Diameter increment of beech in relation to social position of trees, climate characteristics and thinning intensity
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ABSTRACT: We present the results of research on diameter increment in beech stands in the area of Hostýnské and Vsetínské vrchy. The data were collected on three series of permanent research plots (PRP) in middle-aged stands in the property of BFP Forests and Estates of Tomas Baťa, Ltd. established for the evaluation of the effect of different thinning regimes. Each series consists of one control plot and two plots with different treatment intensity. The objective of this paper was to assess the increment response of beech individuals in the first year after a thinning intervention and to evaluate the increment of sample trees in relation to the social position of tree in the stand and the climate trends in the last 30 years. The diameter increment was evaluated on harvested sample trees, after the thinning treatment the growth reaction of standing sample trees of the main stand was evaluated based on their dendrometric characteristics. To calculate the radial growth of beech, the annual ring increment series were cross-dated individually (to eliminate errors caused by missing annual rings) using statistical tests in the PAST4 application software (Knibbe 2007) and then subjected to visual inspection according to Yamaguchi (1991). If a missing annual ring was found, a ring 0.01 mm wide was inserted in its place. The individual curves from PRPs were then detrended and an average annual ring series was created in the ARSTAN software. First a negative exponential spline was used, and then the 30-year spline was applied (Grissino-Mayer et al. 1992). The response of tree radial growth to climatic factors was evaluated using the DendroClim software. The method of single pointer years analysis was used to estimate the influence of extreme climatic events on diameter growth. One year after thinning, the harvest intensity had no significant effect on the radial growth of dominant trees ($F_{(4, 293)} = 1.0, P > 0.05$), but oppositely, differences in the average diameter increment of co-dominant trees on PRPs were statistically significant ($F_{(4, 362)} = 2.6, P < 0.05$). The diameter increment of dominant trees in 1978–2013 showed positive correlations with the March temperatures of the current year ($r = 0.27$) and negative ones with June–September ($r = –0.28$ to $–0.43$) and November ($r = –0.36$) of the last year and April, June and July ($r = –0.35$ to $–0.44$) of the current year. Negative correlations of temperature in the growing season of the current year were similar to dominant trees, only the impact was weaker in April to August ($r = –0.28$ to $–0.32$). According to the results of the PCA analysis, annual ring width was negatively correlated with temperatures in the vegetation season of the last year and current year, July, April and June temperature of the current year, and with precipitation in January–March of the current year.
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In our fast-changing society, the requirements for forest functions also change significantly, encompassing not only immaterial goods collectively known as non-production functions, but also material ones, among which the wood-producing function is the most important. In general, the forest management sector has to remain sustainable ecologically as well as economically. The European beech (Fagus sylvatica L.) seems to be the tree species capable of meeting, at the optimal level, the requirements for both production and non-production forest functions. Being the natural tree species...
for habitats with average moisture and nutrient contents at medium to higher elevations (Ellenberg 1996), it will play the role of not only an ecologically but also productively stabilizing element. Despite this, silvicultural practices must currently respect the potential risks of a decrease in the competitive capacity of beech on many sites that might be reduced under the expected future climate conditions (Gessler et al. 2007).

Thinning is the most important silvicultural operation which makes use of the rapid growth response of trees in a young stand and decides on the assortment at the cutting age (Assmann 1970; Indruch 1989; Cameron 2002; Słodiciak 2007; Štefančík 2013). The possibility of increasing the volume production of forest stands by thinning has been debated for a long time, and was essentially the first principal objective of silviculture (Wallentin 2007). At present, however, the assumption is that this contribution of thinning is relatively limited (Pretzsch 2005; Chroust 1997). Nevertheless, changes in the stand structure resulting from thinning have a strong effect on the production value.

As shown in numerous studies, intensive beech thinning leads to higher diameter increments, thus to superior dimensions of target trees (Štefančík 2013), and possibly to a reduced rotation period with a lower risk of timber declassifying effects, primarily red heart formation (Knoke, Wenderoth 2001; Kladtke 2002, 2003), which considerably reduces the production value of beech stands (Knoke et al. 2006; Račko et al. 2015). With this objective in mind, it is recommended to realize the positive selection in quality beech stands relatively early, when the reaction to liberation is the strongest (Korpee 1988; Poleno, Vacek et al. 2009; Štefančík 2014). A large number of studies recommended the period of 30 to 40 years as the best age for determination of crop trees (Štefančík 1974, 1984; Spellmann, Nagel 1996; Guericke 2002).

