when grown in solution. In order to best select high soybean genotype with high-P efficiency one should pay attention to PUE combined with high RPAE.
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Application of phosphorus (P) fertilizer is essential for optimal crop yields when soils are P-limited. The agronomic efficiency of inorganic P fertilizers has been reported to be only 10–25% within the first year of application, as a large portion of applied fertilizer P is fixed by soil and therefore unavailable to plant. Thus a large pool (up to 2 t P/ha) of P that is less plant-available exists in the soil. Plant survival and reproduction rely on efficient strategies in exploiting soil P (Thierry 2008). Selection of crop species with high P efficiency will increase utilization of soil P, increase fertilizer use efficiency, and consequently reduce fertilizer input requirements.

The capacity of plants to access P under limiting conditions depends on some important adaptive traits, including organic acid excretion (Zhang et al. 1997, Schachtman et al. 1998), alteration of rhizosphere pH (Lopez et al. 2000, Hinsinger 2001), increased root surface area (Liao and Yan 2000, Sas et al. 2001). Even though some researchers did work on these regulations of plant to exploit soil fixed P source, there were few reports on the adaptive mechanisms involved in P acquisition by soybean under P-limiting conditions.

Variations in root morphology and physiology were shown to be correlated with genotypic differences in the plant utilization ability of soil available P (Cynthia and Angela 2004). In Arabidopsis, low phosphate availability favored lateral root growth over primary root growth, through increased lateral root density and length (Lisa et al. 2001). In sorghum, P-efficient inbred lines under low P developed larger root systems (Furlani et al. 1984). In maize, Ciarelli et al. (1998) observed inverse relation between P-uptake rate and the length of root system.
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Miao et al. (2007a,b) evaluated root characters of soybean varieties under internal and external P conditions and observed differences among them in relation to root length, root surface, and P concentration in soybean plant parts. As a subsequent study, the present research was to investigate the responses of soybean genotypes considered to have either high or low P-efficiency to fertilizer P input. We hypothesised that there are different regulations for them to adapt to P deficiency. Specifically, we wanted to determine differences in soybean genotypes in root characteristics, $H^+$ release from root, relative phosphorus absorption efficiency (RPAE), which is an indicator that reflects the capacity of root acquiring phosphorus from the soil solution, and phosphorus use efficiency (PUE) indicating internal P requirement for a given dry matter formation. Our study also aimed to analyze the adaptive mechanisms of soybean plants to phosphorus when grown hydroponically and to define parameters that may be used as indicators when selecting or breeding P-efficient genotypes.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

To determine the adaptation of soybean genotypes to P conditions, two kinds of P efficiency genotypes of soybean were used, Dongnong 1031 was low-P efficient genotype and Hai616 was high-P efficient genotype. Uniform-sized soybean seeds (Glycine max L.) of the genotypes Dongnong1031 and Hai616 were soaked in water overnight and then transferred onto a mesh above an aerated solution of 1 mmol CaCl$_2$ and 5 μmol H$_3$BO$_3$, pH 6.0. The seeds were allowed to germinate until the radicals were about 4 cm in length (about 4 to 5 days). Eighteen uniform seedlings were transferred into 5-L pots containing either 1.0 ($P_1$), 4.0 ($P_2$), 16.0 ($P_3$), or 50 ($P_4$) μmol of KH$_2$PO$_4$ in full nutrient solution. The composition of the nutrient solution and culture method were the same as described by Miao et al. (2007a,b). The treatment was arranged with three replications.

Five weeks after planting, solution pH was measured with pH meter F-22, $H^+$ release was calculated with the amount of acid or alkali to adjust pH everyday, and plants were harvested. The plant material was separated into shoots and roots, and oven-dried at 80°C until a constant weight. Root and shoot P content was determined colorimetrically following digestion with H$_2$SO$_4$ and H$_2$O$_2$ using an ultraviolet spectrophotometer (UV2500 Japan) (Tan et al. 2006). N content was calculated with HCl titration the digestion solution for P. Root length, root area and root number were measured with Win-RHIZO LA1600+ software (Regent Instruments, Quebec, Canada). In this study they were calculated according to the following formulae, respectively:

- RPAE = P content of the whole plant/root dry weight
- PUE = dry weight of whole plant/P content of the whole plant

A $t$-test was used to identify the statistically significant differences. The data were subjected to a two-way statistical analysis of variance (ANOVA). The least significant difference (LSD) at $P = 0.05$ was used to compare the means between treatments.