Indruch (1989) saw the best practice in beech silviculture in the rule: eliminate individuals that do harm to the better ones. Given the necessity of rationalization of silvicultural operations, acceleration of the growth process and reduction of the rotation period, this concept has been modified many times to be the best suited for different site conditions and management goals (Altner 1971, 1981; Štefančík 1974; Korpee 1986). For example, Schütz (1996) recommended 97–166 target trees, Abetz (1979) and Altner (1981) limited this range to 110 trees per hectare. Kurt (1982) and Boncina et al. (2007) considered even less than 100 selected target trees as an optimal number. A higher number of target trees was proposed by Štefančík (1984), ranging from 121 to 217 stems per hectare, depending on the site conditions and/or tree spacing.

Newly, climate change mitigation and appropriate silvicultural techniques leading to structurally complex and ecologically stable forest stands are becoming more and more important in this debate. In general, a decrease in stand basal area through thinning is considered as a measure for controlling the competition for water, nutrient and light resources (Pretzsch 2005; Aranda et al. 2012). It seems that at higher altitudes in Central Europe, environmental changes with negative effects on radial growth are the main factors influencing growth (Dittmar et al. 2003). On the other hand, increased height growth of beech and its higher tolerance to drought were revealed by several authors (Felbermeier 1994; Badeau 1995; Cailleret et, Davi 2011). Elevated temperatures and nitrogen deposition often lead to an increase in beech growth, but with the accumulation of summer droughts worsened health status and forest decline can occur (Piovesan et al. 2008).

The objective of this paper was to assess the increment response of beech individuals in the first year after a thinning intervention and to evaluate the increment of sample trees in relation to the coenotic position and climate trends in the last 30 years.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Study area. Three series of permanent research plots (PRP) in middle-aged beech stands were established on the grounds of BFP Forests and Estates of Tomas Bata, Ltd. (Natural Forest Area 41 – Hostýnsko-Vsetínská vrchovina Upland and Javorníky Mts., Forest Group Type 5B – eutrophic fir-beech forest). Average annual temperature is 7.7°C, total annual precipitation amounts to 847 mm (the average value calculated for the period 1981–2010, Vsetín climate station). The given forest stands are typical examples of average quality beech stands on very productive soil (Western Carpathians flysch deposits) in the eastern Czech Republic. The thinning intervention was designed so that each series includes two different intervention intensities (high – Harvest I, low – Harvest III) and one control PRP (Control II) without treatment; the size of each PRP is 0.06 ha. All series were fully stocked, comparable from both the site and ecological point of view. The altitude ranges from 530 to 590 m a.s.l. with eastern exposition on the localities Mezi silnicama and Štípa and with western expo-
sition on Letiště locality. Identically on each PRP, with respect to regular spacing we chose 9 to 10 target trees with desirable characteristics such as stem and crown quality, diameter and health status, meaning 150 to 167 trees per hectare. Table 1 shows an overview of all PRP and their basic dendrometric characteristics, including the thinning intensities. The average annual temperature and total precipitation data, including the monthly figures, were downloaded from the freely accessible CHMI database (www.chmi.cz) for the Zlín Region.

**Data collection.** Thinning was done in the autumn of 2013, including selection of sample individuals of different coenotic status (social position of tree) without signs of damage in their trunks and crowns and without visible fungal infestation. On each PRP 7 to 14 felled trees were analysed (in total 69 individuals; 4–7 dominant trees and 3–9 co-dominant trees per PRP). From sample trees a circular disk was cut crosswise from each of the felled trees at breast height. The image processing was done in the Letišť software (C) Daniel Zahradník, Czech University of Life Sciences in Prague, Faculty of Forestry and Wood Sciences, Department of Forest Management). The diameter increment in the main stand trees was assessed based on data from a dendrometric survey in the autumn of 2013 and 2014.

**Statistical analysis.** To calculate the radial growth of beech, the annual ring increment series were cross-dated individually (to eliminate errors caused by missing annual rings) using statistical tests in the PAST4 application software (Knibbe 2007) and then subjected to visual inspection according to Yamaguchi (1991). If a missing annual ring was found, a ring 0.01 mm wide was inserted in its place. The individual curves from PRPs were then detrended and an average annual ring series was created in the ARSTAN software. First a negative exponential spline was used, and then the 30-year spline was applied (Grissino-Mayer et al. 1992).

In order to determine the response of tree radial growth to climatic factors, the average annual ring series from PRPs differed by the coenotic position of the tree in the stand were correlated with climatic data (precipitation and temperatures; 1981–2013) for each month and year using the DendroClim software (Biondi, Wai kul 2004).

The method of single pointer year analysis (Schweingruber et al. 1990; Desplanque et al. 1999) was used to estimate the influence of extreme climatic events on diameter growth. For each tree, the negative event years were defined as extremely narrow ring widths that were 40% or less compared with the average value of ring widths in the previous four years (Schweingruber et al. 1990). A negative pointer year occurred when an event year was identified for at least 20% of the trees within the plot.