**RESULTS**

**Plant growth.** The dry biomass of both root and shoot of Hai616 was greater than that of Dongnong1031 (Figure 1a). Although dry biomass
of root or shoot increased with P level increase in both soybean genotypes, the accumulation per unit P of Hai 616 was larger than that of Dongnong 1031 (data not shown). The greatest difference between the genotypes was seen with 50 μmol P (P4), as root and shoot biomass of Dongnong 1031 were 44.1% and 51.6% of Hai 616, respectively. From the relationship between total biomass and P concentration, we found the optimum P concentration for Hai 616 growth was close to 40 μmol, which was greater than which of 30 μmol for Dongnong 1031 (Figure 1b). This resulted in more growth potential for Hai 616 than Dongnong 1031. The two-way ANOVAs for the dry biomass of Hai 616 and Dongnong 1031 are shown in Table 1 and indicate a significant difference between plant biomass of Hai 616 and Dongnong 1031 at all P levels investigated.

**Root growth.** The average proportion of total dry biomass allocated to roots was about 30–44% in Hai 616, and about 31–37% in Dongnong 1031 at all P level (calculated from Figure 1). The proportion of total biomass to root material supplied with 1 μmol (P1) was about 6% greater in Hai 616 than in Dongnong 1031. With P concentration from 1 to 4 μmol, which resulted in changes in root length, root number and root area of 12.3%, 2.5% and 4.6% in Hai 616, and 0.7%, 0.9% and –3.9% in Dongnong 1031, The values of above root parameter changes were 42.85%, 31.46% and 45.88% in Hai 616, 0.87%, –48.85% and 9.12% in Dongnong 1031 from 16 to 50 μmol P level, respectively (Figure 2). All of these parameters in Hai 616 were larger than those in Dongnong 1031. These parameters were affected by genotype and P level at P < 0.05 (Table 1).

**H+ release and pH.** After five weeks of plant growth, the pH of nutrient solution-grown Hai 616 was much lower than that of Dongnong 1031 (Figure 3a). We calculated the amount of H+ release from both soybean genotype roots, and found that Hai 616 released more H+ than Dongnong 1031 (Figure 3b). At 30 μmol P level, the amount of H+ release by Hai 616 roots was larger by 416 μmol/plant/week than that released by Dongnong 1031 root (P < 0.05). In total, the amount of H+ release from Hai 616 root increased with solution P level up to 16 μmol increase, however, H+ efflux from Dongnong 1031 decreased with increasing P levels (P < 0.05, Figure 3).

**P concentration and P efficiency.** The P concentration of shoots and roots, and total P content (mg P per plant) increased with increasing solution P for both soybean genotypes when solution P was higher than 4 μmol (Table 2). The total P content of Hai 616 was higher than that of Dongnong 1031. In particular, when plants were grown in solution

**Table 1. Influence of genotype and phosphorus (P) levels on dry biomass, root length, root surface area, root number, pH and H+ release, relative phosphorus absorption efficiency (RPAE) and phosphorus use efficiency (PUE). F-ratios from two-way ANOVA are shown with accompanying measures of statistical significance**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Dry biomass</th>
<th>Root length</th>
<th>Root number</th>
<th>Root area</th>
<th>H+ release</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>RPAE</th>
<th>PUE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Genotype</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>0.0032</td>
<td>0.0008</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
<td>0.6571</td>
<td>0.9297</td>
<td>0.5748</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P level</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
<td>0.0256</td>
<td>0.0090</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
<td>0.3809</td>
<td>0.0104</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G × P</td>
<td>0.0208</td>
<td>0.7379</td>
<td>0.6408</td>
<td>0.1378</td>
<td>0.0914</td>
<td>0.3850</td>
<td>0.1022</td>
<td>0.4512</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2. Root length (cm), root number, and root area (cm²) of Hai 616 and Dongnong 1031 at 5 weeks growth. Plants were supplemented with either 1.0 (P1), 4.0 (P2), 16.0 (P3), or 50 (P4) μmol P as KH2PO4.
containing 50 μmol P, total P content of Hai616 was about 3.8 times higher than that of Dongnong1031. From Table 2, RPAE increased with P level increase for the two genotypes, while PUE decreased as P level increase for Hai616. In addition, we did the regression analysis. Results showed that there was a negative relationship between RPAE and PUE ($r = -0.8976$). The RPAE of Hai616 was higher than that of Dongnong1031 genotype, while PUE was opposite ($P < 0.05$).

DISCUSSION

Phosphorus supply and root growth. The bioavailability of nutrients in culture may determine root growth, root proliferation and specific functional responses that depend on the prevailing nutrient status of the plant (Lopez et al. 2003). In this experiment, we found a higher proportion of root biomass in the high P-efficient soybean genotype, Hai616, than the lower-P efficiency genotype Dongnong1031. This coincided with an increase in root parameters, including root length and number, with decreasing solution P concentration, indicating that P deficiency stimulated root growth in the high-P efficiency soybean genotype. This is similar to the report of Cynthia and Angela (2004), where variations in root morphology and physiology correlated with genotypic differences in the plant utilization ability of soil available P.

Reports from the studies on model plants to determine the effects of nutrient stress on root development suggested that white lupin ($Lupinus albus$ L.) formed proteoid roots and increased their absorptive surface (Neumann et al. 2000, 2002).

Table 2. Effects of soybean genotype and solution phosphorus (P) concentration on plant P uptake and RPUE and PUE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>Solution P (μmol)</th>
<th>Shoot P conc. (mg/g)</th>
<th>Root P conc. (mg/g)</th>
<th>Total P (mg/plant)</th>
<th>RPAE (mg P/g root)</th>
<th>PUE (g biomass/g P)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>means SD</td>
<td>means SD</td>
<td>means SD</td>
<td>means SD</td>
<td>means SD</td>
<td>means SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hai616</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.60 0.57</td>
<td>0.88 0.06</td>
<td>4.04 0.95</td>
<td>3.50 0.75</td>
<td>0.87 0.15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.20 0.04</td>
<td>1.26 0.06</td>
<td>4.29 0.48</td>
<td>3.61 0.18</td>
<td>0.82 0.02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>1.87 0.35</td>
<td>1.73 0.24</td>
<td>7.83 1.71</td>
<td>5.39 1.09</td>
<td>0.59 0.08</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>4.40 1.20</td>
<td>3.64 0.71</td>
<td>11.95 2.46</td>
<td>12.30 2.72</td>
<td>0.31 0.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dongnong3010</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.71 0.73</td>
<td>2.57 1.47</td>
<td>2.06 0.07</td>
<td>0.93 0.15</td>
<td>5.36 2.06</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.15 0.08</td>
<td>1.25 0.07</td>
<td>2.44 0.09</td>
<td>1.09 0.13</td>
<td>4.16 0.13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>1.93 0.40</td>
<td>1.71 0.24</td>
<td>2.67 0.15</td>
<td>1.16 0.02</td>
<td>7.23 1.57</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>3.30 0.54</td>
<td>2.28 0.36</td>
<td>3.10 0.22</td>
<td>1.14 0.02</td>
<td>12.95 2.44</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RPAE – relative phosphorus absorption efficiency; PUE – phosphorus utilization efficiency. Data are the means ± the standard deviation.
However, in the current experiment, we found that root length, root number and root surface area of soybean grown in 16 μmol P were larger than those of plants grown in 1, 4 and 50 μmol P level for both soybean genotypes. This indicates that soybean species respond differently to P deficient condition. When P availability was lower than this level, root growth parameters developed as P decrease. However, further P decrease cannot induce root growth parameter changes as P lower than 16 μmol for soybean. Once the P was more than optimum level, root growth parameters will be limited, as root number decreased by 48% and 60% in Hai616 and Dongnong1031, respectively, when the solution P concentration ranged from 16 μmol to 50 μmol. This suggested a constitutive P-deprivation response while growing under high P conditions (Lopez et al. 2003). These results were supported by Singh et al. (2003) who reported that at low and medium P levels, soil solution concentration is more important in determining P uptake than the ability of the roots to absorb P. Vice versa, at high P level, the ability of the roots to absorb P is more important than P supplying characteristics of the soil solution.

**Phosphorus efficiency.** Plants facing a withdrawal of inorganic P can adapt their physiology and development in order to efficiently use the lower supply of P (Thierry 2008). High P-efficient genotype in particular has great ability to grow and yield in P-deficient soils (Hash et al. 2002, Yan et al. 2004). Thus, it is very important to evaluate plant P efficiency characteristics in order to reduce fertilizer application and increase P resources globally (Vance et al. 2003). In previous reports, various strategies were used to evaluate genotypes for P-efficiency, including biomass, P content in various tissues, total P uptake, root surface area, PUE, H⁺ release, organic acid exudation and acid phosphatase activity (Pan et al. 2008). In this experiment, results showed that some of these parameters were not significantly different between high and low P-efficiency genotypes. While PUE and RPAE were good indexes for evaluating P-efficiency of soybean genotypes, there are also good relationships with P concentration in soybean tissues. There was a positive correlation between P concentration and RPAE in shoot ($r = 0.9094$) and root ($r = 0.9103$). While an exponential relationship was found between PUE and P concentration in shoot ($r = 0.9827$) and root ($r = 0.8670$) (Figure 4). In addition, we found that there were large differences in root parameters, H⁺ release and pH between the high-P efficiency genotype, Hai616, and the lower-efficiency, Dongnong1031, when grown in various P levels. Pan et al. (2008) reported that ideal defining parameters for breeding a P-efficient soybean genotype were shoot dry weight and relative shoot dry weight at soybean seedling stage. In the future, it is needed to build an evaluating system, including the optimum P level, growth index and stage.
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