Impacts of harvest intensity on diameter increment were analysed in the STATISTICA 12 software (StatSoft, Tulsa, USA). Data were log transformed to acquire normal distribution and tested by the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. The differences in radial growth between harvest intensity, coenotic position of the tree and research plots were separately tested by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). Significant differences were subsequently tested by post-hoc comparison Tukey’s HSD tests.

Unconstrained principal component analysis (PCA) in the Canoco 4.5 program (Ter Braak, Šmilauer 2002) was used to analyse relationships and interactions between climatic data (temperature, precipitation), stand parameters (age, DBH), productivity (ring width), coenotic position of the tree in the stand (dominant, co-dominant) and similarity of 3 research plots during the time (1981–2013). Data were centred and standardized during the analysis. The results of the PCA analysis were visualized in the form of an ordination diagram constructed by the CanoDraw program (Ter Braak, Šmilauer 2002).

---

**Table 1. Average height, number of trees and thinning intensity on treated plots**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Series (PRP)</th>
<th>Indication of PRP</th>
<th>Age in 2013 (yr)</th>
<th>No./ha before thinning</th>
<th>Basal area after thinning (m²·ha⁻¹)</th>
<th>Average height after thinning (m)</th>
<th>Thinning intensity TI (%) (Volume)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mezi silnicama (1)</td>
<td>C (Harvest I)</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>1,467</td>
<td>29.1</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>24.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mezi silnicama (2)</td>
<td>C (Control II)</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>1,450</td>
<td>33.0</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mezi silnicama (3)</td>
<td>C (Harvest II)</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>33.0</td>
<td>23.2</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Letiště (1)</td>
<td>L (Harvest I)</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1,467</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>25.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Letiště (2)</td>
<td>L (Control II)</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1,600</td>
<td>23.2</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Letiště (3)</td>
<td>L (Harvest II)</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1,633</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>18.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Štípa (1)</td>
<td>S (Harvest I)</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>1,067</td>
<td>27.6</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>24.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Štípa (2)</td>
<td>S (Control II)</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>1,200</td>
<td>42.2</td>
<td>22.3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Štípa (3)</td>
<td>S (Harvest III)</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>1,115</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>22.1</td>
<td>16.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
RESULTS

Diameter increment of the main stand

Increment response in the first year after a thinning intervention indicates in general a more pronounced growth response of trees of larger dimensions, nevertheless without pronounced differences between harvest intensities (Fig. 1). The average diameter increment of the entire tree set on three PRPs with high harvest intensity (Harvest I) was 0.39–0.47 cm; it was 0.40–0.52 cm for low harvest intensity (Harvest III) and 0.33–0.40 cm on PRPs without any treatment (Control II).

The average ring increment of dominant and co-dominant trees in 2014 on PRP according to harvest intensity is shown in Fig. 2. Harvest intensity had no significant effect on the radial growth of dominant trees (Harvest I), but oppositely, differences in the average diameter increment of co-dominant trees on PRPs were statistically significant (F(4,362) = 2.6, P < 0.05). The highest average ring increment was observed on PRP L on dominant trees after low harvest intensity (0.67 ± 0.06 SE), the lowest increment was measured on PRP S on control plot (0.18 ± 0.04 SE). Comparing the plots separately, on PRP L the increment of co-dominant trees on control plot was significantly lower than on the plot with low harvest intensity (P < 0.05, Fig. 2). The largest differences were on PRP S, where both thinning interventions had a significant positive effect on the radial increment of co-dominant trees (F(2,98) = 7.4, P < 0.001). Totally, the thinning intervention had a positive effect on productivity in five cases (two statistically significant) out of the six studied groups.

Dynamics of radial increment

The average tree-ring curves of beech and trends of average annual ring width after removal of the age trend on PRP are shown in Fig. 3, respectively. The
was 1.9 mm (± 0.4 SD), while the increment of co-dominant trees was only 0.9 mm (± 0.3 SD), i.e. 48% of the ring width of dominant trees.

The diameter increment of dominant trees in 1978–2013 showed positive correlations with the March temperatures of the current year ($r = 0.27$, Fig. 4) and a negative correlation with June-September ($r = -0.28$ to $-0.43$) and November ($r = -0.36$) of the last year and April, June and July ($r = -0.35$ to $-0.44$) of the current year. The radial growth of co-dominant trees did not show any positive correlations. Negative correlations of temperature in the growing season of the current year were similar to dominant trees, only the impact was weaker in April to August ($r = -0.28$ to $-0.32$), while no correlations were confirmed in June to August of the last year against dominant trees. The diameter increment of dominant trees was negatively correlated with January precipitation of the current year ($r = -0.36$).

Climate, stand parameters and productivity interactions

Results of the PCA analysis are presented in the form of an ordination diagram in Fig. 5. The first ordination axis explained 34%, the first two axes together 51% and the first four axes together explained 70% of variability in the data. The first x-axis represented radial increment with temperature in April, June and July of the current year. The second y-axis represented annual precipitation and precipitation in the growing season. Annual ring width was negatively correlated with temperatures in the vegetation season of the last year and current year, July, April and June temperature of the current year, and with precipitation in January-March of the current year. DBH was increasing in the course of time, while annual diameter increment was decreasing in time. Total annual precipitation and precipitation of the growing season of the current year were not correlated, or only very weakly with the production. Differences in data in the course of 33 years (1981–2014) were remarkable especially for individual PRPs as scores of each record are relatively distant from one another whereas scores for the coenotic position of the tree (dominant, co-dominant) were closer to each other in the diagram. PRP L occupied the extreme right upper part of the diagram typical of higher annual radial width, while PRP S was characterised by higher stand age and DBH. Dominant trees were characterised by higher annul ring increment and DBH compared to co-dominant trees.
On the contrary, no negative event years were identified for co-dominant trees. Both years can be characterized by above-average annual temperature (0.6 and 1.2°C, resp.) and subnormal precipitation (75 and 87% of the long-term average annual precipitation). In particular with respect to the scarcity of precipitation these two years are the most extreme years in the last two decades. In accordance with Lebourgeois et al. (2014) these results suggest that in fully stocked stands dominant trees respond more to climate extremes than co-dominant and suppressed trees and this mostly in relation to precipitation. On the other hand, the increment was mainly negatively influenced by monthly average temperatures in the second half of the vegetation period of the previous year and the first half of the current year. On the contrary, the higher March temperature of the current year may have an effect on the longer vegetation period. Similar results were also confirmed by Petráš and Mecko (2011), who compared the radial growth of beech, spruce and oak in relation to climatic factors. The used techniques for the evaluation of beech radial growth show different results to a certain extent. Nevertheless, in accordance with Dittmar and Elling (1999) and van der Maaten (2012) in beech stands both precipitation and temperature are important production factors with close relation to radial growth.

**DISCUSSION**

The analysis of significant negative years indicated the extreme years 2003 and 2008 with significant growth depression of dominant trees.
Along with an increase in precipitation during spring and a higher frequency of intensive drought periods in summer, forest trees will have to withstand drastic changes in environmental conditions (GESSLER et al. 2007). Positive responses of beech to increases in temperature in the past do not imply that it will necessarily do so in the future. For example, if temperature increases were accompanied by prolonged drought periods in the growing season, productivity would be negatively affected (SCHOU, MEILBY 2013). In accordance with our study, the growth of beech in many European regions was characterized by a growth decrease in recent decades (BIONDI 1993; DITTMAR et al. 2003; ŠTEFANČÍK 2014). These findings suggest an overall recently decreased vigour of common beech in Europe, although highly productive sites buffer environmental changes better than low productive sites near the edge of the species range (AERTSEN et al. 2014). According to SCHOU and MEILBY (2013) optimal harvest policies for beech stand transformation does not vary much between climate change scenarios, but only when beech is considered as low-risk species. On particular sites e.g. on shallow soils with low water potential or on soils susceptible to waterlogging in the spring time (GESSLER et al. 2007) beech may lose its dominance and competitive capacity.

Crucial questions for the positive selection of beech stands remain the number of selected target trees and the thinning intensity. From this point of view, the original concept of SCHÄDELIN (1936), with a large number of substitutes that was slowly reduced to a definite collection of marked target trees, seems to be reasonable. In our field experiment, we used an approach combining thinning from above and selection of target trees that allows to a certain extent future modifications in the number and spatial arrangement of selected high quality individuals. The number of high-quality target trees was set at 150–167 individuals per haectare with the following different thinning intensities (TI) reaching from TI = 0% on control plots up to approx. TI = 25% (corresponding to the removal of up to 2 individuals per target tree). Increment response in the first year after a thinning intervention indicates in general the more pronounced growth response of trees of larger dimensions. The lowest average annual diameter increment for particular PRP was 0.39 cm, the highest 0.52 cm, nevertheless without clear trend among harvest intensities. Obviously, only one vegetation period is a very short time to evaluate the effect of thinning treatment and we expect more pronounced differences in growth response in the years to come. Despite this, we saw a significant positive effect on the radial increment of co-dominant trees in both thinning interventions, which was not the case for dominant trees.

CONCLUSIONS

Increasing heterogeneity of spatial and canopy structure by selective logging creates various stand environmental conditions. Moreover, thinning from above in beech stands, as already applied in many well managed forest properties, is the best suited thinning technique not only for increasing the production value, but also for mitigating the impact of extreme climate events. Doing so, the development of target trees in the main canopy is assured, at the same time place is given to sub-canopy individuals.
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